**TTI: 9-1002** 



# MASH TEST 3-11 OF THE TXDOT SINGLE SLOPE BRIDGE RAIL (TYPE SSTR) ON PAN-FORMED BRIDGE DECK



Research/Test Report 9-1002-3

**Cooperative Research Program** 

TEXAS TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE THE TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY SYSTEM COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS

**TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION** 

in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration and the Texas Department of Transportation http://tti.tamu.edu/documents/9-1002-3.pdf

		Technical Report Documentation Page
1. Report No. FHWA/TX-11/9-1002-3	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
4. Title and Subtitle MASH TEST 3-11 OF THE TXDO (TYPE SSTR) ON PAN-FORMED		5. Report Date November 2010 Published: March 2011 6. Performing Organization Code
7. Author(s) William F. Williams, Roger P. Blig	h, and Wanda L. Menges	8. Performing Organization Report No. Report 9-1002-3
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Texas Transportation Institute Provi	ing Ground	10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843-3135	1	11. Contract or Grant No. Project 9-1002
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Texas Department of Transportation Research and Technology Implement		<ul><li>13. Type of Report and Period Covered</li><li>Technical Report:</li><li>September 2009–August 2010</li></ul>
P.O. Box 5080 Austin, Texas 78763-5080		14. Sponsoring Agency Code
<ul> <li>15. Supplementary Notes</li> <li>Project performed in cooperation with Administration.</li> <li>Project Title: Roadside Safety Devise</li> <li>URL: http://tti.tamu.edu/documents</li> </ul>		tation and the Federal Highway
SSTR) would perform acceptably of Manual for Assessing Safety Hardw	est was to determine whether the TxD n a pan-formed deck when tested acco <i>pare (MASH)</i> . The crash test performe (k) impacting the critical impact point legrees, respectively.	ording to the guidelines set forth in ed was <i>MASH</i> test 3-11 involving a
1 1	ils of the TxDOT Type SSTR on pan- nent of the test results, and the implem	<b>U</b> , 1
The TxDOT Type SSTR bri	dge rail on pan-formed deck performe	ed acceptably for MASH test 3-11.

17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statemer	nt	
Bridge Rail, Roadside Safety, Crash Test, MASH		No restrictions. This document is available to the public through NTIS:		
		National Technic	al Information Ser	vice
		Springfield, Virg	inia 22161	
		http://www.ntis.g	50V	
19. Security Classif.(of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif.(of the Unclassified	nis page)	21. No. of Pages 62	22. Price
E DOT E 1700 7 (0.50)				

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

# MASH TEST 3-11 OF THE TXDOT SINGLE SLOPE BRIDGE RAIL (TYPE SSTR) ON PAN-FORMED BRIDGE DECK

by

William F. Williams, P.E. Assistant Research Engineer Texas Transportation Institute

Roger P. Bligh, P.E. Research Engineer Texas Transportation Institute

and

Wanda L. Menges Associate Research Specialist Texas Transportation Institute

Report 9-1002-3 Project 9-1002 Project Title: Roadside Safety Device Crash Testing Program

> Performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration

> > November 2010 Published: March 2011

TEXAS TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843-3135

# DISCLAIMER

This research was performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view or policies of the FHWA or TxDOT. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, and its contents are not intended for construction, bidding, or permit purposes. In addition, the above listed agencies assume no liability for its contents or use thereof. The United States Government and the State of Texas do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report. The engineer in charge of the project was Roger P. Bligh, P.E. (Texas, #78550).

# TTI PROVING GROUND DISCLAIMER

The results of the crash testing reported herein apply only to the article being tested.



Wanda L. Menges, Research Specialist Deputy Quality Manager

Richard A. Zimmer, Senior Research Specialist Test Facility Manager Quality Manager Technical Manager

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research project was conducted under a cooperative program between the Texas Transportation Institute, the Texas Department of Transportation, and the Federal Highway Administration. The TxDOT project director for this research was Rory Meza, P.E. with the Design Division. John Holt, P.E., and Jon Reis with the Bridge Division served as project advisors and helped guide this research. The TxDOT research engineer was Wade Odell, P.E. with the Research and Technology Implementation Office. The authors acknowledge and appreciate their guidance and assistance.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	x
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 INTRODUCTION	
1.2 BACKGROUND	
1.3       OBJECTIVES/SCOPE OF RESEARCH	
CHAPTER 2. SYSTEM DETAILS	
2.1 TEST ARTICLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION	
2.2 MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS	4
CHAPTER 3. TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA	
3.1 CRASH TEST MATRIX	7
3.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA	7
CHAPTER 4. CRASH TEST PROCEDURES	9
4.1 TEST FACILITY	
4.2 VEHICLE TOW AND GUIDANCE PROCEDURES	9
4.3 DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEMS	9
4.3.1 Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing	9
4.3.2 Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation	
4.3.3 Photographic Instrumentation and Data Processing	
CHAPTER 5. CRASH TEST RESULTS	
5.1 TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS	
5.2 TEST VEHICLE	
5.3 WEATHER CONDITIONS	
5.4 TEST DESCRIPTION	
5.5 DAMAGE TO TEST INSTALLATION	
5.6 VEHICLE DAMAGE	
5.7 OCCUPANT RISK FACTORS	14
CHAPTER 6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	
6.1 ASSESSMENT OF TEST RESULTS	
6.1.1 Structural Adequacy	
6.1.2 Occupant Risk	
6.1.3 Vehicle Trajectory	
6.2 CONCLUSIONS	
CHAPTER 7. IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT	

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

REFERENCES .		27
APPENDIX A.	DETAILS OF THE TEST ARTICLE	29
APPENDIX B.	CERTIFICATION DOCUMENTATION	35
APPENDIX C.	TEST VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION	41
APPENDIX D.	SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS	45
APPENDIX E.	VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS AND ACCELERATIONS	49

# LIST OF FIGURES

# Figure

Details of the TxDOT Pan-Formed Bridge Rail Installation.	5
TxDOT Pan-Formed Bridge Rail Installation Before Test No. 420020-3	6
Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 420020-3	12
Vehicle Before Test No. 420020-3.	13
After Impact Vehicle Position for Test No. 420020-3	15
Installation After Test No. 420020-3.	16
Vehicle After Test No. 420020-3	17
Interior of Vehicle for Test No. 420020-3.	18
Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-11 on the TxDOT Pan-Form	
Bridge Rail	19
Vehicle Properties for Test No. 420020-3.	
Sequential Photographs for Test No. 420020-3 (Overhead and Frontal Views)	45
Sequential Photographs for Test No. 420020-3 (Rear View).	47
Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 420020-3	49
Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 420020-3	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	50
Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 420020-3	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	51
Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 420020-3	
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	52
	TxDOT Pan-Formed Bridge Rail Installation Before Test No. 420020-3.Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 420020-3.Vehicle Before Test No. 420020-3.After Impact Vehicle Position for Test No. 420020-3.Installation After Test No. 420020-3.Vehicle After Test No. 420020-3.Vehicle for Test No. 420020-3.Interior of Vehicle for Test No. 420020-3.Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-11 on the TxDOT Pan-FormBridge Rail.Vehicle Properties for Test No. 420020-3.Sequential Photographs for Test No. 420020-3.Sequential Photographs for Test No. 420020-3.Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 420020-3.Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 420020-3.Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 420020-3.Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 420020-3.Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 420020-3.Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 420020-3.Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 420020-3.

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
Table 6.1.	Performance Evaluation Summary for <i>MASH</i> Test 3-11 on the TxDOT Pan-Form Bridge Rail.	23
Table C1.	Exterior Crush Measurements for Test No. 420020-3	
Table C2.	Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 420020-3.	43

# **CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

This project was set up to provide Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) with a mechanism to quickly and effectively evaluate high priority issues related to roadside safety devices. Roadside safety devices shield motorists from roadside hazards such as non-traversable terrain and fixed objects. To maintain the desired level of safety for the motoring public, these safety devices must be designed to accommodate a variety of site conditions, placement locations, and a changing vehicle fleet. As changes are made or in-service problems encountered, there is a need to assess the compliance of existing safety devices with current vehicle testing criteria and, if problems are identified, to modify the device or develop a new device with enhanced performance and maintenance characteristics.

#### **1.2 BACKGROUND**

Pan form girders with bridge decks were developed in the late 1940s in anticipation of a need for low cost bridges in rural areas in Texas that were soon to be funded by the federal government. The terminology depicts the modular steel forms required for cast-in-place reinforced concrete spans. When assembled, bolted together and supported from bent caps, a metal pan is used to form the concrete and support the weight in flexure without intermediate support. Forms and falsework are combined in a sturdy reuseable package. The original span length was 30 ft for 20-inch wide caps and no skew. It was soon discovered that trestle piling would seldom fit inside a 20-inch wide cap. The cap width was changed to 24 inches and, since the distance face to face of caps had to remain the same to allow form removal, the basic span length became 30 ft-4 inches.

In 1956, a design was introduced for 40-ft spans to be constructed on a skew. In the 1960s, standard drawings were distributed for superstructure and substructure for different combinations or span ranges, roadway widths, and skew angles. Prior to the use of prestressed concrete beams, pan form girders were the most economical method for constructing a highway bridge over small to moderate streams. By 1988, approximately 3750 pan form girder bridges had been constructed on the Texas highway system. Many of these bridges are presently still in use.

### **1.3 OBJECTIVES/SCOPE OF RESEARCH**

The objective of this crash test was to determine if the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail retrofitted on a pan-formed bridge deck would perform acceptably according to the guidelines set forth in American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH) (1)*. The crash test performed was *MASH* test 3-11 involving a 2270P vehicle (5000-lb pickup truck) impacting the critical impact point (CIP) of the bridge rail at an impact speed and angle of 62 mi/h and 25 degrees, respectively.

This report presents the details of the TxDOT SSTR bridge rail retrofitted on a typical pan-formed bridge deck installation, description of the crash test performed, an assessment of the test results, and the implementation plan.

# **CHAPTER 2. SYSTEM DETAILS**

### 2.1 TEST ARTICLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

The TxDOT Single-Slope Traffic Rail (Type SSTR) bridge rail was anchored to the top of a 6-inch thick reinforced concrete deck cantilever. The TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail is 36 inches in height and has a single sloped traffic face. The bridge rail is 13 inches wide at the base and 7<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> inches wide at the top. The traffic face of the bridge rail is sloped 7 inches over the 36-inch height of the bridge rail. Reinforcement in the bridge rail consisted of pre-fabricated deformed welded wire (WWR) provided by Insteel Industries, Inc., Mount Airy, North Carolina. The welded wire mesh consisted of 31 ft preformed units with all unions of longitudinal and vertical wires welded. TTI received a drawing from Insteel Industries, Inc. entitled "SSTR Bridge Rail Texas DOT," (Insteel Drawing No. 09-DS-99) and dated May 22, 2009. This drawing provided fabrication details for the welded wire reinforcement used in the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail tested for this project. Longitudinal reinforcement between the preformed units was lapped approximately 12 inches. The specified yield strength of the deformed wire used to fabricate the panels was specified to be 70 ksi steel material.

The TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail was anchored to the 6-inch thick deck using 1-inch diameter ASTM F1554 Grade 55 galvanized anchor bolts 24 inches in length near the traffic side face of the bridge rail. The bolts were anchored through the deck in 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch diameter core drilled holes. The anchor bolts were located approximately 11 inches from the edge of the deck and were fabricated with a 15-degree bend. This bend helped accommodate approximately 15 inches of anchorage embedment within the deformed welded wire reinforcement of the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail. The bridge rail was additionally anchored to the deck using #4 dowels spaced on 48-inch centers 4<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> inches from the edge of the deck and approximately 4 inches into the deck using the Hilti RE 500 Epoxy anchoring system. The length of these #4 dowels was approximately 16 inches.

A 6-inch thick by 21<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> inches wide deck cantilever was constructed for this project. Reinforcement in the deck cantilever consisted of one layer of steel reinforcement. Transverse reinforcement consisted of #4 bars located on 6-inch centers. One longitudinal #4 bar was placed within the deck approximately 1<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> inch from the field side edge of the deck.

The test installation for this project measured approximately 75 ft-<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> inch in length. The installation was constructed with a <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch wide expansion joint in both the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail and 6-inch thick deck. This joint in the bridge rail and deck was located approximately 32 ft from the upstream end of the installation. Two #8 deformed bars, approximately 60 inches in length, were used to provide additional lateral strength to the two opposing ends of the bridge rail at the joint. The #8 bars were anchored approximately 31<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> inches within one end of the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail at the joint. On the adjacent bridge rail end, these dowels extended through the joint and were placed in sleeved PVC pipe sections. These pipe sections were approximately 32<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> inches in length and accommodated movement in the opposing end of the bridge rail. For additional information, please refer to Figures 1 and 2 and the drawings in Appendix A.

# 2.2 MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS

Reinforcement in the bridge rail consisted of pre-fabricated deformed welded wire provided by Insteel Industries, Inc., Mount Airy, North Carolina. The specified compressive strength of the concrete for the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail and the deck were 3600 psi and 3000 psi, respectively. The compressive strengths of the bridge rail and deck on the day the test was performed measured 4360 psi on the upstream end of the parapet (upstream from the expansion joint), 3525 psi on the downstream end of the parapet (downstream from expansion joint), and 3450 psi on the deck. Appendix B contains mill certifications sheets and other certification documents for the materials used in the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail information.



T:/2009-2010/420020 TxDOT/-3 Pan-Form Retroft/Solid/Volts/Dirawing



Figure 2.2. TxDOT Pan-Formed Bridge Rail Installation before Test No. 420020-3.

# **CHAPTER 3. TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA**

# 3.1 CRASH TEST MATRIX

According to *MASH*, two tests are recommended to evaluate longitudinal barriers to test level three (TL-3). Details of the tests are as described below.

*MASH* test 3-10: An 1100C (2425 lb/1100 kg) vehicle impacting the critical impact point (CIP) of the length of need (LON) of the barrier at a nominal impact speed and angle of 62 mi/h and 25 degrees, respectively. This test is to investigate a barrier's ability to successfully contain and redirect a small passenger vehicle.

*MASH* test 3-11: A 2270P (5000 lb/2270 kg) vehicle impacting the CIP of the LON of the barrier at a nominal impact speed and angle of 62 mi/h and 25 degrees, respectively. This is a strength test to verify a barrier's performance for impacts involving light trucks and SUVs for all test levels.

The test performed on the TxDOT pan-formed bridge rail was *MASH* test 3-11. The target CIP was determined to be 4.3 ft upstream of joint centerline in the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail. The crash test and data analysis procedures were in accordance with guidelines presented in *MASH*. Chapter 4 presents brief descriptions of these procedures.

# 3.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

The crash test was evaluated in accordance with the criteria presented in *MASH*. The performance of the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail on pan-formed bridge rail is judged on the basis of three factors: structural adequacy, occupant risk, and post impact vehicle trajectory. Structural adequacy is judged upon the ability of the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail on pan-formed bridge rail to contain and redirect the vehicle, or bring the vehicle to a controlled stop in a predictable manner. Occupant risk criteria evaluates the potential risk of hazard to occupants in the impacting vehicle, and to some extent other traffic, pedestrians, or workers in construction zones, if applicable. Post impact vehicle trajectory is assessed to determine potential for secondary impact with other vehicles or fixed objects, creating further risk of injury to occupants of the impacting vehicle and/or risk of injury to occupants in other vehicles. The appropriate safety evaluation criteria from table 5-1 of *MASH* were used to evaluate the crash test reported herein, and are listed in further detail under the assessment of the crash test.

# **CHAPTER 4. CRASH TEST PROCEDURES**

#### 4.1 TEST FACILITY

The full-scale crash test reported herein was performed at Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) Proving Ground. TTI Proving Ground is an International Standards Organization (ISO) 17025 accredited laboratory with American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) Mechanical Testing certificate 2821.01. The full-scale crash test was performed according to TTI Proving Ground quality procedures and according to the *MASH* guidelines and standards.

The Texas Transportation Institute Proving Ground is a 2000-acre complex of research and training facilities located 10 miles northwest of the main campus of Texas A&M University. The site, formerly an Air Force base, has large expanses of concrete runways and parking aprons well suited for experimental research and testing in the areas of vehicle performance and handling, vehicle-roadway interaction, durability and efficacy of highway pavements, and safety evaluation of roadside safety hardware. The site selected for construction and testing of the TxDOT SSTR bridge rail on pan-formed bridge deck evaluated under this project is along the edge of an out-of-service apron. The apron consists of an unreinforced jointed-concrete pavement in 12.5 ft by 15 ft blocks nominally 8 to 12 inches deep. The apron is over 50 years old, and the joints have some displacement, but are otherwise flat and level.

# 4.2 VEHICLE TOW AND GUIDANCE PROCEDURES

The test vehicle was towed into the test installation using a steel cable guidance and reverse tow system. A steel cable for guiding the test vehicle was tensioned along the path, anchored at each end, and threaded through an attachment to the front wheel of the test vehicle. An additional steel cable was connected to the test vehicle, passed around a pulley near the impact point, through a pulley on the tow vehicle, and then anchored to the ground such that the tow vehicle moved away from the test site. A two-to-one speed ratio between the test and tow vehicle existed with this system. Just prior to impact with the installation, the test vehicle was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained. The vehicle remained free-wheeling, i.e., no steering or braking inputs, until the vehicle cleared the immediate area of the test site, at which time brakes on the vehicle were activated to bring it to a safe and controlled stop.

#### 4.3 DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEMS

#### 4.3.1 Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing

The test vehicle was instrumented with a self-contained, on-board data acquisition system. The signal conditioning and acquisition system is a 16-channel, Tiny Data Acquisition System (TDAS) Pro produced by Diversified Technical Systems, Inc. The accelerometers, that measure the x, y, and z axis of vehicle acceleration, are strain gauge type with linear millivolt output proportional to acceleration. Angular rate sensors, measuring vehicle roll, pitch, and yaw

rates, are ultra small size, solid state units designs for crash test service. The TDAS Pro hardware and software conform to the latest SAE J211, Instrumentation for Impact Test. Each of the 16 channels is capable of providing precision amplification, scaling, and filtering based on transducer specifications and calibrations. During the test, data are recorded from each channel at a rate of 10,000 values per second with a resolution of one part in 65,536. Once recorded, the data are backed up inside the unit by internal batteries should the primary battery cable be severed. Initial contact of the pressure switch on the vehicle bumper provides a time zero mark as well as initiating the recording process. After each test, the data are downloaded from the TDAS Pro unit into a laptop computer at the test site. The raw data are then processed by the Test Risk Assessment Program (TRAP) software to produce detailed reports of the test results. Each of the TDAS Pro units are returned to the factory annually for complete recalibration. Accelerometers and rate transducers are also calibrated annually with traceability to the National Institute for Standards and Technology.

TRAP uses the data from the TDAS Pro to compute occupant/compartment impact velocities, time of occupant/compartment impact after vehicle impact, and the highest 10-millisecond (ms) average ridedown acceleration. TRAP calculates change in vehicle velocity at the end of a given impulse period. In addition, maximum average accelerations over 50-ms intervals in each of the three directions are computed. For reporting purposes, the data from the vehicle-mounted accelerometers are filtered with a 60-Hz digital filter, and acceleration versus time curves for the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions are plotted using TRAP.

TRAP uses the data from the yaw, pitch, and roll rate transducers to compute angular displacement in degrees at 0.0001-s intervals and then plots yaw, pitch, and roll versus time. These displacements are in reference to the vehicle-fixed coordinate system with the initial position and orientation of the vehicle-fixed coordinate systems being initial impact.

## 4.3.2 Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation

Use of a dummy in the 2270P vehicle is optional according to *MASH*, and there was no dummy used in this test.

## 4.3.3 Photographic Instrumentation and Data Processing

Photographic coverage of the test included three high-speed cameras: one overhead with a field of view perpendicular to the ground and directly over the impact point; one placed behind the installation at an angle; and a third placed to have a field of view parallel to and aligned with the installation at the downstream end. A flashbulb activated by pressure-sensitive tape switches was positioned on the impacting vehicle to indicate the instant of contact with the installation and was visible from each camera. The films from these high-speed cameras were analyzed on a computer-linked motion analyzer to observe phenomena occurring during the collision and to obtain time-event, displacement, and angular data. A mini-DV camera and still cameras recorded and documented conditions of the test vehicle and installation before and after the test.

# **CHAPTER 5. CRASH TEST RESULTS**

### 5.1 TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS

*MASH* test 3-11 involves a 2270P vehicle weighing 5000 lb  $\pm$ 100 lb and impacting the TxDOT pan-formed bridge rail at an impact speed of 62.2 mi/h  $\pm$ 2.5 mi/h and an angle of 25 degrees  $\pm$ 1.5 degrees. The target impact point was 4.3 ft upstream of the joint centerline in the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail. The 2005 Dodge Ram 1500 Quad-Cab pickup truck used in the test weighed 5036 lb and the actual impact speed and angle were 63.8 mi/h and 24.8 degrees, respectively. The actual impact point was 5.2 ft upstream of the joint centerline in the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail. Impact severity was calculated at 3881 kip-ft or 5.2 percent above the target value.

#### 5.2 TEST VEHICLE

A 2005 Dodge Ram 1500 Quad-Cab pickup, shown in Figures 5.1 and 5.2, was used for the crash test. Test inertia weight of the vehicle was 5036 lb, and its gross static weight was 5036 lb. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 13.5 inches, and it was 26.00 inches to the upper edge of the bumper. The height of the vertical center of gravity was measured at 28.38 inches. Figure C1 in Appendix C gives additional dimensions and information on the vehicle. The vehicle was directed into the installation using the cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

### 5.3 WEATHER CONDITIONS

The test was performed on the morning of August 3, 2010. Weather conditions at the

time of testing were as follows: wind speed: 2 mi/h; wind direction: 192 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a southwesterly direction); Temperature: 97°F, Relative humidity: 56 percent.



#### 5.4 TEST DESCRIPTION

The 2270P vehicle, traveling at an impact speed of 63.8 mi/h, impacted the TxDOT panformed bridge rail with the right front corner of the bumper 5.2 ft upstream of the joint centerline in the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail at an impact angle of 24.8 degrees. Shortly after impact, the right front tire contacted the bridge rail, and the vehicle began redirection at 0.074 s after impact. The vehicle was traveling parallel with the bridge rail at 0.189 s, and was traveling at a speed of 50.6 mi/h. At 0.430 s, the 2270P vehicle lost contact with the bridge rail, traveling at an exit speed and angle of 49.5 mi/h and 7.2 degrees, respectively. Brakes on the vehicle were applied at 1.2 s after impact. The vehicle subsequently came to rest 170 ft downstream and 6 ft toward traffic lanes. Figures D1 and D2 in Appendix D show sequential photographs of the test period.



Figure 5.1. Vehicle/Installation Geometrics for Test No. 420020-3.





Figure 5.2. Vehicle before Test No. 420020-3.

# 5.5 DAMAGE TO TEST INSTALLATION

Figures 5.3 and 5.4 show damage sustained by the TxDOT pan-formed bridge rail. Gouges and tire marks were evident in the length of contact for 12 ft beyond impact. The top traffic side corner of the downstream joint spalled off. Working width was 10 inches. No measurable dynamic deflection or permanent deformation occurred.

# 5.6 VEHICLE DAMAGE

The vehicle sustained damage to the right front quarter and right side, as shown in Figure 5.5. The right upper and lower ball joints, right front frame rail, right front upper and lower A-arms and right rear axle were damaged. Also deformed were the front bumper, hood, grill, right front fender, right front door, right rear door, right rear cab, right rear exterior bed, rear bumper, and tail gate. The right front wheel assembly, tire, and wheel rim separated from the vehicle, and the right rear tire and rim and part of the wheel assembly separated from the vehicle. The windshield sustained stress cracks. Maximum exterior crush to the vehicle in the side plane at the right front corner at bumper height was 18.0 inches. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 2.75 inches in the firewall area near the toe pan on the right front passenger area. Figure 5.6 shows photographs of the interior of the vehicle. Exterior crush and occupant compartment deformation is provided in Appendix C, Tables C1 and C2.

# 5.7 OCCUPANT RISK FACTORS

Data from the accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk. In the longitudinal direction, the occupant impact velocity was 22.0 ft/s at 0.087 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was -5.3 Gs from 0.095 to 0.105 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average acceleration was -10.9 Gs between 0.026 and 0.076 s. In the lateral direction, the occupant impact velocity was 29.9 ft/s at 0.087 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was -11.7 Gs from 0.206 to 0.216 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was -15.5 Gs between 0.026 and 0.076 s. Theoretical Head Impact Velocity (THIV) was 40.6 km/h or 11.3 m/s at 0.085 s; Post-Impact Head Decelerations (PHD) was 11.7 Gs between 0.206 and 0.216 s; and Acceleration Severity Index (ASI) was 2.02 between 0.026 and 0.076 s. Figure 5.7 summarizes these data and other pertinent information from the test. Vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces are presented in Appendix E, Figures E1 through E4.



Figure 5.3. After Impact Vehicle Position for Test No. 420020-3.



Figure 5.4. Installation after Test No. 420020-3.



Figure 5.5. Vehicle after Test No. 420020-3.





Figure 5.6. Interior of Vehicle for Test No. 420020-3.





# **CHAPTER 6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

# 6.1 ASSESSMENT OF TEST RESULTS

An assessment of the test based on the applicable *MASH* safety evaluation criteria is provided below.

### 6.1.1 Structural Adequacy

- *A.* Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or bring the vehicle to a controlled stop; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.
- <u>Results</u>: The TxDOT pan-formed bridge rail contained and redirected the 2270P vehicle. The vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override the installation. No measurable dynamic deflection was noted. (PASS)

## 6.1.2 Occupant Risk

D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.

Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.3 and Appendix E of MASH. (roof  $\leq 4.0$  inches; windshield  $\leq 3.0$  inches; side windows = no shattering by test article structural member; wheel/foot well/toe pan  $\leq 9.0$  inches; forward of A-pillar  $\leq 12.0$  inches; front side door area above seat  $\leq 9.0$  inches; front side door below seat  $\leq 12.0$  inches; floor pan/transmission tunnel area  $\leq 12.0$  inches).

- Results:A small piece of concrete broke off the top traffic side corner of the<br/>downstream joint of the bridge rail. This debris did not penetrate nor<br/>show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present<br/>undue hazard to others in the area. (PASS)<br/>Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 2.75 inches in the<br/>firewall area near the toe pan on the front right passenger side. (PASS)
- *F.* The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.
- <u>Results</u>: The 2270P vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles during the test were 26 degrees and 8 degrees, respectively. (PASS)

Н.	Occupant impact velocities sho	uld satisfy the following:
	Longitudinal and Lateral C	Occupant Impact Velocity
	Preferred	Maximum
	30  ft/s	40  ft/s

- <u>Results</u>: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 22.0 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was 29.9 ft/s. (PASS)
- I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: <u>Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Ridedown Accelerations</u> <u>Preferred</u> <u>15.0 Gs</u> <u>Maximum</u> <u>20.49 Gs</u>
- <u>Results</u>: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -5.3 G, and lateral ridedown acceleration was -11.7 G. (PASS)

# 6.1.3 Vehicle Trajectory

For redirective devices, the vehicle shall exit the barrier within the exit box.

<u>Result</u>: The vehicle exited within the exit box. (PASS)

# 6.2 CONCLUSIONS

The TxDOT pan-formed bridge rail performed acceptably for *MASH* test 3-11, as shown in Table 6.1.

Tes	Test Agency: Texas Transportation Institute	Test No.: 420020-3 Te	Test Date: 2010-08-03
	MASH Test 3-11 Evaluation Criteria	Test Results	Assessment
Stri	Structural Adequacy		
A.	Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or	The TxDOT pan-formed bridge rail contained and	
	bring the vehicle to a controlled stop; the vehicle should	redirected the 2270P vehicle. The vehicle did not	
	not penetrate, underride, or override the installation	penetrate, underride, or override the installation.	Pass
	although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is	No measurable dynamic deflection was noted.	
	acceptable.		
о С	Occupant Risk		
Ū.	Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the	A small piece of concrete broke off the top traffic	
	test article should not penetrate or show potential for	side corner of the downstream joint. This debris	
	penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an	did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating	Pass
	undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel	the occupant compartment, or present undue hazard	
	in a work zone.	to others in the area.	
	Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant	Maximum occupant compartment deformation was	
	compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section	2.75 inches in the firewall area near the toe pan on	Pass
	5.3 and Appendix E of MASH.	the front right passenger side.	
F.	The vehicle should remain upright during and after	The 2270P vehicle remained upright during and	
	collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to	after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch	Dace
	exceed 75 degrees.	angles during the test were 26 degrees and	CCD 1
		8 degrees, respectively.	
H.		Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was	
	should fall below the preferred value of 30 ft/s, or at least	22.0 ft/s, and lateral occupant impact velocity was	Pass
	below the maximum allowable value of 40 ft/s.	29.9 ft/s.	
I.	Longitudinal and lateral occupant ridedown	Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -5.3 G,	
	accelerations should fall below the preferred value of	and lateral ridedown acceleration was -11.7 G.	Dace
	15.0 Gs, or at least below the maximum allowable value		
	of 20.49 Gs.		
Vel	Vehicle Trajectory		
	For redirective devices, the vehicle shall exit the barrier	The 2270P vehicle exited within the exit box.	Pass
	within the exit box.		

Table 6.1. Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-11 on the TxDOT Pan-Formed Bridge Rail.
### **CHAPTER 7. IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT**

The objective of this crash test was to determine if the TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail on pan-formed retrofit bridge deck would perform acceptably according to the guidelines set forth in *MASH*. The crash test performed was *MASH* test 3-11 involving a 2270P vehicle (5000-lb pickup truck) impacting the critical impact point (CIP) of the bridge rail at an impact speed and angle of 62 mi/h and 25 degrees, respectively.

The TxDOT Type SSTR bridge rail retrofitted to the 6-inch thick pan-formed bridge deck as tested and described herein performed acceptably for *MASH* test 3-11. In addition, the two #8 deformed bars, used in the expansion joint between the barrier ends to provide additional lateral strength to the two opposing ends of the barrier at the joint performed as designed. The retrofit SSTR bridge rail as tested for this project with the #8 expansion dowels in the barrier expansion joints are recommended<sup>\*</sup> for implementation on any pan-form bridge upgrade projects with 6-inch minimum deck thickness.

<sup>\*</sup> The opinions/interpretations expressed in this section are outside the scope of TTI Proving Ground's A2LA accreditation.

## REFERENCES

1. AASHTO, "Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware," Fourth Edition: American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, D.C., 2009.



### APPENDIX A. DETAILS OF THE TEST ARTICLE



T:/2009-2010/42002/Tan-Form Retrofit/SolidWorks/Drawings/Pan-form Drawing



T:/2009-2010/420020 TxDOT/-3 Pan-Form Retrofit/SolidWorks/Drawings/Pan-form Drawing



T:/2009-2010/420020 TxDOT/-3 Pan-Form Retrofit/SolidWorks/Drawings/Pan-form Drawing



# APPENDIX B. CERTIFICATION DOCUMENTATION

### MATERIAL USED

TEST NUMBER	420020-3	Pan-form Bridge Rail		
DATE	2010-08-03			
DATE RECEIVED	ITEM NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	SUPPLIER	HEAT#
2010-C7-01 2010-C7-01 2010-C7-01	Bolt, 1.000-1 Nut, 1.000-4 Nut, 1.000-5	1"-8 × 24" 1" -8 hex 1" -8 hex jam	Mack Bolt & Steel Mack Bolt & Steel Mack Bolt & Steel	3012005
2010-C7-01 2010-C7-14 2010-C4-22	Strap 3-1 Weldec Wire-1 Rebar 04-16	3/8" × 3" × 20' Welded Wire for Parapet 1/2" × 20' gr 60 - SLV	Mack Bolt & Steel Insteel CMC-Sheplers	.IW091764F001 TO91834 3015574

#### July 13, 2010

#### **Original Mill Test Report**

Company:	Mack Bolt & Steel
Part Description:	40 pcs 1 - 8 X 24" Hex Bolts
Material Specification:	ASTM F1554-Grade 55
Coating Specification	ASTM A153-'93a Type C
Purchase Order Number:	18879
Lot Number:	10839-1
Comments:	None
Material Heat Number:	3012005
Testing Laboratory:	СМС
	Chemical Analysis- Weight Percent

					C.	i chine	ai Aili	ary 515-	ricigi	int i ti	come					
С	Mn	Р	S	Si	Cu	Cr	Ni	Mo	V	Sn	Cb	Ti	B	Al	Та	N
.21	1.03	.012	.026	.21	.28	.12	.10	.022	.020	.013	.002	.001	.0003	-	-	-
								the USA								

#### **Tensile and Hardness Test Results**

Date: June 24, 2010

 Property
 #1 psi

 Tensile:
 88.400

 Proof/Yield:
 63.800

 Elongation:
 26

 ROA:
 55

 Hardness:
 179 HBN

Comments

Test results meet mechanical requirements of specification.

All reports are the exclusive property of K-T Bolt Manufacturing Company, Inc. ®. Any reproduction must be in their entirety and at the permission of the same.

															-
	10	24, 2009	5	5	9		29		50			.32			
	29-Jan-2010 534673 151816	NBMG-08 March 24, 2009	C I	5	.26		.32		4			38			9
Page: 1		NBA		5	526		20	.002	ន	022		51	.001		9
E.	Date: B.L. Number: Load Number:		CHEMICAL TESTS	-	033		030	<b>7</b> 00	034	-003		040	202		280
DRT	- 3		N IN												8
REPC			ð l		.061		.019	Ϋ́,	.015	039		.018	240.		
CERTIFIED MILL TEST REPORT			2		.16 MNVC 09.36		8	ţ	92	.15 MNVC 04.00		2	=		Ben Cave
MILL	Ship from: Nucor Steel - Texes 8812 Hwy 79 W JEWETT, TX 75846 800-527-6445		2	:	CBV 0.030		11	2	23	15 CBV 0.030		ដុះ	ţ		Bei
UFIE	Ship from: Nucor Steel - Texes 8812 Hwy 79 W JEWETT, TX 75846 800-527-6445	-	0												. 3
CER	Ship from: Nucor Steel - Te 8812 Hwy 79 W JEWETT, TX 75 800-527-6445	1	WTW												OUALITY ASSURANCE:
		entotive.	BEND												
		Material Sefety Data Sheets are available at www.nucorbar.com or by contacting your inside sales representative. PHYSICIAL TEETE	ELONG % IN B	17.0%	18.0%	-3.1	25.0%	25.0%	21.0%	21.0%	3.1	25.0%	30.0%		20
A TION		PHYSI	TENSILE P.S.L	81,600	563MPa 78,600 542MPa	EN 10204-3.1	64,100 442MPa	64,500 445MPa			EN 10204-3.1		-		CORTORAT
		nok but								-			-		S OF THE
NUCOR CORFORMATION NUCON CORFORMATION		by contact	P.S.I.	60,400	416MPa 58,800 405MPa	3.1B &	45,300 312MPa	46,000 3.17MPa	65,300 450MPa	64,500 445MPa	3.1B &	49,300 340MPa	50,000 345MPa		- mounter contributions and when a standard are content as contribued is TAB retaining to THE CORTORATION. Al MANTERCOMMING AND CHARGE OF THE STARK WHISELALE IN THIS (2000-11, 100-1103) AND
Z		IL.COM OF				PARA		M-07			COMPLIES WITH DIN 50049 PARA 6248113				NUMBER IN THE PARTY OF THE PART
		r.nucorbe	¥		R 55	COMPLIES WITH DIN 50049 6248113		20 A30 ASTM A36/A36M-08, A709/A700M-07 CR36, ASME SA36-07 6248113		R 55	50049		20 4.30 ASTM A36/A36M-08, A709/A709M-07 CR36, ASME SA36-07		TA CONTRACTOR
PKWY		e at www	DESCRIPTION	9	<b>911-05</b> G	NIG H	Se	08, A70	s	M-05 GI	NIC	g	08, A70 A36-07		IS CORPECT
ENTER 076-		deliane -	DES	el - Te)	lat Gr55 29/A52 36	LIM S	ol - Tex t	SIA36M	el - Texa	3r 55 19/4529	AL IM	4 - Texa	A36MH		CURES AF
NAMASCO CORP 500 COLONIAL CENTER PKWY STE 500 ROSWELL, GA 30076-	)P 4 8610-	heets are		6248113 Nucor Steel - Texas	344x10" Flat 20: A529 Gr55 AS1M A529/A529M-05 GR 55 Mn/C = 9.36	COMPLIE 6248113	Nucor Steel - Texas 3/Bx3" Flat	20 A30 ASTM A36/A36M-08, A7( CR36, ASME SA36-07 6248113	Nucor Steel - Texas 3/8x6* Flat	20' A529 Gr 55 ASTM A529/A529M-05 GR 55 Mn/C = 4.	COMPLIES 6248113	Nucor Steel - Texas 1/4x4" Flat	ASTM A36/A36M-08, A70 GR36, ASME SA36-07		ABOUN, LA THUR THE BUT HATER
NAMASCO CORP 500 COLONIAL CE STE 500 ROSWELL, GA 30	NAMASCO SOUTH LOOP 4 BUDA, TX 78610-	Data St			8 7 7 N			AS AS		AS AS	-		ASI		PROCESSION PROCESSION
	NAMASCO SOUTH LO BUDA, TX	el Safety	HEAT NUM	PO# =>		<= #Od	JW0910648001	~= #Od	JW1010009401		-=#0d	JW 1010012301			HAVE OCCURENCE
SOLD	10: 10:	Maten	Ŧ	50Mr		2	SOWL	6	JW10		õ	101 MC			ALL MAND
													the second second second	and the second second	

### Insteel Wire Products 500 Klemp Road Dayton, TX 77535 Metals Tensile

Job Number: 330005-01 Heat Number (XW): TO91834 Item Number: 533-153483-(2) Product Style: VARX6D10.7/D13.4 Prod. Style Cont.: 68"(.8125+.875)X31' Rod Size & Origin (LW): W11716 Heat Number (LW): TO92121 Rod Size & Origin (XW): W1112 Oper. # Sample # Diameter CS Area Ultimate Ultimate Red Area Bend Test OK in² in lbf ksi % 4667/7230 1 0.369 0.1069 10420 97.5 0 YES 4667/7230 2 0.369 0.1069 10730 100.4 0 YES 4667/7230 1 0.413 0.134 YES 13200 98.6 0 4667/7230 2 0.413 0.134 13220 98.7 0 YES Avg. 0.391 0.1205 98.8 SD 0.0254 0.0156 1.196 Min. 10420 Max. 13220

The use of this product conforms with Buy America Requirements set forth in 23 CFR Subpart D, Section 635.410, Buy America Requirements and Title 49 - Transportation, Chapter VI - Federal Transit Administration, Department of Transportation Part 661 - Buy America Requirements -Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982, As Amended

This is to certify that the material listed above conforms to the following specifications.:

ASTM: A 496-07/ A 497-0 Test Requirements.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: <u>7 / (2 /</u> 2009\_\_\_

		9 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	110-710-000			Daniel J. Schacht Quality Assurance Manager	4. * <sup>?</sup>
HEAT NO.:3015574 SECTION: REBAR 13MM (#4) 20'0" 420/60 GRADE: ASTM A615-09 Gr 420/60 ROLL DATE: 03/22/2010 MELT DATE: 03/21/2010 MELT DATE: 03/21/2010	0 0 C 0 0	CMC Construction Svcs College Stati 10650 State Hwy 30 College Station TX US 77845-7950 979 774 5900	Svcs College Stati	<b>ω</b> <u>τ</u> − <del>Γ</del> ⊢ Ο	CMC Construction Svcs College Stati 10650 State Hwy 30 College Station TX US 77845-7950 979 774 5900	Delivery#: 80282609 BOL#: 70095403 CUST PO#: 45057e CUST P/N: DLVRY LBS / HEAT: 4382.000 LB DLVRY PCS / HEAT: 328 EA	9 4382.000 LB 328 EA
Characteristic	Value		Charac	Characteristic	ic Value	Characteristic	Value
υĘΦ	0.42% 0.80% 0.009%				· · · ·		
SI	0.033% 0.20%	8				ء ي	
30	0.48%						
ž	0.25%						
Mo V	0.064% 0.001%	% %					
99		8					
A	0.002%	88					
Yield Strength test 1	68.2ksi						
Tensile Strength test 1	106.3ksi	ksi					
Elongation Gage Leth test 1	12% 8IN		'n				
Bend Test Diameter	1.750IN	2					
Bend Test	Passed					•	

Date: 2010-08	-03	Test No.:	420020-3	VIN No.	1D7HA18N	14552438	883
Year: 2005		Make:	Dodge	Model	Ram 1500	Quad-Ca	ab
Tire Size: 24	5/70R17			Tire Inflation Pro	essure: <u>35 p</u>	si	
Tread Type: High	ghway			Odd	ometer: <u>1382</u>	200	
Note any damage	to the vehi	icle prior to	test:				
<ul> <li>Denotes accele</li> </ul>	rometer loo	cation.		W	X		
NOTES:							
NOTES			-				
Engine Type:	V-8		M WHEEL				WHEEL N
Engine CID:	4.7 liter						
Transmission Typ	e:		1				
<u>x</u> Auto	or	Manual		- 0		TEST	INERTIAL C.M.
FWD <u></u>		4WD	P				
Optional Equipme	ent:						
						-	
Dummy Data:				A L Ú	G	$-H_{\odot}$	$\gamma \downarrow D_{\pm}$
Type: Mass:	No dummy	/	- + + + + + - + - + - + + - + + - + - + + - + + - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			$\neg \downarrow$	/   K.
Seat Position:			-	→ M <sub>front</sub> H		↓M	rear
Coomotrus incl			-	- F	E		— D —
Geometry: incl A 77.00	nes F	37.00	K	20.50 P	3.00	U	27.50
B 73.25	 	28.38	– K	28.75 Q	29.50	v _	34.00
C 227.00	- <u>е</u> _	63.86		68.25 R	18.50	ŵ_	53.50
D 47.50	- ··· <u>-</u>	13.50	N	67.25 S	14.25	x	140.50
E 140.50	J	26.00	0	44.75 T	75.50	_	
Wheel Center Ht Front	t 14.	<u>125</u> w	heel Well Cleara	ance (FR) 6.12	5 Frame H	:(FR)	16.685
Wheel Center Ht Rear	14	1.25 wi	heel Well Cleara	ance (RR) 11.2	5_ Frame Ht	(RR)	24.25
RANGE LIMIT: A=	78 ±2 inches;			2 inches; F=39 ±3 inches N/2=67 ±1.5 inches	s; G = > 28 inche	s; H = 63 :	£4 inches;
			,	Test		<u>Gross</u>	
GVWR Ratings:	Mass		<u>Curb</u>	Inertial		<u>Static</u>	
Front <u>3650</u>	M <sub>fron</sub>	-	2736	Allov	vable		Allowable
D = -1. 00000	N /I		1987	2289 Rang	ne -		Range
Back <u>3900</u> Fotal 6650	M <sub>rear</sub> M <sub>Tota</sub>		4723		±110 lb		5000 ±110 lb

# Figure C1. Vehicle Properties for Test No. 420020-3.

### Table C1. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test No. 420020-3.

Date:	2010-08-03	Test No.:	420020-3	VIN No.:	1D7HA18N455243883
Year:	2005	Make:	Dodge	Model:	Ram 1500 Quad-Cab

### VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET<sup>1</sup>

Complete Wh	en Applicable
End Damage	Side Damage
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2
A2	
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant
(check one)	X1+X2 _
< 4 inches	
≥ 4 inches	

#### Note: Measure $C_1$ to $C_6$ from Driver to Passenger side in Front or Rear impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

a :c		Direct I	Damage								
Specific Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L**	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	$C_4$	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>	±D
1	Front plane at bumper ht	16	13	26	0	1.5	3	6	8	13	+10
2	Side plane at bumper ht	16	18	58	0	4			13	18	+68
	Measurements recorded										
	in inches										

<sup>1</sup>Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

\*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

\*\*\*Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.



### Table C2. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test No. 420020-3.

## APPENDIX D. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS



Figure D1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 420020-3 (Overhead and Frontal Views).



Figure D1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 420020-3 (Overhead and Frontal Views) (Continued).



0.000 s



0.089 s









0.352 s



0.440 s



0.526 s



0.263 s 0.615 s Figure D2. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 420020-3 (Rear View).



Figure E1. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 420020-3.











