

5-5667-01-P3

Suitability Analysis Guidebook/Training Materials: Manual for Application of Suitability Analysis for a Selected Region in Texas

Authors: Dr. Ardeshir Anjomani Ali Tayebi Dian Nostikasari Gehendra Kharel

Project 5-5667-01: Implementation of Accessible Land Use Modeling Tools for Texas Applications

AUGUST 31, 2010

Performing Organization:	Sponsoring Organization:
University of Texas at Arlington	Texas Department of Transportation
School of Urban and Public Affairs (SUPA)	Research and Technology Implementation Office
Box 19588	P.O. Box 5080
Arlington, TX 76019	Austin, Texas 78763-5080

Performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration.

Table of Contents

		ackground and Application Process			
1. 2.	-	ound rea			
2. 3.	•				
P	Projection of Employment and Household				
	3.1.1	Data	1		
	3.1.2	Employment Classification	2		
	3.1.3	Household Classification	4		
R	equired I	Land	5		
	3.1.4	Required Land for Employment	5		
	3.1.5	Required Land for Household	7		
S	uitability	Analysis	8		
	3.1.6	Selection of Suitability Factors	3		
	3.1.7	Selection of Land uses	8		
	3.1.8	Suitability Factors' Categories	9		
	3.1.9	Rating)		
	3.1.10	Weighting	1		
А	llocation	of Employment and Households	6		
		Prototype Model and Step-by-Step Process			
4. D		g the SA Model Toolbox in ArcGIS			
K	U	Diset			
	4.1.1	Proximity			
	4.1.2	Accessibility			
	4.1.3	Assignment			
M	0 0	Toolset			
	4.1.4	Mask for Each Land Use			
	4.1.5	Suitability for Each Land Use			
А		Toolset			
	4.1.6	Combine the Suitability Layers			
	4.1.7	Allocating			
	4.1.8	Compare Scenarios			
	4.1.9	TAZ			
App	Appendix A: Proximity Tool				
	Highway				

Endangered Species	22
Water Bodies	
Wetlands	37
Appendix B: Accessibility Tool	39
Airport	39
Employment Centers	40
Intersection	42
Shopping Centers	43
Appendix C: Assignment Tool	45
Land Use	45
Karst	47
TEAP	48
Appendix D: Allocation Toolset	51
Open Space	53
Single Family (SF) 2010	54
Multi Family (MF)	55
Basic Heavy Industrial (BHI)	56
Basic Light Industrial (BLI)	57
Service Heavy Industrial (SHI)	58
Service Light Industrial (SLI)	59
Basic High Commercial (BHC)	60
Basic Low Commercial (BLC)	61
Service High Commercial (SHC)	62
Service Low Commercial	63
Appendix E: Suitability Tool	65
Suitability for 2005	65
Suitability for 2010	66

List of Figures

Figure 3.1: Screenshot of Rating Table in Microsoft Office Access	. 11
Figure 3.2: Screenshot of the AHP Weighting Table in Microsoft Office Access	. 11
Figure 3.3: Screenshot of Download Page	. 12
Figure 3.4: Customize Option in the Tools Menu	. 12
Figure 3.5: Adding the AHP Extension	. 13
Figure 3.6: Adding AHP Toolbar	. 13
Figure 3.7: AHP Toolbar	. 13
Figure 3.8: Defining Criteria (Factors) for Weighting	. 14
Figure 3.9: Adding Description to the Criteria	
Figure 3.10: Pair-wise Comparison in AHP	. 15
Figure 3.11: AHP Result	. 15
Figure 3.12: Entering AHP Result into the Weighting Toolset	. 16
Figure 4.1: Adding the Model Toolbox	
Figure 4.2: The Model in ArcToolbox	
Figure 4.3: Example of Proximity Tool (Proximity to Highway)	
Figure 4.4: Example of Accessibility Tool (Accessibility to Airport)	
Figure 4.5: Example of Assignment Tool (Land Use Rating Layer)	
Figure 4.6: Mask Tool for Each Land Use	
Figure 4.7: Result of the Mask Tool	
Figure 4.8: Suitability for Each Land Use Tool	
Figure 4.9: Combine the Suitability Layers Tool	. 23
Figure 4.10: Example of Allocation Tool (Allocating BHI)	
Figure 4.11: Adding Scenario 1 Result in Compare Scenarios Tool	
Figure 4.12: Adding Scenario 2 Result in Compare Scenario Tool	
Figure 4.13: Specifying Name and Location of Result	
Figure 4.14: Net Gain/Loss of Development due to Scenario Change	
Figure 4.15: TAZ Tool.	
Figure 4.16: TAZ Attribute Field	
Figure 4.17: Select all the Fields in the Attribute Table and Copy to Microsoft Excel	
Figure 4.18: Join the Excel Sheet with TAZ Shapefile	
Figure 4.19: Add New Field to the TAZ Attribute Table	
Figure 4.20: Calculate Area in Acre	
Figure 4.21: Calculate Employment Density in Field Calculator	
g	
Figure A1: Proximity to Highway Tool	. 31
Figure A2: Result of Proximity to Highway Tool	
Figure A3: Result (Zoomed In) of Proximity to Highway Tool	
Figure A4: Proximity to Endangered Species Tool	
Figure A5: Result of Proximity to Endangered Species Tool	
Figure A6: Proximity to Water Bodies Tool	
Figure A7: Result of Proximity to Water Bodies Tool	.36
Figure A8: Proximity to Wetlands Tool	
Figure A9: Result of Proximity to Wetland Tool	
Figure B1: Accessibility to Airport Tool	. 39

Figure B2: Result of Accessibility to Airport Tool	. 40
Figure B3: Accessibility to Employment Centers Tool	. 40
Figure B4: Result of Accessibility to Employment Centers Tool	
Figure B5: Accessibility to Intersection Tool	
Figure B6: Result of Accessibility to Intersection Tool	. 43
Figure B7: Accessibility to Shopping Centers Tool	. 43
Figure B8: Result of Accessibility to Shopping Centers Tool	
Figure C1: Assignment Tool for Land Use	. 45
Figure C2: Result of Assignment of Land Use Tool	. 46
Figure C3: Assignment Tool for Karst	. 47
Figure C4: Result of Assignment of Karst Tool	. 48
Figure C5: Assignment Tool for TEAP	. 49
Figure C6: Result of Assignment of TEAP Tool	. 50
Figure D1: Allocation Tool to Combine Suitability Layers	
Figure D2: Result of Allocation Tool Showing Available Land for Development	
Figure D3: Allocation Tool for Open Space	
Figure D4: Allocated Open Space	. 53
Figure D5: Allocation Tool for Single Family	. 54
Figure D6: Allocated Single Family	
Figure D7: Allocation Tool for Multi Family	
Figure D8: Allocated Multi Family	
Figure D9: Allocation Tool for Basic Heavy Industrial	. 56
Figure D10: Allocated Basic Heavy Industrial	
Figure D11: Allocation Tool for Basic Light Industrial	. 57
Figure D12: Allocated Basic Light Industrial	
Figure D13: Allocation Tool for Service Heavy Industrial	. 58
Figure D14: Allocated Service Heavy Industrial	. 58
Figure D15: Allocation Tool for Service Light Industrial	. 59
Figure D16: Allocated Service Light Industrial	. 59
Figure D17: Allocation Tool for Basic High Commercial	. 60
Figure D18: Allocated Basic High Commercial	. 60
Figure D19: Allocation Tool for Basic Low Commercial	. 61
Figure D20: Allocated Basic Low Commercial	. 61
Figure D21: Allocation Tool for Service High Commercial	. 62
Figure D22: Allocated Service High Commercial	
Figure D23: Allocation Tool for Service Low Commercial	
Figure D24: Allocated Service Low Commercial	. 63
Figure E1: Suitability Tool	. 65
Figure E2: Suitability Tool for 2005	
Figure E3: Result Suitability 2005	. 66
Figure E4: Result Suitability 2010	. 66
Figure E5: Result Suitability Single Family 2010	. 67

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Data Types and Sources	. 1
Table 3.2: Employment Categories in Percentage	. 2
Table 3.3: Average Area per Employment	. 3
Table 3.4: Basic and Service Employment in Hays County	. 4
Table 3.5: Household Categories	
Table 3.6: Households in Hays County	. 5
Table 3.7: Households of the Study Area	. 5
Table 3.8: Required Land for Employment in Hays County	6
Table 3.9: Required Land for Employment in the Study Area	. 7
Table 3.10: Formula from Regression Analysis	. 7
Table 3.11: Total Required Land	. 8
Table 3.12: Selected Suitability Factors	. 8
Table 3.13: Selected Land Uses	9
Table 3.14: Suitability Factors' Categories 1	10
Table 3.15: Sample Rating Table	10
Table A1: Rating Table for Proximity to Highway	32
Table A2: Rating Table for Endangered Species	34
Table A3: Rating Table for Water Bodies	36
Table A4: Rating Table for Wetlands	37
Table B1: Rating Table for Airport	40
Table B2: Rating Table for Employment Centers	41
Table B3: Rating Table for Intersection	42
Table B4: Rating Table for Shopping Centers	
Table C1: Rating Table for Existing Land Use 4	46
Table C2: Rating Table for Karst	
Table C3: Rating Table for TEAP	19

Section I: Background and Application Process

1. Background

This Guidebook is prepared as part of Task 2 of implementation project 5-5667, which focuses on the development of a prototype application of the Suitability Analysis model using GIS for a selected region in Texas. It is organized into two sections. Section I provides a description of the study area and background information on data collection and analysis process. Section II introduces a prototype model with a step-by-step process to run it. Appendices to the Guidebook give additional details about each component of the model along with the required data and GIS tools to run the model, and results of each step.

2. Study Area

We selected the Austin Region for the development of a prototype application model using Suitability Analysis. The study region is comprised of three counties (Hays, Travis, and Williamson) out of the five counties that are within the Austin–Round Rock–San Marcos metropolitan area.

3. Process

As mentioned in the report, this model follows a four-step process.

- 1) Projection of Employment and Households
- 2) Calculation of Required Land
- 3) Suitability Analysis
- 4) Allocation of Employment and Households

Projection of Employment and Household

3.1.1 Data

Table 3.1 shows types of employment and household data with their sources collected for this study.

Data Type	Year	Source
Population and households	2005	U.S. Census Bureau
Population and households	2014 projected	ESRI
Population	2005-2040 projected	Texas State Data Center
Average parcel size for each land use		CAPCOG data clearinghouse
Total employment projections		Austin's Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO)
Employment (2 digit NAICS)	2009	Texas Comptroller Office
Employment Estimation (4 digit NAICS)	2006	Texas Workforce Commission
Employment Projection (4 digit NAICS)	2016	Texas Workforce Commission
Employment Estimation (2 digit NAICS)	2008	ESRI Business Analyst
Employment Projection (2 digit NAICS)	2013	ESRI Business Analyst

Table 3.1: Data Types and Sources

After collecting required data for employment and household, this data was classified into different categories.

3.1.2 Employment Classification

For this study purpose, 17 categories of employment were developed based on the data collected from Texas Workforce Commission for 2006. This report consolidated 4-digit NAICS codes into the 2-digit NAICS categories.

Table 3.2 shows 2-digit NAICS employment categories with percentage of employees in each category for all three counties (Hays, Travis, and Williamson) for 2006. The purpose of showing employment categories in percentage is to break down total projected employment data from CAMPO into 2-digit NAICS categories for each projection year.

Table 3.2: Employment Categories in PercentageEmployment CategoriesEmployment Percentage			centage
	Hays	Travis	Williamson
Accommodation and Food Services	7.80	8.30	7.80
Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services	6.30	14.80	6.30
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting	0.80	0.10	0.80
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	1.50	1.90	1.50
Construction	15.30	10.6	15.30
Educational Services	47.50	37.40	47.50
Finance and Insurance	3.00	3.20	3.00
Health Care and Social Assistance	3.50	3.90	3.50
Information	0.00	0.60	0.00
Manufacturing	3.50	7.20	3.50
Other Services (except Public Administration)	1.60	1.60	1.60
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	1.70	3.60	1.70
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	0.40	0.70	0.40
Retail Trade	6.90	4.90	6.90
Transportation and Warehousing	0.00	0.60	0.00
Utilities	0.3	0.00	0.3
Wholesale Trade	0.40	0.50	0.40
Total	100%	100%	100%

Table 3.2: Employment Categories in Percentage

Average area per employee needs to be calculated to find out how much land would be required for projected employment. Average area per employee was calculated by dividing the existing area with the number of employees in every 2-digit NAICS category. Table 3.3 shows average area per employment in square foot for Hays County. Average area for Travis and Williamson County can be calculated similarly.

Employment Categories	Number of Employment	Area (Sq.Ft)	Area per Employment (Sq.Ft)
Accommodation and Food Services	4,786	2,548,750	532.54
Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services	587	776,250	1,322.40
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting	101	296,250	2,933.17
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	637	1,032,500	1,620.88
Construction	3,238	3,520,000	1,087.09
Educational Services	5,460	4,170,000	763.74
Finance and Insurance	753	940,000	1,248.34
Health Care and Social Assistance	3,537	3,395,000	959.85
Information	630	1,250,000	1,984.13
Manufacturing	3,413	3,562,500	1,007.14
Other Services (except Public Administration)	1,894	3,958,750	2,090.15
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	1,626	2,377,500	1,462.18
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	1,215	3,133,750	2,579.22
Retail Trade	1,928	1,711,250	887.58
Transportation and Warehousing	665	1,088,750	1,637.22
Utilities	157	487,500	3,105.10
Wholesale Trade	1,810	3,157,500	1,744.48

Table 3.3: Average Area per Employment

Employment was categorized into basic and service sectors using the result of Location Quotient Technique. The following formula was used to calculate the number of basic sector employment for Hays County. The same formula can be used to calculate the number of basic sector employment for other counties or areas.

	Hays County		
	Employment	Total Hays County	
Basic Sector Employment =	Industry	Employment	× Texas Employment Industry
Basic Sector Employment –	Texas	Total Texas	Texas Employment muusuy
	Employment Industry	Employment	

Table 3.4 shows basic and service sector employment in Hays County for the year 2005 and 2010.

Employment Categories	2005 2010)10	
	Basic	Service	Basic	Service
Accommodation and Food Services	0	3,227	0	3,855
Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services	0	2,625	0	3,189
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting	0	0	0	0
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	0	638	0	749
Construction	849	5,496	1,107	6,482
Educational Services	3,191	16,453	3,698	20,242
Finance and Insurance	0	1,247	0	1,445
Health Care and Social Assistance	0	1,458	0	1,772
Information	0	15	0	15
Manufacturing	22	1,443	95	1,613
Other Services (except Public Administration)	67	608	86	697
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	0	711	0	861
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	0	181	0	209
Retail Trade	939	1,903	1,142	2,201
Transportation and Warehousing	0	0	0	0
Utilities	20	111	13	121
Wholesale Trade	0	145	0	162

Table 3.4: Basic and Service Employment in Hays County

3.1.3 Household Classification

The household (single family and multifamily) classification of the study area was categorized into three groups as shown in Table 3.5 based on household income level. Such categorization of household is required for input in TDM.

Household Income Level (in U.S. Dollars)	Income Category
<50,000	Low Income
50,000 - 99,999	Medium Income
>100,000	High Income

Table 3.5: Household Categories

Table 3.6 shows total number of households with income categories in Hays County for 2005 and 2010.

Table 3.6: Households in Hays County						
Income Category	2005	2010				
Low Income	24,197	25,430				
Medium Income	15,135	22,084				
High Income	8,160	14,851				
Grand Total	47,492	62,365				

T-11-2(. II. a

By adding the number of households with income categories of Hays, Travis, and Williamson County, we have the total number of households with income categories for the entire study area.

Tuble etter Households of the Study fifed							
Income Category	2005	2010					
Low Income	232,370	205,998					
Medium Income	176,815	225,196					
High Income	73,934	130,494					
Grand Total	483,119	561,687					

Table 3.7: Households of the Study Area

Required Land

Required land is the amount of land that is needed to accommodate future/projected employment and household. To calculate the future required land, we needed the average land area for each employment and household categories. This data was obtained from parcel data provided by CAPCOG.

3.1.4 Required Land for Employment

Table 3.8 shows the required land for both basic and service sector employment for the year 2010 for Hays County. To get the required land for basic and service sector employment: 1) find the difference between the number of employment for 2005 and 2010 for both basic and service sectors by using data from Table 3.4, and 2) multiply the difference with the average area per employment as shown in Table 3.3.

Employment Categories	Growth 2		Required Land (Sq.Ft)		
	Basic	Service	Basic	Service	
Accommodation and Food Services	0	628	0	334,463	
Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services	0	564	0	745,797	
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting	0	0	0	0	
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	0	111	0	179,990	
Construction	258	987	800,673	3,063,549	
Educational Services	507	3,789	387,004	2,893,702	
Finance and Insurance	0	198	0	247,284	
Health Care and Social Assistance	0	315	0	302,081	
Information	0	1	0	1,364	
Manufacturing	74	170	87,959	194,399	
Other Services (except Public Administration)	20	90	41,710	187,227	
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	0	150	0	219,377	
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	0	28	0	72,177	
Retail Trade	202	297	223,750	327,560	
Transportation and Warehousing	0	0	0	0	
Utilities	-7	10	-6,101	8,692	
Wholesale Trade	0	17	0	16,173	

Table 3.8: Required Land for Employment in Hays County

Table 3.9 shows the total required land for basic and service sector employment of the entire study area. It was obtained by adding required land for basic and service sector employment of Hays, Travis, and Williamson County.

Employment Categories	Required Land (Sq.Ft)				
	Basic	Service			
Accommodation and Food Services	266,572	266,448			
Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services	1,580,969	5,451,375			
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting					
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	151,756	1,382,763			
Construction	1,473,996	11,229,201			
Educational Services	1,735,968	31,467,342			
Finance and Insurance	0	1,145,659			
Health Care and Social Assistance	0	2,347,173			
Information	-354,996	237,304			
Manufacturing	-859,341	841,043			
Other Services (except Public Administration)	105,826	990,066			
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	688,862	1,774,061			
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	0	658,817			
Retail Trade	956,184	3,104,811			
Transportation and Warehousing	0	56,919			
Utilities	-7,677	64,662			
Wholesale Trade	85,208	308,845			
Grand Total	5,823,329	63,726,487			

Table 3.9: Required Land for Employment in the Study Area

3.1.5 Required Land for Household

Based on the result of the regression analysis (for census block groups data of the study area with variables: total number of low income, medium income and high income, sum of area of single family, sum of area of multifamily, and total count of single family), we derived a formula (Table 3.10) to calculate the required land for household. Table 3.11 shows the required land for household of the study area.

	10		Ionnaia			-98-8	
Income	Area						
Category	for						
Low	MF		2021.1			-	9378.4
Income	SF	_	2234.4	v	Change in		11836006.9
Medium	MF	=	1221.7	X	Household		402759.4
Income	SF		31167.2			+	4828423.1
High	MF		888.6				583922.5
Income	SF		45120.5				6300425.4

Table 3.10: Formula from Regression Analysis

Income Category	2005	2010 Change		Required Land (Sq.Ft)			
				Single Family	Multifamily		
Low Income	232,370	205,998	-26,373	-1,183,641,079	-22,850,703		
Medium Income	176,815	225,196	48,381	621,940,250	97,773,923		
High Income	73,934	130,494	56,560	1,767,634,394	69,501,686		
Grand Total	483,119	561,687	78,568	1,205,933,565	144,424,906		

Table 3.11: Total Required Land

Note: Negative (-) sign indicates loss of projected household and required land.

Suitability Analysis

Suitability analysis is a 4-step process.

- 1. Selection of Suitability Factors
- 2. Selection of Land uses
- 3. Range of Buffer
- 4. Rating and Weighting

3.1.6 Selection of Suitability Factors

Suitability factors—both natural environmental and built environmental—need to be selected for suitability analysis. Selection of suitability factors is subjective and depends upon the purpose and the location of the study area. Table 3.12 shows the selected suitability factors for this study.

Natural Environmental	Built Environmental
Water Bodies	Highways
Wetlands	Intersections
Texas Ecological Assessment Protocol (TEAP)	Employment Centers
Karst (geological formations with aquifers, also a habitat for some endangered species)	Airports
	Existing Land use

Table 3.12: Selected Suitability Factors

3.1.7 Selection of Land uses

Identifying land uses for the suitability analysis was based on employment and household categories. Selection of land uses is also subjective and depends upon the purpose and location of the study area. Table 3.13 shows the selected land uses for this study.

Table	3.13:	Selected	Land	Uses

Use Categories	Activities
Single-Family residential (SF)	
Multi-Family residential (MF)	
Basic Low Commercial (BLC) Service Low Commercial (SLC)	Offices, assisted living, day care, retail sales and services, restaurants, banks, nursery or greenhouse, grocery sales, pharmacies, fitness centers, dance and music academies, artist studio, colleges and universities, bed and breakfast.
Basic High Commercial (BHC) Service High Commercial (SHC)	Any use in Low Commercial plus bar, nightclub, entertainment venues, hospital, hotel, liquor store, office/warehouse, vehicle and equipment sales, leasing and repair, furniture sales, pet shop, wholesale activities.
Basic Light Industrial (BLI) Service Light Industrial (SLI)	Any use in HC plus commercial laundry, contractor storage yard, lumber yards, indoor manufacture, assembly and processing, mini-warehouse, RV, trailer and boat storage, SOB's, testing and research, warehouse and distribution, wholesale, wrecker impoundment.
Basic Heavy Industrial (BHI) Service Heavy Industrial (SHI)	Any use in LI plus outdoor manufacture, assembly and processing.
Open Space (OS)	City parks, pocket parks, community gardens, outdoor recreational areas, natural areas, environmentally sensitive areas, greenways

3.1.8 Suitability Factors' Categories

Proximity/accessibility to the suitability factors was used to determine the location of future development. Proximity/accessibility was defined by buffer ranges around each factor. Buffer values are included in the rating table. Table 3.14 shows a range of buffers for the selected suitability factors.

Factors	Analysis	Suitability Factors' Categories
Highway	Proximity	50, 200, 500, 1000, 2000, 10000 feet
Intersection	Accessibility	0.5, 1, 2, 3 miles
Employment Centers	Accessibility	1000, 5000, 10000, 15000, 20000 feet
Shopping Centers	Accessibility	1000, 5000, 10000, 15000, 20000 feet
Airport	Accessibility	1000, 5000, 10000, 15000, 20000 feet
Karst	Assignment Features	zone 1, zone 2, zone 3
Endangered Species	Proximity	328, 984, 2296, 4921 feet
Water Bodies	Proximity	98, 328, 656, 3280 feet
Wetlands	Proximity	98, 328, 918, 3280 feet
ТЕАР	Assignment Raster	1, 10, 25, 50 (in percent of the total area)
Existing Land use	Assignment	Vacant Lots and Tracts, Qualified Agricultural Land, Farm and Ranch Improvements (because we want to focus on undeveloped areas)

Table 3.14: Suitability Factors' Categories

3.1.9 Rating

Each of the suitability factors' categories was rated based on its suitability for each land use with a scale of -10 to 10. A sample rating table for the suitability factor "Highway" is shown in Table 3.15. Columns "Buffer_From" and "Buffer_To" show the range of buffers. Green columns show different land use categories by way of their ratings with respect to a range of buffers.

		=			r	8					
Buffer_From	Buffer_To	MF	SF	BHC	BHI	BLC	SHC	SHI	SLC	SLI	OS
0	50	-10	-10	10	-5	10	10	-5	10	-4	2
50	200	-5	-7	10	0	10	10	0	10	0	4
200	500	0	-3	7	2	5	8	4	5	3	1
500	1000	1	0	3	6	0	3	6	0	5	0
1000	2000	2	1	0	8	-2	0	8	-5	1	0
2000	10000	5	3	-4	-2	-3	-4	-3	-3	-5	-10

 Table 3.15: Sample Rating Table

For this study, rating tables for all the selected suitability factors were created in Microsoft Access as ".mdb" file, as shown in Figure 3.1.

	ternal Data	a Database	Tools Datashe									
View Paste Format Painte		I <u>U</u> A.	A + □ + □ +		aby Refresh	Save Save	E Totals Spelling More +		Filter	Selection Advanced	i * Eind	Sel
Views Clipboard	TA .	F	Font	Ta Ri	ch Text	Records			Sort &	k Filter		Find
Read-Only This databas	11				ed tables. To make d	esign changes, sa	ve a copy of t	the dat	tabase,	Save As	·	
Tables A		ID -	Buffer_From +	Buffer_To -	Distance_HV -	Field1 +	MF	•	BHC	+	SF	- E
Acs_Air		1	0	50	50	50		-10		10	-1	.0
Acs_Emp		2	50	200	200	200		-5		10		7
Acs_Ints		3		500		500		0		7		3
Acs_Shp		4		1000	10.000	1000		1		3		0
Dist_HW		5		2000		2000		2		0		1
		6	2000	10000	10000	10000		5		-4		3
Karet												
LU_Inv												
LU_Inv Prox_ESp												
U_Inv Prox_ESp Prox_Wat												
LU_Inv Prox_ESp												

Figure 3.1: Screenshot of Rating Table in Microsoft Office Access Note: Any land use that is rated "-11" is considered "null" and masked.

3.1.10 Weighting

For calculating each factor's weight, the AHP model was used. Therefore, all factors were compared with each other in a pair-wise comparison matrix, which is a measure to express the relative preference among the factors. Each factor was weighted expressing a judgment of the relative importance or preference of one factor against other as tabulated in .dbf format (shown in Figure 3.2).

ID	 Field12 	 Field1 	 Field2 	- Field3	- Field4	 Field5 	 Field6 	 Field7 	- Field8	 Field9 	 Field10 	- Field11
	1	Prox_Esp	Prox_Wat	Prox_Wet	TEAP	Karst	Acs_Air	Acs_Emp	Acs_Ints	Acs_Shp	Dist_HW	LU_Inv
	2 Prox_Esp	1										
	3 Prox_Wat	9	1									
	4 Prox_Wet	7	0.5	1								
	5 TEAP	2	0.5	1	1							
	6 Karst	2	0.5	3	2	1						
	7 Acs_Air	9	3	3	5	7	1					
	8 Acs_Emp	9	7	3	2	5	0.25	1				
	9 Acs_Ints	9	4	3	3	7	0.5	5	1			
	10 Acs_Shp	9	1	7	7	9	2	3	0.5	1		
	11 Dist_HW	9	3	7	5	7	0.5	3	4	3	1	
	12 LU Inv	9	5	3	2	3	0.75	2	0.75	0.5	0.25	1

Figure 3.2: Screenshot of the AHP Weighting Table in Microsoft Office Access

The table was then entered in the AHP Extension to get weighting for all the land uses, as shown in Figure 3.3. AHP Extension can be downloaded from http://arcscripts.esri.com/details.asp?dbid=13764.

AHP 1.1 – Decision support tool for ArcGIS

download contact author d	ownload help
Author	Oswald Marinoni
File Name	extAhp.zip
Language	Visual Basic
Last Modified	Feb 13 2009
Status of work	Public Domain
Software	ArcGIS - ArcView
File Size	356.56 kb
Downloads	5083
report inappropriate content software products. Please ale product.	ArcScripts is intended for the free exchange of scripts and tools related to ESRI rt he moderator if this script is a demo, trial-version, or an advertisement for a retail
Summary	
The provided extension perfor Process (AHP). Powerful tool fo and some example files includ	ms a criteria weight determination according to the well known Analytic Hierarchy or the creation of suitability maps (spatial planning, risk mapping and more)! Manual ed. Limited to integer rasters. Allows up to 20 criteria.
A 'Spatial Analyst' extension is	; required!

Figure 3.3: Screenshot of Download Page

3.1.10.1 Running the AHP Extension in ArcGIS

Steps:

- 1) Launch ArcGIS.
- 2) From the Tools Menu, choose Customize.
- 3) "Add from file" field (Figure 3.4).

Categories:	Commands:		
3D Analyst 3D View Adjustment Advanced Edit Tools ArcPad ArcScan Attribute transfer CAD Data Converters Data Frames DataGraph Developer Samples Dimensioning	 Edit Layer: AHP Color Dropper Color: Copy Cut DeveloperSamples_SelectToo Draw A Draw Text Drawing Tool: 		
	Descriptio	Click on "A	Add from file

Figure 3.4: Customize Option in the Tools Menu

4) Browse the extension "extahp.dll" and OK (Figure 3.5).

	Added Objects.		X
Main Mer	-		W
3D Analy: Advance	clsExtAhpRcCmd		anite
Animation			-
Annotatio			léte
ArcPad			
ArcScan			set
COGO			
Cadastral			
Context M		1	
Data Fran		OK	
Dimension		-	

Figure 3.5: Adding the AHP Extension

- 5) On the Commands tab, choose "Developer Samples" from "Categories."
- 6) Choose AHP from the "Commands" list box.
- 7) Drag AHP onto the ArcMap environment (Figure 3.6).

oolbars Commands Options	ntaining:	
Categories:		Commands:
Data Converters Data Frames Data View Context Menu DataGraph Developer Samples Dimensioning Distributed Geodatabase Edit Editor File Generic Constituted		AHP
		Description

Figure 3.6: Adding AHP Toolbar

The ArcMap environment looks like this (Figure 3.7):



8) Click on the "AHP" and define the criteria (Figures 3.8 and 3.9). The number of criteria is restricted to a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 20.

AHP - specify crit - Select criteria raster layer								X
Please select and the a	add raster layers to the list of raste	ers on the ri	ight hand si	de. All ra:	sters must be i	nteger ra	asters.	
Classified raster layers: (must be integer)	Reclass of slope Reclass of sand Reclass of peat	<u>ب</u>	descriptor	•	layername			
				C	ancel		Next>	

Figure 3.8: Defining Criteria (Factors) for Weighting

AHP - specify crit	eria - step 1 of 2				×
 Select criteria raster layer 	\$				
Please select and the a	dd raster layers to the list of raste	ers on the r	ight hand side. All ras	sters must be intege	r rasters.
			descriptor	layername	
(must be integer)	Reclass of peat		Reclass of slope	Reclass of slope	
		->			
		<·			
			C	ancel	Next >

Figure 3.9: Adding Description to the Criteria

9) After all criteria are defined, click *Next* to reach the screen shown in Figure 3.10.



Figure 3.10: Pair-wise Comparison in AHP

10) Put values in the matrix for all the factors and click Compute and Ok.

11) The result of the AHP for the selected suitability factors in the study is shown in Figure 3.11:

📕 AHP-Result.txt -												2
File Edit Format Vie acs_emp_rt05 acs_ints_rt05 acs_shp_rt05 prox_hwy_rt05 lu_inv_rt05	acs_emp.	s_rt05 _rt05 y_rt05										
[Preference Mat prox_esp_rto5 prox_wat_rt05 prox_wat_rt05 teap_rt05 karst_rt05 karst_rt05 acs_air_rt05 acs_mp_rt05 acs_ntp_rt05 prox_hwy_rt05		prox_wa 0.1111 1 0.5 0.5 0.5 3 7 4 1 3 5	t_rt05 0.1429 2 1 3 3 3 7 7 3	prox_we 0.5 2 1 2 5 2 3 7 5 2 2	et_rt05 0.5 2 0.33333 0.5 1 7 5 7 9 7 3	teap_rt 0.1111 0.3333 0.2 0.1429 1 0.25 0.5 2 0.5 0.5 0.75	0.1111 0.1429	0.1111 0.25 0.3333	t05 0.1111 1 0.1429 0.1429 0.1111 0.5 0.3333 2 1 3 0.5	acs_air 0.1111 0.3333 0.1429 0.2 0.1429 2 0.1429 2 0.3333 0.25 0.3333 1 0.25	rt05 0.1111 0.2 0.3333 0.5 1.3333 0.5 1.3333 2 4 1	
[*****AHP resul [Eigenvalues] 12.7644 0.3183 -0.2275 -0.2275 -0.2275 -0.4143 -0.4143 -0.4143 0.0815 0.0815 -0.4521 -0.4521 -0.8283	ts****											

Figure 3.11: AHP Result

The AHP result was entered into the Weighting Toolset (see Section II, 4.1.5), as depicted in Figure 3.12.

		É
Raster	Field	Weight 🔼 🕂
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\prox_esp_rt10	SF	0.0113
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\prox_wat_rt10	SF	0.0523
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\prox_wet_rt10	SF	0.0303
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\teap_rt10	SF	0.0279
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\karst_rt10	SF	0.0285
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\acs_air_rt10	SF	0.1651
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\acs_emp_rt10	SF	0.0846 🔸
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\acs_ints_rt10	SF	0.1416
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\acs_shp_rt10	SF	0.1475 🚬 🞽
()		>
utput Layer		
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\su_sf_05		🛁 🖌
_mask (optional)		
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\sf_mask		(2)

Figure 3.12: Entering AHP Result into the Weighting Toolset

3.1.10.2 Mask

After rating and weighting, the next step was to mask the area that is not available for development. In this model, the process is called "Masking." The purpose of this process is to exclude water bodies, environmentally sensitive areas, and undevelopable land uses from allocation. This process ensures that allocation of projected employment and household will not take place in these masked areas.

Allocation of Employment and Households

Allocation of the projected employment and household into developable land (supply) is based on how much required land (demand) is needed to accommodate them. This process involves determining the order of allocation into the most suitable developable land in the region. LUM essentially allocates projected economy growth, represented by employment and household growth; the order for allocation is based on how an area developed according to the economic base theory. For this project the order of allocation was determined as:

> Basic Heavy Industrial (BHI) Basic High Commercial (BHC) Basic Light Industrial (BLI) Basic Low Commercial (BLC) Multi Family (MF) Single Family (SF) Open Space (OS) Service Heavy Industrial (SHI) Service High Commercial (SHC) Service Light Industrial (SLI) Service Low Commercial (SLC)

Section II: Prototype Model and Step-by-Step Process

Section II is intended to provide user friendly step-by-step guide to run the SA Model for similar types of applications.

4. Running the SA Model Toolbox in ArcGIS

The SA model application in ArcGIS was developed using Model Builder. The following are the steps performed to run the model:

- 1) Open ArcMap.
- 2) Open Arc Toolbox and add Toolbox (Figure 4.1).



Figure 4.1: Adding the Model Toolbox

3) Add the SA Model toolbox (Figure 4.2).



Figure 4.2: The Model in ArcToolbox

The prototype model is divided into three toolsets: *Rating, Weighting, and Allocation.*

Rating Toolset

The *Rating* toolset performs the straight-line distance analysis for the related suitability factors, assigns the rating tables (.mdb), and produces a raster layer, which includes the ratings for each land use in its attribute table.

4.1.1 Proximity

SA Model \longrightarrow Rating \longrightarrow Proximity

Proximity selects the first component *rating* to perform *buffering* and assigns *rating* values to the factors. The *Proximity* tool (Figure 4.3) produces a straight-line distance from the suitability factor and assigns rating value to these distances (*Buffer_From* and Buffer_To).



Figure 4.3: Example of Proximity Tool (Proximity to Highway)

4.1.2 Accessibility

SA Model \longrightarrow Rating \longrightarrow Accessibility

Select *Accessibility* tool (Figure 4.4) to perform the accessibility analysis. This tool produces distances based on the transportation network, the rating tables (.mdb), and a raster layer, which includes the ratings for each land use in its attribute table.



Figure 4.4: Example of Accessibility Tool (Accessibility to Airport)

4.1.3 Assignment

SA Model \longrightarrow Rating \longrightarrow Assignment

Select *Assignment* tool (Figure 4.5) to assign the rating table to unique categories of suitability factors such as land use, Karst Zone, and TEAP. This tool does not perform distance analysis. It produces a raster file.



Figure 4.5: Example of Assignment Tool (Land Use Rating Layer)

Weighting Toolset

The *Weighting* toolset assigns weights to all the suitability factors and generates a suitability map for each of the land use categories.

This tool has two sub-tools and performs two major tasks: 1) masking and 2) assigning weights. The two sub-tools are Mask for Each Land Use and Suitability for Each Land Use.

4.1.4 Mask for Each Land Use

The purpose of this sub-tool is to mask the area that cannot be developed for reasons such as wetlands, endangered species, etc. This sub-tool masks all the cells in each land use category that are rated "-11" for each suitability factor.

Steps: SA Model ----> Weighting ---> Mask for Each Land Use

- 1. Define the expression for each land use; for example, for Single Family Residential, put the expression as "SF" = -11.
- 2. Specify the name and location of the output mask layer.

- 3. Put raster datasets of all the selected suitability factors from the database. For example, for wetlands, put the raster file "prox_wet_rt10."
- 4. Click OK.

Similarly, we can perform masking for other land use categories, as shown in Figure 4.6.

7.3				
		Analyst Tools	🔁 21 Mask for Each Land Use 2010	
		Statistics Tools		^
		Analyst Tools	Expression (optional)	_1
) TxDOT I 01 F		"SF" = -11	SQL .
5		taung 10 Proximity	Output Mask Layer	
		10 Proximity 10 Proximity to Endangerou	C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\Temp\RoundUp_Divi1	2
		10 Proximity to Highway	prox wet rt10	_
		10 Proximity to Water Bodie	C:\Al\\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\prox wet rt10	2
		10 Proximity to Wetlands	prox_wat_rt10	
		11 Reduce Cell Size		2
		12 Accessibility		_
		12 Accessibility to Airport	prox_hwy_rt10	~
		12 Accessibility to Employm		2
		12 Accessibility to Intersect 12 Accessibility to Shoping	prox_esp_rea	
		12 Accessibility to Shoping 13 Assignment Feature	C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\prox_esp_rt10	2
		13 Karst Zones Rating Lave	lu inv rt10	
		13 Land Use Rating Laver	C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\lu_inv_rt10	2
		14 TEAP Rating Layer	karst rt10	_
E	🗟 🔕 02 V	Veigting	C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\karst_rt10	2
		21 Mask for Each Land Use	and the shift	
		21 Mask for Each Land Use		2
		21 Mask for Each Land Use		-
		21 Suitability for Each Land		
		21 Suitability for Each Land 21 Suitability for Each Land		2
		21 Suitability for Each Land 21 Suitibility	acs_emp_rt10	
		21 Suitability for Each Land	C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\acs_emp_rt10	2
		22 Suitability for Each Land		_
		22 Suitability for Each Land	C:\Al\\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\acs air rt10	2 🗸
E				
	- 💰 assi		OK Cancel Environments << Hide	Help
	🕱 suitz	ahilitv		

Figure 4.6: Mask Tool for Each Land Use

Result: The white areas of the map are masked for Single Family (SF) development (Figure 4.7). This means SF development cannot be allocated in these areas.

 G Samples Schemutics Task G Schemutics Task G Sortel Analyst Tools G Spatial Analyst Tools	• 21 Lot Writesh Enviro. 2011		.0 8	12 J. M. M.
Constant Analysis Tools	Expression (optional)		星	
- So 01 Rating	Dutput Mask Laver		-	
10 Proventy IO Proventy to Endangerou	CiAl(T=DOT)(The doubles of much _st_10			A. STATISTICS
 IG Presently to Highway IO Provinity to Water Bodia ID Provinity to Wetlands 	C:(A)(TxDOT)(ThedRun)(Result)2010(prox_wet_rc10 prox_wet_rc10	-		
11 Heduce Cell Stan 12 Accessibility	C:(All(TxDOT)(ThirdRun/Result)2010(prox_wat_tr10 prox_lway_r110	*		
 12 Accessbility to Airport 12 Accessbility to Employment 12 Accessbility to Employment 12 Accessbility to Intersection 	C:(A4(1xDOT)(ThirdRun)(Result)2010(prox_hwy_f10 prox_esp_rt10	٠	1	
 12 Accessibility to Shoping 4 (3 Assignment Feature 	C.WM/TxD0T1THirdRun/Result(2010[prox_exp_rt10	٠	-	
13 Karst Zones Rating Layer 14 12 Land Use Rating Layer 14 19:24 Rating Layer	C:(Al)(TxDOT)(ThedRus/(Result)2010)(u_inv_rt10 karst_rt10			
So (2 Wington) So (2 Wi	C:(All/1xDOT(ThirdRup)Result(2010(kard_rt10 acs_shp_rt10			×
21 Mask for Each Land Use 21 Mask for Each Land Use	C:\AllTxDOT(ThedRaev/Result(2010)acs_dqu_r10 acs_sts_st10	•		
 21 Suitability for Each Land 	C.(All/txDOT)TirdRus/Result/2010(acs_bits_H10 acs_mm_H10	-		A Bar March
21 Sutbity	C:(All/TxDOT).ThirdRun/Result(2010)acs-emp_rb10	1	1	Same Parts

Figure 4.7: Result of the Mask Tool

4.1.5 Suitability for Each Land Use

This component of the Weighting tool uses AHP results (weight scores) for each land use to get the suitability layers.

Steps: SA Model \longrightarrow Weighting \longrightarrow Suitability for Each Land Use

- 1. Put raster files of all the suitability factors in the "input layer."
- 2. Select the land use under "Field;" here the selected land use is SF.
- 3. Use the weights that are generated by AHP (refer to section 2.3.5.1).
- 4. Specify the name and location of the "Output Layer."
- 5. Put the mask layer. For example, here the mask layer for SF is "sf_mask" (as shown in Figure 4.8).
- 6. Click OK.

22 Suitability for Each Land-use 2010		
input_layer		
		É
Raster	Field	Weight 🔼 📥
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\prox_esp_rt10	SF	0.0113
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\prox_wat_rt10	SF	0.0523
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\prox_wet_rt10	SF	0.0303
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\teap_rt10	SF	0.0279
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\karst_rt10	SF	0.0285
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\acs_air_rt10	SF	0.1651
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\acs_emp_rt10	SF	0.0846
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\acs_ints_rt10	SF	0.1416
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\acs_shp_rt10	SF	0.1475 🚬 🞽
<		>
Output Layer		
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\su_sf_05		🛁 🚽
sf_mask (optional)		_
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\sf_mask		≧
	OK Cancel Env	ironments Show Help >>

Figure 4.8: Suitability for Each Land Use Tool

Allocation Toolset

The *Allocation* toolset combines the entire suitability map for all land use categories, allocates each land use into the most suitable location, and produces the composite allocation map. This tool has three sub-tools.

4.1.6 Combine the Suitability Layers

Select the *Combine the Suitability Layers 2010* to combine the entire suitability layers of each land use. This tool creates one composite raster layer that has the values of each land use in different fields in its attribute table.

Steps: SA Model — Allocation — Combine the Suitability Layers 2010



Figure 4.9: Combine the Suitability Layers Tool

4.1.7 Allocating

The *Allocating* toolset is used to allocate the required land (from section 2.2) on suitable land for each land use.

Steps: SA Model \longrightarrow Allocation \longrightarrow Allocating

Currently, the value of required land is set based on 2010 household and employment projections. However, this value can be adjusted for running different scenarios for employment and population growth.



Figure 4.10: Example of Allocation Tool (Allocating BHI)

4.1.8 Compare Scenarios

This tool compares results from two different scenarios/projections using the *Cut/Fill* function in Spatial Analyst Extension. The *Compare Scenarios* tool compares the 2005 to 2010 projections results of the study area based on two scenarios (with and without SH 130 and Ronald Reagan extension).

1) Put the composite suitability map of 2005 under "Scenario 1"

 ✓ Layers ✓ comb_sus_10 ✓ Value ✓ High: 13918 Low: 1 ✓ comb_sus_05 ✓ Value High: 13191 Low: 1 Compare_05-10 Value High: 28045 Low: 1 	X G ArcToolbox + G 3D Analyst Tools + G Analysis Tools + G Conversion Tools + G Data Interoperability Tools + G Beostatistical Analyst Tools + G Hobile Tools + G Hobile Tools + G Beostatistical Analyst Tools + G Beostatistical Analyst Tools + G Beostatistical Analyst Tools + G Samples + G Schematics Tools + G Schematics Tools + G Spatial Statistics Tools + G Spatial Statistics Tools + G Tracking Analyst Tools	×		
33 Compare Scenarios Scenario 1 Comb sus 05 Base Field 1 LU Scenario 2 comb_sus_10 Base Field 2 LU compare_05-10 C:\Al\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Resu	ikicompare_05-10			

Figure 4.11: Adding Scenario 1 Result in Compare Scenarios Tool

- 2) Select the land use type of interest under "Base Field 1)."
- 3) Put the composite suitability map of 2010 under "Scenario 2."

		-				
🛃 Layers	ArcToolbox					
🖃 🗹 comb_sus_10	표 🚳 3D Analyst Tools					
Value	표 🚳 Analysis Tools					
High : 13918	Cartography Tools Gonversion Tools					
Low : 1	Conversion Tools Data Interoperability Tools					
□ □ comb_sus_05	Data Interoperability Tools Tools					
Value	T C Geocoding Tools			10 PC 10		
High : 13191	🖅 🧟 Geostatistical Analyst Tools			- 10 million - 10	Same.	August and a second sec
	🖅 🚳 Linear Referencing Tools			T A FUE	Sec. Sec.	this 1
Low : 1	표 🚳 Mobile Tools			tong a star	The total of	1997
🖃 🔲 compare_05-10	🖅 🚳 Multidimension Tools			P. P. Store		-6
Value	표 🚳 Network Analyst Tools				1. 1. S. 1.	and the
High : 28045	🗄 👰 Samples			1000		to 2
Low : 1						
LOW ; I	⊕		1 m			1
				- 1		
	E TxDOT Model			A STATE	Company -	5
33 Compare Scenarios						
Scenario 1		-	A. C.	a transfer	And A	
comb_sus_05		- 🗃	1. Sec			
Base Field 1			1.0.1	N BE	1	
LU		*				
Scenario 2					1	
comb_sus_10		- 🗃		187		
Base Field 2			A.6.	1 ht		
ω		× .	Stars.	A 1947		
compare_05-10						
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Resu	ult\compare_05-10	2	j,	The second s		
		-		- Longer		
				TANK AL		
				9985		
	OK Cancel Environments	. Show Help >>		200		

Figure 4.12: Adding Scenario 2 Result in Compare Scenario Tool

- 4) Select the land use type of interest related to step 2 under "Base Field 2)."
- 5) Specify the name and location of the result under "Compare _05-10)."

o TxDOT Model ⊡ ∕	🕶 33 Compare Scenarios		
🗄 🍝 oo uu-s-b	🔀 Scenario 1		
31 Combine the Sui	comb_sus_05	6	3
>> 31 Combine the Sui	Base Field 1		
🍌 321 Allocating BHI	ω		•
🗠 322 Allocating BHC	Scenario 2		
🔁 323 Allocating BLI	comb_sus_10	G	2
>>> 324 Allocating BLC	Base Field 2		
 325 Allocating MF 326 Allocating SF 	LU		•
326 Allocating SF 327 Allocating OS	8 compare_05-10		
> 328 Allocating SHI	C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\compare_05-10	G	2
>>> 329 Allocating SHC			
🍡 32X Allocating SLI		OK Cancel Environments Show Help	p >:
👆 אלי 32XI Allocating SLC			
🔁 33 Compare Scenari	os l		
24 TAZ			

Figure 4.13: Specifying Name and Location of Result

The following map shows the net gain/loss of the development due to scenario change. See *Cut/Fill* function explanation in the *ArcGIS Desktop Help*.



Figure 4.14: Net Gain/Loss of Development due to Scenario Change

4.1.9 TAZ

The *TAZ* Tool uses zonal statistical analysis to calculate the area of land allocated for each land use in each TAZ.

Steps: SA Model \longrightarrow Allocation \longrightarrow TAZ



This step should be repeated for each land use. The result is a TAZ shapefile that contains allocated land for all the land uses. To obtain employment and household density in TAZ, follow the steps below:

1) Open the attribute table of TAZ shape file and copy it to Excel.

Attri X	Gopy Remove			äž					AH	TH	1	>			
MTF	MTF Den Attribute Table			INTPTLONO	BHC	BHI	BLI	BLC	BLC MF	SF	OS	SHI	SHC	SLI	SL
G632(Joins and Relates				0	0	0	0		7168000	230400	0	0	0	-
G632(2				0	0	0	0	9651200	9472000	0	0	76800	0	
G632(🧐	Zoom To Layer				0	0	0	0	0	1331200	Ó	0	51200	0	
G632(0	0	0	0	Ó	23372800	Ó	0	102400	0	4
G632(Visible Scale Range				0	0	0	0	2201600	117299200	0	0	230400	0	
G632(Tipple praie kalige		+29.8771852	-097.9308258	51200	0	0	0	0	3891200	51200	0	51200	0	
G632(Use Symbol Levels		+30.1327153	-098.1118477	0	0	0	0	.Ó	450304000	0	0	0	0	
G632(+30.0465760	-098.0554654	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	
G632(Selection		+30.0644337	-097.8132682	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
G632(Label Features		+30.2224093	-098.0998349	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
G632(Laber reatures		+30.2328574	-098.0526262	0	0	0	0	0	644966400	0	0	0	0	
G632(Convers Labers to Annibation.		+30.0812085	-097.8159069	0	0	Ó	0	.Ó	0	Û	0	Ő	Ű	
G632(+30.0657968	-097.8262303	0	0	0	0	Û	0	0	0	0	0	
G632(着	Convert Eeatures to Graphics		+30.2580043	-098.0459123	0	0	0	0	Û	0	0	0	0	0	
G632(Convert Symbology to Representation		+29.8842902	-097.9457957	0	0	0	0	Û	0	0	0	0	0	
G632(+29.8821226	-097.9278445	0	0	0	0	742400	1817600	0	0	0	0	1
G632(Data	+	+29.8846294	-097.9387428	0	0	0	0	0	2636800	0	0	0	0	
G632(Save As Layer File		+29.8842587	-097.9409685	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
G632(Dave As Lager File		+29.8840069	-097.9427626	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
G632(Properties		+29.8826778	-097.9365029	0	0	0	0	742400	486400	0	0	0	0	
G632(- Colorestation	-	+29.8830452	-097.9135107	0	0	0	0	30515200	10214400	0	0	0	0	1:
G6320	S 115674	0	+29.8821750	-097.9484615	0	0	0	0	0	1356800	0	0	0	0	

Figure 4.16: TAZ Attribute Field

✓ TAZ	🗄 🍓 3D Ani 🗄 🍓 Analys							_	AH	PH	2				_
Attributes of TAZ				1				l av a l		T					
MTFCC00 FUNCSTAT00		AWATER00	INTPTLATOO	INTPTLONOO	BHC	BHI	BLI	BLC	MF	SF	OS	SHI	SHC	SLI	23
* Elash	0	0			0	0	0		0 9651200	7168000 9472000	230400 0	0	0 76800	0	23
💽 Zoom To	0	0			0	0	0	-	9051200 R	1331200	0	0	51200	0	9
	0	0			0	0	0		0	23372800	0	0	102400	0	414
۳) Pan To	0	0	-		0	0	0		2201600	117299200	0	0	230400	0	313
🚺 Identify	98196	0	+29.8771852	-097.9308258	51200	0	0		0	3891200	51200	0	51200	0	5
Select/Unselect	9037461	0	+30.1327153	-098.1118477	0	0	0	0	0	450304000	0	0	0	0	_
	10656783	0	+30.0465760	-098.0554654	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Zoom To Selected	2065398	0	+30.0644337	-097.8132682	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clear Selected	19572193	0	+30.2224093	-098.0998349	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Copy Selected	11600428		+30.2328574	-098.0526262	0	0	0		0	644966400	0	0	0	0	
	2468950		+30.0812085	-097.8159069	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	
X Delete Selected	1713983		+30.0657968	-097.8262303	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Zoom To Highlighted	8009534		+30.2580043	-098.0459123	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	129666		+29.8842902	-097.9457957	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unselect Highlighted	639385		+29.8821226	-097.9278445	0	0	0		742400	1817600	0	0	0	0	125
Reselect Highlighted	77301		+29.8846294	-097.9387428	0	0	0		0	2636800	0	0	0	0	
× Delete Highlighted	31459 58017		+29.8842587 +29.8840069	-097.9409685	0	0	0	(E)	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
IG6320 IS	39833		+29.8840069	-097.9427626	0	0	0	14EA	742400	486400	0	0	0	0	_
G6320 S	533053		+29.8826778	-097.9365029	0	0	0		30515200	486400	0	0	0	0	120
G6320 S	115674		+29.8821750	-097.9484615	0	0	0	-	30515200	1356800	0	0	0	0	120
100010 0	110014	0	1.2010021100	1-001-04010		0	0	0	0	100000	0	0	01	01	()

Figure 4.17: Select all the Fields in the Attribute Table and Copy to Microsoft Excel

- 2) Get the average square feet of land per employment and the average square feet of land per single family and multifamily household (this can be obtained from the existing average square feet per employment under the assumption that the region will continue to grow the same way. There are also other resources that may provide standard calculation of average area per employee.)
- 3) In Microsoft Excel, calculate total number of employment. For basic employment, divide the sum of the allocated land for basic employment by the average square feet of land per employment. For service employment, divide the sum of the allocated land for service employment by the average feet of land per employment.
- 4) Calculate the total number of households. For single family household, divide the total allocated land for single family by the average square feet of land per single family household. Similarly, for multifamily, divide the total allocated land for multifamily by the average square feet of land per multifamily.
- 5) Join the newly created Excel spreadsheet with the TAZ shape file.
| | | <u>×</u> | | | | Join Data ? 🗙 . 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 | |
|--|---|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------|
| | ayers | | ArcToolbox | | | | |
| | TAZ1 | | 🕀 👰 3D Analyst | | | Join lets you append additional data to this layer's attribute table so you can,
for example, symbolize the layer's features using this data. | |
| | | | 🕀 🍑 Analysis To | | | Tor example, syndolize the layer's reactives using this data. | |
| ± [| | v= 40 | Cartograph Gonversion | | | What do you want to join to this layer? | |
| ± [
± [| | | + 🚳 Data Inter | | a la | Join attributes from a table | |
| ⊞ L
⊞ [| | | 🕀 🥶 Data Inter | | | | |
| ±ι | | 05 | E Geocoding | | > | | |
| | | | 🕂 🚳 Geostatisti | | oole | 1. Choose the field in this layer that the join will be based on: | |
| | | | E 🚳 Linear Ref | | | TAZCEDO | |
| | | | + Mobile Too | | - | - XKKARA | |
| | | | 17 🗶 | | | 2. Choose the table to join to this layer, or load the table from disk: | |
| | | | | | | Sheet4\$ | |
| FID | Shape * | STATEFPO | | TAZCE00 | TAZIDEPO | Show the attribute tables of layers in this list | |
| | Polygon | 48 | 209 | 681 | 48209681 | 54654 0 0 0 0 0 | . (|
| | Polygon | 48 | 209 | 584 | 48209584 | 3. Choose the field in the table to base the join on: 32682 0 0 0 0 | C |
| 3 | Polygon | 48 | 209 | 748 | 48209748 | 98349 0 0 0 0 0 | C |
| 5 | Polygon | 48 | 209 | 809 | 48209809 | TAZCE00 59069 0 0 0 0 0 0 | C |
| e | Polygon | 48 | 209 | 804 | 48209804 | B2303 0 0 0 0 0 | C |
| - 7 | Polygon | 48 | 209 | 698 | 48209698 | Keep all records | C |
| | Polygon | 48 | 209 | 718 | 48209718 | 5/95/ 0 0 0 0 | 0 |
| | Polygon | 48 | 209 | 909 | 48209909 | Upmatched records will contain pull values for all fields being | 0 |
| | Polygon | 48 | 209 | 719 | 48209719 | appended into the target table from the join table, 27626 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 |
| | Polygon | 48 | 209 | 814 | 48209814 | 39493 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Polygon | 48 | 209 | 699 | 48209699 | C Keep only matching records 31466 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 |
| 21
24 | Polygon | 48
48 | 209
209 | 903
916 | 48209903
48209916 | If a record in the target table doesn't have a match in the join 71804 0 <th< td=""><td>0</td></th<> | 0 |
| 21
24
35 | | 48 | 209 | 916
832 | 48209916 48209832 | table, that record is removed from the resulting target table. | 0 |
| 21
24
35
36 | Polygon | | | | 46209632 | 71972 0 0 0 0 0 | с
С |
| 21
24
35
36
41 | Polygon | | | | | | C |
| 21
24
35
36
41
42 | Polygon
Polygon | 48 | 209 | 578 | | | 0 |
| 21
24
35
36
41
42
43 | Polygon
Polygon
Polygon | 48
48 | 209 | 833 | 48209833 | | |
| 21
24
35
36
41
42
43
51 | Polygon
Polygon
Polygon
Polygon | 48
48
48 | 209
209 | 833
836 | 48209833
48209836 | 39275 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 |
| 21
24
35
36
41
42
43
51
54 | Polygon
Polygon
Polygon
Polygon
Polygon | 48
48 | 209 | 833 | 48209833
48209836
48209816 | 39275 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 |
| 21
24
35
36
41
42
43
51
54
54 | Polygon
Polygon
Polygon
Polygon | 48
48
48
48 | 209
209
209 | 833
836
816 | 48209833
48209836 | 39275 0 0 0 0 0 0
71832 0 0 0 0 0 | |

- Figure 4.18: Join the Excel Sheet with TAZ Shapefile
- 6) Add 3 new fields in the attribute table: a) field to calculate area in acre; b) field to calculate employment density per acre; c) field to calculate household density per acre.

	×				×	•	_		2 3 4	15
- 🥩 Lavers		ArcToolbo	x		-1	्य				
□ □ TAZ1		E S An			<i>4</i> 4	Find & Replace				
		🗄 🙆 Analys					-			
🗆 🗖 TAZ		🗄 🗄 🚳 Cartog	graphy Tools			Select By Attributes				
		🗄 🧕 🚳 Conve	rsion Tools		;	Clear Selection	ſ			
🕀 🗌 TAZ_E	MP_RasterTin	😟 🗄 🚳 Data I	nteroperability Tools		52	Switch Selection		Select Add Field	L	
🕀 🗌 EMP_T	FAZ_Hill		4anagement Tools		_	-				
🕀 🗆 TAZ_E		庄 🚳 Geoco			:=	Select All	5	7	1	
🕀 🗌 compa			atistical Analyst Tools			Add Field			AT S	Pr
🕀 🗆 comb	sus 10	📄 🕀 🗱 Linear	Referencing Tools						APU P	hh
						<u>T</u> urn All Fields On				
	M_ME NU	UM_SF NU	JM_HH	Acre	4	Show Field Aliases				
M_EMP NUN	<u>M_MF NU</u> 0	0M_SF NU 0	лм_нн	2633.4		Restore Default Column Widths		DEN_S_EMP	DEN_EMP	<u>^</u>
0	0	0	0	2633.4 510.3		Rescore Der auf Column widens	_	0		_
0	0	0	0	4836.1		Joins and Relates	•	0		-
0	0	0	0	610.0		Related Tables		0		- 11
0	0	0	0	423.5		Related Tables	_	- 0		_
0	0	0	0	1979.0	췝	Create <u>G</u> raph		0		_
0	0	0	0	32		Add Table to Layout		0		_
0	0	0	0	7.7		Add Table to Layout	_	0		
0	0	0	0	14.3	С	Reload Cac <u>h</u> e		0		
0	0	0	0	2786.E	æ	0.1-1	-[0		
0	0	0	0		8	Print		0		
0	0	0	0	55.E		Reports	+	0		
0	1.	Right-clic	k on Options	15		Export		0		
	0 1.	inght ener	a on options	416.E		CVborcu.	_	0)	~
0						Appoproprio				>
0						Appearance		101		
0 Show: All	Selected	Records (0 out	of 996 Selected)	Options	•	Арреагацие				

Figure 4.19: Add New Field to the TAZ Attribute Table

	Layer:				Analyst To alysis Tools						. Kigin-ci	ick on the				
		ites of T/												<u> </u>		
1	OS05	SHI05	SHC05	SLI05	SLC05	SUM	TAZCE00_1	NUM_B_EMP	NUM_S_EMP	NUM_EMP	NUM_MF	NUM_SF	NUM_HH	Ac		
	0	0	0	0	0	-	760	0	0	0	0	16187.068184	16187.068184			ding
	0	0	0	0	0	-	681	0	0	0	0	0	0	2633.		nding
	0	0	0	0	0	-	584	0	0	0	0	0	0	510.		Forting
	0	0	0	0	0	-	748	0	0		0	0	0	4836.	Z V Muyanceu.	Jorang
	0	0	0	0	0	-	697	0	0	2	Select C	alculate G	eometry	2866.	Summarize.	
	0	0	0	0	0	-	809	0	0			arealate O	cometry	610.	Σ Statistics	
	0	0	0	0	0		804	0	0					423.	Z Diausocs	
	0	0	0	0	0	-	698 718	0	0	0	0	0		1979.	📄 Eield Calcul	ator
	0	0	0	0	1203200	-	708	0	1422.222222	1422.222222	23.334123	65.337228	88.671351	15		aometry
	0	0	0	0	1203200	-	912	0	0	1422.222222	23.334123	94,784993	94,784993	19		
	0	0	0	0	0	-	909	0	0	0	0	34.704333 N	34.704333	7.		<u>D</u> ff
	0	0	0	0	0		719	0	0	0	0	0	0	14.		
	0	0	0	0	0	-	906	0	0	0	23.334123	17.48461	40.818733	9.		reeze Colun
	0	0	0	0	1638400		723	0	1364.172336	1364.172336	959,11291	367.176817	1326.289727		🗙 Delete Field	ł
t	0	0	0	0	0	0	720	0	0	0	0	48.77286	48.77286	28		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	911	0	0	0	68.393119	189.569986	257.963104	37.	Properties.	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	908	0	0	0	0	94.784993	94.784993	9.	823721	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	704	0	0	0	0	189.569986	189.569986	1	20.7403	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	692	0	0	0	0	3983.730425	3983.730425	9100.	682932	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	822	0	0	0	8.046249	0	8.046249	1066.	171166	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	814	0	0	0	0	0	0	2786.	687103	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	693	0	0	0	0	10015.920984	10015.920984	21530.	.580094 🛛 🗠	
															>	
	Reco	rd: 14 4		D + H	Show:	All	Selected F	Records (0 out of	996 Selected)	Options						

Figure 4.20: Calculate Area in Acre

All ributes				
the second se				
NUM_EMP	Field Calculator		2 🔀	IP Employment/acre
	Fields:	Type:	Functions:	0 0
_	TAZCE00 1		Abs ()	0 0
-	NUM B EMP	Mar 🕫 Number	Atn ()	0 0
-	NUM_S_EMP	C String	Cos() Exp() =	0 0
-	NUM_EMP NUM MF	C Date	Fix () Int ()	0 0
	NUM_SF		Int ()	0 0
	NUM_HH		Log() Sin()	0 0
A Lange and	Acre		Sar () 🕍	0 0
1422.2222	DEN_B_EMP DEN S EMP			9.000423
_	DEN_EMP		* / &	0 0
	DEN_HH	*		0 0
-	DEN_EMP =	T Advanced	+ : =	0 0
1364,1723	[NUM_EMP] / [Acre]			98 10.355198
			Load	0 0
			Save	0 0
				0 0
			Help	0 0
-				0 0
-				0 0
-				0 0
100				3

Figure 4.21: Calculate Employment Density in Field Calculator

Appendix A: Proximity Tool

Proximity in urban and transportation planning usually refers to the distance to facilities and services that affect allocation suitability and attractiveness. A popular research method to study the impact of proximity on land use is determining the *radial distance* or traditional circular buffer. The rating of attractiveness of locations within the buffer areas are determined by the environment and other locational effects these actors have on the site within a particular buffer distance.

Factors: Highway, Endangered Species, Water Bodies, Wetlands

Figures A1 through A9 and Tables A1 through A4 present information on these four factors.

😁 10 Proximity to Highway	
Input Layer	<u>_</u>
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\SHP\2030_Highway_2010.shp	- 🗃
Output Rated Layer	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\prox_hwy_10	- 🚘
Selection Criteria (optional)	
"DRFT2_2030" = 'Freeway/Parkway'	
Rating Table	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Rating.mdb\Dist_HW	- 🗃
From value field	
Buffer_From	•
To value field	
Buffer_To	•
Output value field Buffer_To	-
Join Fields (optional)	<u> </u>
☑ Buffer_From	~
Buffer_To	
Distance_HW	
Field1	
	_
ВНС	
	~
	>
	l Field
Output Join Field	
Buffer_To	_
OK Cancel Environments	< Hide Help

Highway

Figure A1: Proximity to Highway Tool

Input Layer: Select the highway shape file (2030_Highway_2010.shp) Output Rated Layer: Choose the location and name of the output file Selection Criteria: "DRFT2_2030" = 'Freeway/Parkway' OR "DRFT2_2030" = 'Major Arterial' (these are the major highways and roads in the study area) Rating Table: A rating table for highway in mdb format

From Value Field: It is the minimum value for buffer, so select "Buffer From." For Example, the model would consider 0 as the minimum value for highway distance of 0 to 50 feet from the land use type.

To Value Field: It is the maximum value for buffer, so select "Buffer To." For example, the model would consider 50 as the maximum value for highway distance of 0 to 50 feet from the land use type.

Output value field: Select "Buffer_to"

Join Fields (optional): It is optional but if needed you can select all or some of the fields to show them in the attribute table.

Output Join Field: Join the rating table with the result of the analysis to get the output. Here "Distance_HW" is a common field to join the rating table and the result of the analysis.

			0					·		5	v				
ID	Buffer_From	Buffer_To	Distance_HW	Field1	MF	BHC	SF	BHI	BLC	BLI	SHC	SHI	SLC	SLI	OS
1	0	50	50	50	-10	10	-10	-5	10	-4	10	-5	10	-4	2
2	50	200	200	200	-5	10	-7	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	4
3	200	500	500	500	0	7	-3	2	5	3	8	4	5	3	1
4	500	1000	1000	1000	1	3	0	6	0	5	3	6	0	5	0
5	1000	2000	2000	2000	2	0	1	8	-2	-1	0	8	-5	-1	0
6	2000	10000	10000	10000	5	-4	3	-2	-3	-5	-4	-3	-3	-5	-10

Table A1: Rating Table for Proximity to Highway



Figure A2: Result of Proximity to Highway Tool



Figure A3: Result (Zoomed In) of Proximity to Highway Tool

Endangered Species



Figure A4: Proximity to Endangered Species Tool

Input Layer: Shape file for endangered species (33nding.shp) Output Rated Layer: Choose the location and name of the output file Selection Criteria: Not required Rating Table: A rating table for endangered species in mdb format (Rating.mdb\Prox_Esp) **From Value Field**: It is the minimum value for buffer, so select "Buffer From." For Example, the model would consider 0 as the minimum value for Endangered Species from 0 to 100 meters.

To Value Field: It is the maximum value for buffer, so select "Buffer To." For example, the model would consider 100 as the maximum value for Endangered Species from 0 to 100 meters.

Output value field: Select "Buffer_to"

Join Fields (optional): It is optional but if needed you can select all or some of the fields to show them in the attribute table.

Output Join Field: Join the rating table with the result of the analysis to get the output. Here "Buffer_To" is the common field to join the rating table and the result of the proximity analysis.

ID	Buffer_From	Buffer_To	MF	BHC	SF	BHI	BLC	BLI	SHC	SHI	SLC	SLI	OS
1	0	100	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	5
2	100	300	-5	-8	-1	-11	-7	-8	-8	-11	-7	-8	10
3	300	700	2	-5	5	-11	-3	-6	-5	-11	-3	-6	4
4	700	1500	5	1	7	-11	3	-1	1	-11	3	-1	2

Table A2: Rating Table for Endangered Species



Figure A5: Result of Proximity to Endangered Species Tool

Water Bodies



Figure A6: Proximity to Water Bodies Tool

Input Layer: Select the shape file for water bodies (SAhydrogena.shp) Output Rated Layer: Choose the location and name of the output file Selection Criteria: "TYPE" = 'Major Stream' OR "TYPE" = 'Major River' OR "TYPE" = 'Stream, Water Body' OR "TYPE" = 'Water Body'

Rating Table: A rating table for water bodies in mdb format (Rating.mdb\Prox_Wat) **From Value Field**: It is the minimum value for buffer, so select "Buffer From." For Example, the model would consider 0 as the minimum value for water bodies from 0 to 30 meters.

To Value Field: It is the maximum value for buffer, so select "Buffer To." For example, the model would consider 30 as the maximum value for water bodies from 0 to 30 meters.

Output value field: Select "Buffer_to"

Join Fields (optional): It is optional but if needed you can select all or some of the fields to show them in the attribute table.

Output Join Field: Join the rating table with the result of the analysis to get the output. Here "Buffer_To" is the common field to join the rating table and the result of the analysis.

ID	Buffer_From	Buffer_To	MF	BHC	SF	BHI	BLC	BLI	SHC	SHI	SLC	SLI	OS
1	0	30	-10	-11	-10	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-10	-11	2
2	30	100	-5	-7	5	-11	-5	-11	-5	-11	-3	-11	5
3	100	200	2	0	7	-7	1	-5	2	-5	3	-3	7
4	200	1000	9	5	8	0	7	1	7	2	8	5	8

 Table A3: Rating Table for Water Bodies



Figure A7: Result of Proximity to Water Bodies Tool

Wetlands



Figure A8: Proximity to Wetlands Tool

Input Layer: Select the shape file for wetlands (edw_lulc_landcover.shp) **Output Rated Layer**: Choose the location and name of the output file **Selection Criteria**: "LABEL" = 'WOODY WETLAND'

Rating Table: A rating table for water bodies in mdb format (Rating.mdb\Prox_Wet) **From Value Field**: It is the minimum value for buffer, so select "Buffer From." For Example, the model would consider 0 as the minimum value for wetlands from 0 to 30 meters.

To Value Field: It is the maximum value for buffer, so select "Buffer To." For example, the model would consider 30 as the maximum value for wetlands from 0 to 30 meters. **Output value field**: Select "Buffer to"

Join Fields (optional): It is optional but if needed you can select all or some of the fields to show them in the attribute table.

Output Join Field: Join the rating table with the result of the analysis to get the output. Here "Buffer_To" is the common field to join the rating table and the result of the analysis.

ID	Buffer_From	Buffer_T	oMF	BHC	SF	BHI	BLC	BLI	SHC	SHI	SLC	SLI	OS
1	0	30	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	2
2	30	100	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	7
3	100	280	1	-3	5	-5	-1	-2	-3	-5	-1	-2	6
4	280	1000	8	3	10	-1	7	0	3	-1	7	0	3

Table A4: Rating Table for Wetlands



Figure A9: Result of Proximity to Wetland Tool

Appendix B: Accessibility Tool

Factors: Airport, Employment Centers, Intersection, Shopping Centers

Figures B1 through B8 and Tables B1 through B4 present information about these four factors.

Airport



Figure B1: Accessibility to Airport Tool

Network: It is the network of roads and highways for the study area. Select the existing transportation (roads, highways) network from the geodatabase

(2030_Highway_2010_ND.nd)

Intersection Layer: Shape file for airports (airfields_bts.shp)

Expression (optional): To specify the input layer if needed, here it is "FAC_TYPE" = 'AIRPORT'

Default break values (Measurement Units): Here the distance category is 1000, 5000, 10,000, 15,000, and 20,000 feet.

Rating Table: A rating table for intersection layer in .mdb format from the geodatabase (Rating.mdb\Acs_Air)

Output Join Field: Join the rating table with the result of the analysis to get the output. Here select "Category" because it is the common field to join the rating table and the result of the analysis.

Output Layer: Name and specify the location of the output. A raster file will be produced.

Impedance Attribute: Unit of measurement (feet, miles, etc).

ID	Category	MF	BHC	SF	BHI	BLC	BLI	SHC	SHI	SLC	SLI	OS
1	1000	-10	-5	-10	-3	-4	-5	-5	-10	-5	-10	0
2	5000	-5	-3	-7	-1	-2	-4	-3	-9	-2	-9	1
3	10000	0	0	0	1	2	-3	0	-8	0	-8	4
4	15000	2	1	1	2	4	0	1	0	4	0	5
5	20000	3	2	5	-1	6	3	2	0	6	2	7

Table B1: Rating Table for Airport



Figure B2: Result of Accessibility to Airport Tool

Employment Centers

🗄 🚳 Spatial Analyst Tools	🕨 12 Accessibility to Employment Centers
 Spatial Statistics Tools Tracking Analyst Tools Tacking Analyst Tools Tabor Model OI Rating 10 Proximity to Endangerous Species 10 Proximity to Highway 10 Proximity to Water Bodies 10 Proximity to Water Bodies 10 Proximity to Water Bodies 11 Reduce Cell Size 12 Accessibility to Airport 12 Accessibility to Intersection 12 Accessibility to Intersection 13 Assignment Feature 13 Karst Zones Rating Layer 14 TEAP Rating Layer 02 Weigting 	Network Intersections Layer C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\SHP\2030_Highway_2010[ND.nd Intersections Layer C:\Ali\TxDOT\FirstModel\SHP\emp_cntr.shp Expression (optional) "NUMBER_EMP" >100 Default break values (optional) 1000 5000 10000 15000 20000 Rating Table C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Rating.mdb\Acs_Emp Output Join Field Acs_Ints Output Layer C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\Temp\Reclass_SAP02_CopyRastı Impedance attribute Feet
	OK Cancel Environments Show Help >>

Figure B3: Accessibility to Employment Centers Tool

Network: Select the existing transportation (roads, highways) network from the geodatabase (2030_Highway_2010_ND.nd)

Intersection Layer: Shape file for employment centers (emp_cntr.shp)

Expression (optional): To specify the input layer if needed, here it is "NUMBER_EMP" >100

Default break values (Measurement Units): Here the distance category is 1000, 5000, 10,000, 15,000, and 20,000 feet.

Rating Table: Rating table for intersection layer in .mdb format from the geodatabase (Rating.mdb\Acs_Emp)

Output Join Field: Join the rating table with the result of the analysis to get the output. Here select "Category" is the common field to join the rating table and the result of the analysis.

Output Layer: Specify the location and name of the output. A raster file will be produced.

Impedance Attribute: Unit of measurement (feet, miles, etc).

				0			1					
ID	Category	MF	BHC	SF	BHI	BLC	BLI	SHC	SHI	SLC	SLI	OS
1	1000	-10	7	-10	-10	10	-10	7	-10	10	-10	0
2	5000	0	8	-2	-7	8	-5	8	-7	8	-5	2
3	10000	3	6	1	-3	5	-1	6	-3	5	-1	4
4	15000	2	1	3	-1	0	0	1	-1	0	0	-1
5	20000	-1	0	-5	0	-1	1	0	0	-1	1	-5

 Table B2: Rating Table for Employment Centers



Figure B4: Result of Accessibility to Employment Centers Tool

Intersection



Figure B5: Accessibility to Intersection Tool

Network: Select the existing transportation (roads, highways) network from the geodatabase (2030_Highway_2010_ND.nd)

Intersection Layer: Shape file for intersection (Intersection.shp)

Expression (optional): To specify the input layer if needed, here not needed.

Default break values (Measurement Units): mile for Intersection. Here the distance category is 0.5, 1, 2, and 3 miles

Rating Table: Rating table for intersection layer in .mdb format from the geodatabase (Rating.mdb\Acs_Ints)

Output Join Field: Join the rating table with the result of the analysis to get the output. Here select "Category" because it is the common field to join the rating table and the result of the analysis.

Output Layer: Specify the location and name of the output. A raster file will be produced.

Impedance Attribute: Unit of measurement (feet, miles, etc).

ID	Category	MF	BHC	SF	BHI	BLC	BLI	SHC	SHI	SLC	SLI	OS
1	500	-3	-3	-5	-10	-1	-10	-1	-10	-1	-10	-1
2	2000	1	0	0	-6	5	-5	0	-6	5	-5	1
3	5000	3	7	2	-3	2	-1	7	-3	2	-1	4
4	10000	2	-1	5	1	-7	1	-2	1	-7	1	0

Table B3: Rating Table for Intersection



Figure B6: Result of Accessibility to Intersection Tool

Shopping Centers



Figure B7: Accessibility to Shopping Centers Tool

Network: Select the existing transportation (roads, highways) network from the geodatabase (2030_Highway_2010_ND.nd)

Intersection Layer: Shape file for shopping centers (shp_cntr.shp)

Expression (optional): To specify the input layer if needed, here it is not needed. **Default break values** (Measurement Units): Here the distance category is 1000, 5000, 10,000, 15,000, and 20,000 feet.

Rating Table: Rating table for intersection layer in .mdb format from the geodatabase (Rating.mdb\Acs_Shp)

Output Join Field: Join the rating table with the result of the analysis to get the output. Here select "Category" t is the common field to join the rating table and the result of the analysis.

Output Layer: Specify the location and name of the output. A raster file will be produced.

Impedance Attribute: Unit of measurement (feet, miles, etc).

ID	Category	MF	BHC	SF	BHI	BLC	BLI	SHC	SHI	SLC	SLI	OS
1	1000	-10	7	-10	-10	10	-10	7	-10	10	-10	0
2	5000	0	8	0	-7	8	-5	8	-7	8	-5	3
3	10000	3	6	1	-3	7	-1	6	-3	5	-1	5
4	15000	0	1	0	-1	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0
5	20000	-6	0	-5	0	-1	1	0	0	-2	1	-3

 Table B4: Rating Table for Shopping Centers



Figure B8: Result of Accessibility to Shopping Centers Tool

Appendix C: Assignment Tool

Factors: Land Use, Karst, Texas Ecological Assessment Protocol (TEAP)

Figures C1 through C6 and Tables C1 through C3 present information about these three factors.

Land Use



Figure C1: Assignment Tool for Land Use

Input Layer: Select the shape file for land use (LU_2005.shp) Expression (optional): Not required Value Field: Specify the value field, here it is "LU_1Dig" Rating Table: Rating table of land use in mdb format (Rating.mdb\LU_Inv) Join Field: Join the rating table with the result of the analysis to get the output. Here the common join field is "LU_1Dig" lu_Inv_rt05: lu_inv_rt05 Join Fields (optional): It is optional but if needed you can select all or some of the fields to show them in the attribute table.

		~ .						DZ -		a	a	a= -		
ID	LU_Code	Category	MF	BHC	SF	BHI	BLC	BLI	SHC	SHI	SLC	SLI	LU_1Dig	OS
1	А	Single Family Residential	-11	-11	- 11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	1	-11
2	В	Multifamily Residential	-11	-11	- 11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	2	-11
3	C	Vacant Lots and Tracts	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	3	10
4	D	Qualified Agricultural Land	0	-5	5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-7	-2	-5	4	10
5	E	Farm and Ranch Improvements	0	-5	5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-7	-2	-5	5	10
6	F	Commercial	-11	-11	- 11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	6	-11
7	G	Oil, Gas, and Other Minerals	-11	-11	- 11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	7	-11
8	Н	Non business vehicles _tangible personal property	-11	-11	- 11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	8	-11
9	J	Utilities	-11	-11	- 11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	9	-11
10	М	Mobile Homes	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0

Table C1: Rating Table for Existing Land Use



Figure C2: Result of Assignment of Land Use Tool

Karst

Input Layer: Select the shape file for Karst (KarstZones.shp)
Value Field: Specify the value field; here it is "Zone_"
Rating Table: Rating table of Karst in mdb format (Rating.mdb\Karst)
Join Field: Join the rating table with the result of the analysis to get the output. Here the common join field is "Code"
Karst_rt05: karst_rt05

Join Fields (optional): It is optional but if needed you can select all or some of the fields to show them in the attribute table.

þ	13 Karst Zones Rating Layer	
	Input Layer	^
	C:\Ali\TxDOT\FirstModel\SHP\KarstZones.shp	
	Value field	
	ZONE_	
	Rating Table	
	C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Rating.mdb\Karst	
	Join Field	
	Code	
	karst_rt05	
	C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\karst_05	
	Join Fields (optional)	
	Category Code MF BHC SF BHI BLC BLI SHC	
	Select All Unselect All Add Field	~
	OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help	>

Figure C3: Assignment Tool for Karst

Table	C2:	Rating	Table	for	Karst
Lable	U- .	ixauing	Lanc	101	Ixaist

	Karst													
ID	Category	Code	MF	BHC	SF	BHI	BLC	BLI	SHC	SHI	SLC	SLI	ID1	OS
1	Zone 1	1	-8	-10	-3	-10	-10	-10	-10	-10	-10	-10	1	8
2	Zone 2	2	-6	-10	-1	-10	-8	-10	-10	-10	-8	-10	2	10
3	Zone 3	3	-2	-7	2	-10	-4	-7	-7	-10	-4	-7	3	6
4	Zone 4	4	0	-3	4	-8	-2	-8	-3	-8	-2	-7	4	0



Figure C4: Result of Assignment of Karst Tool

TEAP

Composite: Select the composite layer for TEAP. It is one of the layers in TEAP dataset that includes the mean value of other of TEAP factors, which are diversity, rarity, and sustainability.

Teap_rt05: Specify the output raster layer, which include the rating for different land uses.

TEAP: A rating table of TEAP (Rating.mdb\TEAP)

From value field: "Category_From"

To value field: "Category_To"

Output value field: Specify the common field for joining the rating table to TEAP layer. **Output Join Field:** Specify the common field for joining the rating table to TEAP layer **Join Fields (optional):** Specify the field that needs to be included in final output layer, which are the rating for all the land uses.

14 TEAP Rating Layer				
composite				🗠
C:\Ali\TxDOT\Sources\teap_report_data\composite				🖻
teap_rt05				
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\teap_05				
TEAP				
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Rating.mdb\TEAP				🖻
From value field				
Category_From				-
To value field				
Category_To				-
Output value field				
Category_To				-
Output Join Field				
Category_To				-
Join Fields (optional)				
Category_From				<u>^</u>
Category				
Category_To				
И ВНС				
I SF				
				~
				>
Select All Unselect All				Add Field
			_	
				~
	ОК	Cancel	Environments	<< Hide Help
		Cancor		

Figure C5: Assignment Tool for TEAP

			Table C3. Ka	aung	5 1 00		// 11							
Category_From	ו ID	Category	Category_To	MF	BHC	SF	BHI	BLC	BLI	SHC	SHI	SLC	SLI	OS
0	1	1	1	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	10
1	2	10	10	-2	-6	-1	-8	-4	-6	-6	-8	-4	-6	10
10	3	25	25	4	-1	8	-6	0	-2	-1	-6	0	-2	8
25	4	50	50	9	3	10	-2	5	0	4	-2	7	0	4
50	5	100	100	0	0	0	4	0	5	0	6	0	7	1

Table C3: Rating Table for TEAP



Figure C6: Result of Assignment of TEAP Tool

Appendix D: Allocation Toolset

Combination of all the rated and weighted maps is shown in Figures D1 through D24.

Combination for 2010

This process involves combining all the suitability layers of each land use for year 2010 and generating a composite suitability map.

31 Combine the Suitability Layers 2010	
Comb_5Us_10	<u>^</u>
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\Comb_SA_10	2
su_sli_10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\su_sli_10	2
su_slc_10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\su_slc_10	2
su_shi_10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\su_shi_10	2
su_shc_10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\su_shc_10	2
su_sf_10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\su_sf_10	2
su os 10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\su_os_10	2
su_mf_10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\su_mf_10	2
su_bli_10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\su_bli_10	2
su_blc_10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\su_blc_10	2
su_bhi_10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\su_bhi_10	
su_bhc_10	-2
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\su_bhc_10	🛎 🗸
OK Cancel Environments << Hi	de Help

Figure D1: Allocation Tool to Combine Suitability Layers

Result

Darker area represents available land for development.



Figure D2: Result of Allocation Tool Showing Available Land for Development

Open Space

▶ 327 Allocating OS	
comb_sus_10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\comb_sus_10	1
value_field	
TIMES_SU_OS_1	▼
new_field	
OS	
req_area	147200
	147200
	OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help

Figure D3: Allocation Tool for Open Space



Figure D4: Allocated Open Space

Single Family (SF) 2010

► 326 Allocating SF	
comb_sus_10	×
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\comb_sus_10	
value_field	
TIMES_SU_SF_2	•
new_field	
SF	
req_area	
	3756887363
	OK Cancel Environments

Figure D5: Allocation Tool for Single Family



Figure D6: Allocated Single Family

Multi Family (MF)

🛏 325 Allocating MF	
comb_sus_10	A
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\comb_sus_10	≧
value_field	
TIMES_SU_MF_2	▼
new_field	
MF	
req_area	57042047
	57042047
	OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help

Figure D7: Allocation Tool for Multi Family



Figure D8: Allocated Multi Family

Basic Heavy Industrial (BHI)

🐂 321 Allocating BHI	
comb_sus_10 C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\comb_sus_10	
value_field TIMES_SU_BHI2	
new_field BHI	
req_area	606978
	OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help

Figure D9: Allocation Tool for Basic Heavy Industrial



Figure D10: Allocated Basic Heavy Industrial

Basic Light Industrial (BLI)

* 323 Allocating BLI	
comb_sus_10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\comb_sus_10 value_field	
TIMES_SU_BLI2 new_field	v
BLI	
req_area	0
	OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help

Figure D11: Allocation Tool for Basic Light Industrial



Figure D12: Allocated Basic Light Industrial

Service Heavy Industrial (SHI)

🕶 328 Allocating SHI	
comb_sus_10	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\comb_sus_10	🖉 🖉
value_field	
TIMES_SU_SHI2	▼
new_field	
SHI	
req_area	
	11350782
	OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help

Figure D13: Allocation Tool for Service Heavy Industrial



Figure D14: Allocated Service Heavy Industrial

Service Light Industrial (SLI)

32X Allocating SLI	
comb_sus_10	<u> </u>
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\comb_sus_10	
value_field	
TIMES_SU_SLI2	
new_field	
SLI	
req_area	
	1078347 🗸 🗸
	OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help

Figure D15: Allocation Tool for Service Light Industrial



Figure D16: Allocated Service Light Industrial

Basic High Commercial (BHC)

:omb_sus_10				
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\comb_sus_10				
value_field				
TIMES_SU_BHC2				-
new_field				
BHC				
eq_area				
				85208

Figure D17: Allocation Tool for Basic High Commercial



Figure D18: Allocated Basic High Commercial

Basic Low Commercial (BLC)

324 Allocating BLC	
comb_sus_10	A
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\comb_sus_10	≧
value_field	
TIMES_SU_BLC2	•
new_field	
BLC	
req_area	
	606978
	OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help

Figure D19: Allocation Tool for Basic Low Commercial



Figure D20: Allocated Basic Low Commercial

Service High Commercial (SHC)

 329 Allocating SHC 					
comb_sus_10					^
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\comb_sus_10					
value_field					
TIMES_SU_SHC2				-	
new_field					
SHC					
req_area					
				308845	~
	ОК	Cancel	Environments	<< Hide Hel	P

Figure D21: Allocation Tool for Service High Commercial



Figure D22: Allocated Service High Commercial

Service Low Commercial

🐂 32XI Allocating SLC	
comb_sus_10	A
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\comb_sus_10	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
value_field	
TIMES_SU_SLC2	•
new_field	
SLC	
req_area	
	15561382
	OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help

Figure D23: Allocation Tool for Service Low Commercial



Figure D24: Allocated Service Low Commercial

Appendix E: Suitability Tool

After we get total land available for development, we perform suitability analysis for each land use based on the weight. See Figures E1 through E5.

5	suitability					
• i 	input_layer					- 🚅
	Raster	Field		Weight		+ * +
						\times
						1
	< <u> </u>					×
•	output_layer					2
						~
			ОК	Cancel	invironments	< Hide Help

Figure E1: Suitability Tool

Suitability for 2005

Here, a raster file of each suitability factor for Single Family (SF) land use with assigned weight is entered.

out_layer			
Raster	Field	Weight	<u>▲</u>
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\p	SF	0.0113	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\p		0.0523	X
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\p) SF	0.0303	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\t	SF	0.0279	▲
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\k		0.0285	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\a		0.1651	<u> </u>
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\a		0.0846	+
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\a		0.1416	
_C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\a		0.1475	×
			>
utput Layer			
:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\su_	_sf_05		🖻
_mask (optional)			
:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\sf	mask		

Figure E2: Suitability Tool for 2005



Figure E3: Result Suitability 2005

Suitability for 2010

				2
aster	Field	Weight	~	+
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\p	SF	0.0113		
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\p	SF	0.0523		$ \mathbf{x} $
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\p	SF	0.0303		
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\t	SF	0.0279		1
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\k		0.0285		-
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\a		0.1651	_	
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\a		0.0846		+
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\a		0.1416		
C:\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2010\a		0.1475	 ×	
			>	
put Layer				
\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\su_sf	_05			2
mask (optional)				
\Ali\TxDOT\ThirdRun\Result\2005\sf_ma	sk			2

Figure E4: Result Suitability 2010



Figure E5: Result Suitability Single Family 2010