Guidelines on Design and Construction of High Performance Thin HMA Overlays

Cindy K. Estakhri Tommy Blackmore Tom Scullion

Published: August 2016 http://tti.tamu.edu/documents/5-5598-05-WS2





WORSHOP NOTES

Guidelines on the Use and Inspection of Thin Surface Mixes in TxDOT's Maintenance and **Pavement Preservation Programs**



WORKSHOP INSTRUCTORS: Tom Scullion, PE Tommy Blackmore Cindy Estakhri, PE

> Tevo Department

April 2016



Class Overview

Part I – Morning 10:00 to noon

- Why Thin Overlays
- Types of Thin Overlays
- Materials Selection
- Specifications
- Mix Design
- Site Selection
- Houston Candidates

Part II – Afternoon 1:00-3:00

- Project Inspection
- Tack Coat/Bonding Underseals
- Mixture Placement and Compaction
 - Weather/Temperature
 - Good Practices
 - Haul Distances
 - Managing Windrows
- Acceptance Testing





Why TO's? Problems with Dense Graded Overlays Item 341





Why Thin Overlays?



Good Performance

Rut/Crack resistance

Skid resistance

SAC B – High 30's to Mid 40's SAC A – High 40's to Low 50's

Smoothness (IRI improvement)

Typically 25-35% improvement – depends on pre-existing conditions

Sound Abatement

2 to 6 times reduction in noise 96.5-98dB = PFC

IH-35 (ADT >100k): Before/After



Mike Arellano; Austin District

Long-Term Performance – TOMs, Austin District

Long-Term Distress Performance (2008-2014)



1

Types of Thin Overlays

Iransportation Institute





Aggregate Components



Grade 5 (1/4 inch)

Screenings

Mixture Types



- 30% Cost savings over traditional mixes lifts of 1 inch or less
- Pass Rutting (HWTT) and Cracking (OT) performance tests
- Mandate PG 76-22 SAC A Grade 5 Rock + Screenings
- Structurally Sound Pavements ONLY

Key Components of Mix Design and Material Properties

- High-quality aggregate SAC A for high volume roads
- PG 70 or 76 (Polymer Modified binders)
- RAP and RAS (shingles) not allowed
- Minimum binder content (Over 6%)
- Pay for binder separately ??
- Performance test requirements
- Warm mix additives (for long haul distances)





SAC A and SAC B blending







Mix Design and Specifications

Iransportation Institute





TOM-C and TOM-F Specification

Item 347 Thin Overlay Mixtures (TOM)



1. DESCRIPTION

Construct a thin surface course composed of a compacted mixture of aggregate and asphalt binder mixed hot in a mixing plant. Produce a thin surface course with a minimum lift thickness of 1/2 in. for TOM Type F mixture and 3/4 in. for TOM Type C mixture.



Higher Aggregate Quality Requirements

Table 1					
Aggregate Quality Requirements					
Property	Test Method	Requirement			
Coarse Aggregate					
SAC	Tex-499-A (AQMP)	A ¹			
Deleterious material, %, Max	Tex-217-F, Part I	1.5			
Decantation, %, Max	Tex-217-F, Part II	1.5			
Micro-Deval abrasion, %	Tex-461-A	Note ²			
Los Angeles abrasion, %, Max	Tex-410-A	30			
Magnesium sulfate soundness, 5 cycles, %, Max	Tex-411-A	20			
Crushed face count, ³ %, Min	Tex-460-A, Part I	95			
Flat and elongated particles @ 5:1, %, Max	Tex-280-F	10			
Fine Aggregate					
Linear shrinkage, %, Max	Tex-107-E	3			
Combined Aggregate ⁴					
Sand equivalent, %, Min	Tex-203-F	45			

- 1. Surface Aggregate Classification of "A" is required unless otherwise shown on the plans.
- Used to estimate the magnesium sulfate soundness loss in accordance with Section 347.2.1.1.2., "Micro-Deval Abrasion."
- 3. Only applies to crushed gravel.
- Aggregates, without mineral filler or additives, combined as used in the job-mix formula (JMF).





Thermal Imaging Requirement

4.7.1.1.

When Using a Thermal Imaging System. The Contractor may pave any time the roadway is dry and the roadway surface temperature is at least 32°F; however, the Engineer may restrict the Contractor from paving surface mixtures if the ambient temperature is likely to drop below 32°F within 12 hr. of paving. Provide output data from the thermal imaging system to demonstrate to the Engineer that no recurring severe thermal segregation exists in accordance with Section 347.4.7.3.1.2., "Thermal Imaging System."





Bogus delete this



When Using a Thermal Imaging System. The Contractor may pave any time the roadway is dry and the roadway sunace temperature is at least 32°F; however, the Engineer may restrict the Contractor from paving surface mixtures if the ambient temperature is likely to urop below 32°F within 12 hr. of paving. Provide output data from the therman imaging system to demonstrate to the Engineer that no recurring severe thermal segregation exists in accordance with Section 347.4.7.3.1.2., "Thermal Imaging System."





Critical Requirement

Tack Coat. Clean the surface before placing the tack coat. The Engineer will set the rate between 0.04 and 0.10 gal. of residual asphalt per square yard of surface area. Apply a uniform tack coat at the specified rate

Trackless Tack strongly recommended



4.7.2



Houston's Plan Notes 1 of 2

Item 347: Thin Overlay Mixture (TOM)

Provide an asphalt binder PG 76-22. Substitution of the PG binder is not allowed.

Place mixture at the compacted lift thickness of one (1) inch.

Provide 100% SAC "A" aggregate. Blending of coarse aggregate is not allowed. A maximum of 25% SAC "B" screening material can be used.

Do not use RAP and RAS in the mixture.

A Pave-IR system or Thermal camera system is mandatory for this project. The contractor must demonstrate that the mixture is being placed with no severe thermal segregation.

Provide a mix which lasts more than 500 cycles in the Overlay Tester.

For breakdown rolling use two steel-wheel rollers working in tandem without excessive breakage of the aggregate and provide a smooth surface and uniform texture, keeping the rollers as close as possible to the lay-down machine. Do not use pneumatic-tire rollers. Use a steel wheel as the finish roller.

Houston's Plan Notes 2 of 2

Water flow measurements as per Tx Method 246 are mandatory for setting rolling patterns. The contractor must report the selected patterns to TxDOT and show that it meets the water flow requirements

ftp://ftp.dot.state.tx.us/pub/txdot-info/cst/TMS/200-F_series/pdfs/bit246.pdf

Avoid excessive compaction where water flows of greater than 10 minutes are not allowed, the final surface must have acceptable macro-texture.

The tack coat must be a hot applied trackless tack.

All construction joints must be placed under the paint stripes between the lanes. (No joints near wheel paths)

Performance test will be required on all mix design and trial batch samples, for each mold 6 samples at optimum asphalt content at 7% air voids content each will be 6 inches in diameter by 2.4 inches thick (Hamburg sized samples). The samples are to be sent to the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) for Hamburg Wheel Test and Overlay test. This work is subsidiary to the various bid items.

Typical Water Flow – 6 seconds for PFC

6-in diameter by 10-in high cylinder. Plumber's putty used to seal the edges of the pipe to pavement surface so water flows through the PFC.

New Approaches to Mix Design Balancing Rutting and Reflection Cracking Requirements



Standard prep

Laboratory Mixture Design Properties

Mixture Property	Test Method	Requirement
Target laboratory-molded density, % (TGC)	Tex 207 F	97.5 ¹
Design gyrations (Ndesign for SGC)	Tex-241-F	50 ²
Hamburg Wheel test, passes at 12.5 mm rut depth for PG 70 mixtures	Tex-242-F	15,000 Min
Hamburg Wheel test, passes at 12.5 mm rut depth for PG 76 mixtures	Tex-242-F	20,000 Min
Tensile strength (dry), psi.	Tex-226-F	85-200
Overlay test, number of cycles	Tex-248-F	300 Min
Drain-down, %	Tex-235-F	0.20 Max



Century Asphalts TOM Mix for Houston HWTT = 5.4 and 3.9 mm OT =1000 cycles



Conventional vs. TOM Surface Mixes

Properties	Conventional HMA	ТОМ-С	TOM-F
Gradation	Dense	GAP	Dense
Polymer Modified AC	Maybe	Yes	Yes
High Quality Aggr.	Maybe	Yes	Yes
AC Content	~ 4.8 - 5.2%	6.0% min	6.5% min
RAP	Yes	No	No
RAS	Yes	No	No
Rutting Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cracking Requirement	No	Yes	Yes





Fine PFC Specification

Item 342

1.

Permeable Friction Course (PFC)



DESCRIPTION

Construct a hot-mix asphalt (HMA) surface course composed of a compacted permeable mixture of aggregate, asphalt binder, and additives mixed hot in a mixing plant.





Sieve Size	s (% Passing by Weight or Volume) a PG 76 Mixtures		A-R Mixtures		
	Fine (PFC-F)	Coarse (PFC-C)	Fine (PFCR-F)	Coarse (PFCR-C)	Test Procedure
3/4"	-	100.01	100.01	100.01	 Tex-200-F
1/2"	100.0 ¹	80.0-100.0	95.0-100.0	80.0-100.0	
3/8"	95.0-100.0	35.0-60.0	50.0-80.0	35.0-60.0	
#4	20.0-55.0	1.0-20.0	0.0-8.0	0.0-20.0	
#8	1.0-10.0	1.0-10.0	0.0-4.0	0.0-10.0	
#200	1.0-4.0	1.0-4.0	0.0-4.0	0.0-4.0	
		Mixture Properties			
Asphalt binder content, %	6.0-7.0	6.0-7.0	8.0-10.0	7.0-9.0	_
Design gyrations (Ndesign)	50	50	50	50	Tex-241-F
Lab-molded density, %	78.0 Max	82.0 Max	82.0 Max	82.0 Max	Tex-207-F
Hamburg Wheel test, ² passes at 12.5 mm rut depth	10,000 Min ³	Note ²	Note ²	Note ²	Tex-242-F
Overlay tester, ² number of cycles	200 Min	Note ²	Note ²	Note ²	Tex-248-F
Drain-down, %	0.10 Max	0.10 Max	0.10 Max	0.10 Max	Tex-235-F
Fiber content, % by wt. of total PG 76 mixture	0.204-0.50	0.204-0.50	_	_	Calculated
Lime content, % by wt. of total aggregate	1.0 ⁵	1.0 ⁵	1.0 ⁵	1.0 ⁵	Calculated
CRM content, % by wt. of A-R binder	-	-	15.0 Min	15.0 Min	Calculated
Boil test ⁶	-	-	_	_	Tex-530-C
Cantabro loss, %	20.0 Max	20.0 Max	20.0 Max	20.0 Max	Tex-245-F







Fine PFC vs Conventional PFC







Thin (<1 inch) Overlays

Type 1 Fine PFC (Item 342) 0.75 to 1 inch Safety/drainage/noise/bleeding
Type 2 TOM-F (Item 347) 0.5 to 1 inch Rut/crack/urban areas/ultra thin
Type 3 TOM-C (Item 347) 0.75 to 1 inch Rut/crack resistance/skid/high speed





Good Candidates for TOM C –







District Use of TOM-C



Houston Projects

- US 59 Main lanes
- US 59 Frontage Roads
- IH 45
- FM 1488
- FM 1887

Upcoming
FM 1960





Successful High Volume Traffic TOM-C Applications



Successfullow



Low life-cycle costs, skid resistance, and noise reduction make this pavement popular with everyone

By Kelli Reyna and Martha K. Silver



a real estate, there is an old adage: "location, location, location." But when that location is on a noisy roadway, "home sweet home" loses its value as a peaceful retreat. For residents along Ranch-to-Market Road 12 in Dripping Springs, Texas, their idvillie location was filled with complaints about road noise, which reached new highs before a 1-inch asphalt Thinlay significantly reduced noise levels and improved safety.

Intersection of Unsafe and Unquiet

Just 30 minutes west of Austin, Texas, is the hedroom community of Dripping Springs known as the Gateway to Texas Hill Country. In the past decade, the city of statin has spread through growth and the annexation of surrounding communities. As Austin's bonders have approached Dripping Springs, traffic has increased, too.

Texas A&M Transportation Institute

DOT's longer-lasting, thinner 1-linch and halt-inch overlay lonalives use Sincr aggregates than the traditional 2-linch erlay, resulting in safer, smoother rides for motorisfs.

w past issues online at www.naylornetw

Texas

Department

of Transportation

Good Candidates for TOM-F Mixes

- As a maintenance alternative for seal coats.
- Sections where an additional seal coat is not a good option.
- FM 2920 Tomball
 April 2016



¹/₂ to ³/₄ inch thick





Where ¹/₂-in TOM-F Overlays Used












July 2012 Full Scale Project Brownwood

- Full scale project US 183, Brownwood, to correct bleeding surface trt.
- 8.75 miles, 5000 tons, \$97/ton (Zack Burkett), CSJ 6231-69-001







Sept 2012



Typical Water Flow – 6 seconds

6-in diameter by 10-in high cylinder. Plumber's putty used to seal the edges of the pipe to pavement surface so water flows through the PFC.



Looking to place Fine PFC over deteriorated existing coarse PFC



Site Selection

Iransportation Institute





Pavement Selection Considerations

- Use on structurally sound pavements Pavements needing extensive base repair or requiring structural improvement should be avoided.
- Use on pavements requiring only restoration of the surface wearing course properties, such as skid resistance, elimination of surface distresses, improve ride quality, reduce noise.





Good Candidates

- Shallow rutting < 1/2 in
- Top down cracking
- Longitudinal cracking
- Raveling
- Highly oxidized surface
- Polished surface restore skid
- Acceptable ride quality (or level up also needed)
- Where cross slope correction not required
- Overlay not needed on shoulders
- CRCP Concrete pavements (Repair failures)
- JCP Concrete (Check joint movement)
- Bridge decks
- Where low noise surface is desired
- Both low and high volume traffic roadways





Candidate Evaluation Process

Is it a good Candidate for a TOM

- Video Log (always)
- GPR (Flexible) (if needed)
- FWD (Flexible) (if needed)
- TPAD (Concrete especially Jointed) (if needed)
- If so:
 - what prep work is needed
 - Do I need a level up and/or chip seal?
 - Which areas need patching/milling
 - What problem areas are identified
 - Driveways
 - Intersections





Surface Preparation (Austin District)

• Preparing and Repairing

- Perform crack sealing and spot repair in highly distressed areas
- Milled-in shoulder texturing and raised profile markings will reflect through – remove or fill
- Mill and fill areas with fatigue cracking or shallow rutted areas with a fine dense-graded mix
 - Helps match existing surface
 - Promotes better ride with thin overlay
- Level-up
 - Should get a 25 to 35% improvement in IRI
 - If roughness > 120 in/mile, place level-up











File Help

Fix-Video 🚔 *.img DMI 19 R 5 NcGrzStarEnd LenComment 0+ (0+3154 226 Outside lane to the Start an area of 0+2'0+3793 860 distress for repair 0+3:0+4512 588 Center Lane 0+501+ 54 274 Outside Lane 1+1'1+1803 79 Outside Lane The second 1+2'1+2988 245 Both Center & Outsid 1+3/1+3801 293 Center Lane 1+3/1+4492 530 Outside Lane 1+411+5225 410 Outside Lane 10 2+1:2+1670 162 Outside Lane 11 2+3 2+3239 130 Both Center and Out Creates a table for all areas 12 2+4/2+4971 103 Outside Lane of distress requiring repair 13 3+1:3+1498 136 Center Lane 14 3+2-3+2570 76 Outside Lane 15 3+2!3+3270 294 Center Lane 4+4:4+4394 115 Center Lane 17 .5+ 25+ 372 97 Center Lane 5+ E5+ 936 100 CL 19 5+526+ 47 80 01 16 ml 1397 ft. 20 5+3 5+3943 150 Center Lane Maps the repair area

Pavement Selection Consideration for TOM

Does the Projects have any near surface defects

 <u>Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)</u>: Determine existing pavement thickness, including HMA and base course thickness, near surface defects (stripping)



Pavement Selection Consideration for TOM

- Is the project structurally OK
 - <u>Falling Weight Deflectometer (Flexible)</u>: or TPAD Testing (Concrete)
 Pavement response to determine overall pavement capacity and subgrade support



US 59 Frontage Road BW 8 to Bissonnet to South Gessner

Nationw

Bissonnet 5

Case 1 Is this JCP a candidate for a Thin Overlay



Input=I/My Pessport/RDD/US 59 Run 1 8-21-13/housto

RDD(3200000, ng1 Cg2 Len(6615).

GPRIDING, Hide GPR, Ohi0.0



Input=31My Perspect/RDD1U5 SH Run 18-21-23 houston

RDD:1179750, hg2 Cg/2 1en:2580.5

GPR/8888, Hide GPR Ofc0.0

7006

7008

Vdg:1839. Cfs15.8

GP5.3865.NRM.0

DAE 16634-7 to 9195.2 Showing the current DMI internation

7030 7032 7050

Structurally Deficient – Not Good Candidates









___/ OT IFANSportation

Case Study on FM 1960

- Is it a Good candidate
- What areas of Concern

Texas A&M Transportation Institute







Transition from wide shoulder also start of poor surface mix about 1.25 miles east of US 59



Poor Surface Mix raveling (thermal segregation) at least 60% of section



Typical narrow shoulder section Full edge paving Mill 1 inch



Typical Wide shoulder section Possible only Pave 18 inches inside shoulder and taper mix



Few localized bumps must be milled flat



Few areas with wide cracks Patch any failures or locations with loose material



Many major intersections



Lots of traffic loops etc



Case Study 2 IH 45





Trapped Water under outside lane ?



Drill Dry Hole what is this (Moisture/Uretek/grout)



Is this patch stable



NB problem patch

Raw data file has 7147750 point and 28591 group. Current read set File Edit View Help 🔺 🛂 📾 🗳 🎍 👪 🖌 COOL at bad Data 1 Data 2 R ≤ R ► HMM Other → B ToUT A Data 3 Data 3 View 4 Deflection (mils/10-kips) 15 14 29. 4964525 Lot. 95. 1100167 DMI 19983.0 Lat. Center Geophone 13 12 Front Geophone 11 Rear Geophone 10 9 8 3 2 0 19000 19200 19400 19600 19800 20000 20200 20400 20600 20800 8.0 7.0 6.0 5.0 A BON AN AND 4.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 19950 19960 19970 19980 19990 20000 20010 20020 20030 3.0 2.8 2.6 2.4 2.2 2.0 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.0

0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2

0.0

10004

19996

1999R

20000

20002

20004

20006

20008

20010

20012

20014

20016

20018

3 mi 4165 ft

21200

20080

Value 3.73

21000

20040

20050
End of Part I of Class

Iransportation Institute





Part II of Class Project Inspection

- Surface prep
- HMA bonding
- Compaction
- Acceptance





Mixture Types



- 30% Cost savings over traditional mixes lifts of 1 inch or less
- Pass Rutting (HWTT) and Cracking (OT) performance tests
- Mandate PG 76-22 SAC A Grade 5 Rock + Screenings





Thin (<1 inch) Overlays

Type 1 Fine PFC (Item 342) 0.75 to 1 inch Safety/drainage/noise/bleeding
Type 2 TOM-F (Item 347) 0.5 to 1 inch Rut/crack/urban areas/ultra thin
Type 3 TOM-C (Item 347) 0.75 to 1 inch Rut/crack resistance/skid/high speed





File Help

Fix-Video 🚔 *.img DMI 19 R 5 NcGrzStarEnd LenComment 0+ (0+3154 226 Outside lane to the Start an area of 0+2'0+3793 860 distress for repair 0+3:0+4512 588 Center Lane 0+501+ 54 274 Outside Lane 1+1'1+1803 79 Outside Lane The second 1+2'1+2988 245 Both Center & Outsid 1+3/1+3801 293 Center Lane 1+3/1+4492 530 Outside Lane 1+411+5225 410 Outside Lane 10 2+1:2+1670 162 Outside Lane 11 2+3 2+3239 130 Both Center and Out Creates a table for all areas 12 2+4/2+4971 103 Outside Lane of distress requiring repair 13 3+1:3+1498 136 Center Lane 14 3+2-3+2570 76 Outside Lane 15 3+2!3+3270 294 Center Lane 4+4:4+4394 115 Center Lane 17 .5+ 25+ 372 97 Center Lane 5+ E5+ 936 100 CL 19 5+526+ 47 80 01 16 ml 1397 ft. 20 5+3 5+3943 150 Center Lane Maps the repair area

Houston's Plan Notes 1 of 2

Item 347: Thin Overlay Mixture (TOM)

Provide an asphalt binder PG 76-22. Substitution of the PG binder is not allowed.

Place mixture at the compacted lift thickness of one (1) inch.

Provide 100% SAC "A" aggregate. Blending of coarse aggregate is not allowed. A maximum of 25% SAC "B" screening material can be used.

Do not use RAP and RAS in the mixture.

A Pave-IR system or Thermal camera system is mandatory for this project. The contractor must demonstrate that the mixture is being placed with no severe thermal segregation.

Provide a mix which lasts more than 500 cycles in the Overlay Tester.

For breakdown rolling use two steel-wheel rollers working in tandem without excessive breakage of the aggregate and provide a smooth surface and uniform texture, keeping the rollers as close as possible to the lay-down machine. Do not use pneumatic-tire rollers. Use a steel wheel as the finish roller.

Houston's Plan Notes 2 of 2

Water flow measurements as per Tx Method 246 are mandatory for setting rolling patterns. The contractor must report the selected patterns to TxDOT and show that it meets the water flow requirements

ftp://ftp.dot.state.tx.us/pub/txdot-info/cst/TMS/200-F_series/pdfs/bit246.pdf

Avoid excessive compaction where water flows of greater than 10 minutes are not allowed, the final surface must have acceptable macro-texture.

The tack coat must be a hot applied trackless tack.

All construction joints must be placed under the paint stripes between the lanes. (No joints near wheel paths)

Performance test will be required on all mix design and trial batch samples, for each mold 6 samples at optimum asphalt content at 7% air voids content each will be 6 inches in diameter by 2.4 inches thick (Hamburg sized samples). The samples are to be sent to the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) for Hamburg Wheel Test and Overlay test. This work is subsidiary to the various bid items.

Typical Water Flow – 6 seconds for PFC

6-in diameter by 10-in high cylinder. Plumber's putty used to seal the edges of the pipe to pavement surface so water flows through the PFC.

Keys to Successful Construction

• Preparation

- Spot Repair: Isolated failures
- Level-Up: Areas with greater than 120 in/mile
- Milling: Recommend micromilling for smaller peak to valley





Surface Preparation

• Preparing and Repairing

- Perform crack sealing and spot repair in highly distressed areas
- Milled-in shoulder texturing and raised profile markings will reflect through – remove or fill
- Mill and fill areas with fatigue cracking or shallow rutted areas with a fine dense-graded mix
 - Helps match existing surface
 - Promotes better ride with thin overlay
- Level-up
 - Should get a 25 to 35% improvement in IRI
 - If roughness > 120 in/mile, place level-up





Milling

- Milling recommended if
 - Pavement highly oxidized/stiff
 - Cross-slope corrections needed
 - Minor to moderate ride issues
 - Extensive thermal or top-down cracking (>40 percent by area)
 - Extensive recent crack seal
 - Micromilling recommended if milling required creates a finer finish with small peak-to-valley depths to prevent compaction and ride issues





Plant Inspection/Role of Inspector



Plant Inspection

- Proportioning aggregates
- Metering Asphalt
- Setting Feeding Unit
- Pugmill Mixer
- Mixing Time
- <u>Checks on Asphalt Content</u>





Sampling and Testing

- Purpose of tests
- Sampling Schedule
- Testing Trial Batch
- 4.4.2.1.13. Trial Batch Testing. Test the trial batch to ensure the mixture produced using the proposed JMF1 meets the mixture requirements in Table 8. Ensure the trial batch mixture is also in compliance with the Hamburg Wheel test, Overlay test, and drain-down requirements listed in Table 7. Use a Department-approved laboratory to perform the Hamburg Wheel test on the trial batch mixture or request that the Department perform the Hamburg Wheel test. Obtain and provide approximately 50 lb. of trial batch mixture in sealed containers, boxes, or bags labeled with the CSJ, mixture type, lot, and sublot number for the Overlay test. The Engineer will be allowed 10 working days to provide the Contractor with Hamburg Wheel test and Overlay test results on the trial batch. Provide the Engineer with a copy of the trial batch test results.





Production

- Keep Plant clean to prevent clumps = Pop outs
- Load Temperatures = 315 – 330 F





Tack Coats/Bonding/Underseals

Iransportation Institute





Seal and Bond

- Bond is critical for thin overlays
- TOM-C is somewhat open graded, so a good seal is important to prevent moisture infiltration.

4.7.2. **Tack Coat**. Clean the surface before placing the tack coat. The Engineer will set the rate between 0.04 and 0.10 gal. of residual asphalt per square yard of surface area. Apply a uniform tack coat at the specified rate

Trackless Tack Strongly Recommended





Tack Coats

Bonding

 On existing HMA, apply non-tracking tack, chip seal, or use spray paver.

Apply non-tracking tacks at 0.03 to 0.06 gal/sy









Problems with the spray bar







Check Nozzle Angles



Poor Nozzle Alignment







Proper Nozzle Alignment









Real problems with heavy shot rates under Roadtec loads



Underseals

- Underseals (chip seals/interlayers)
 - Only if significant unsealed cracks
 - If milling will expose underlying cracking
 - If overlaying newly widened sections
 - Use polymer modified binder in chip seal
 - Design chip seal with smaller aggregate (Grade 4 or 5)
 - Use proper chip seal construction practices











Seal Coat and Bonding Best Practices

- Light aggregate rates when using underseals
- Prefer heavy tacks prevent bonding issues in areas with too much aggregate
- Windrow and transfer material with a shuttle buggy in the adjacent lane
- VERY clean surface to promote good bonding
- Take cores to verify bond and thickness





Roadtec feeding paver and also dropping stuff

SB2500D



Direct Tensile Bond Test ASTM C-1583



Sequence in the Bond strength test







Thin Overlay did not Bond to Traffic Loops.....mill them out!!



Placement and Compaction

Iransportation Institute





Good Paving Practices

- Laydown Machine Operation
- Rollers
- Materials Transver vs traditional pick up
- Pave IR





Mixture Placement

Best Practice

- Use a shuttle buggy to maintain temperature
- Use insulated truck and trapped
- WMA additive helped as a compaction aid in cooler temperatures
- District may require WMA for hauls greater than 50 miles






Temperature Requirements

- Item 347 allows the following:
 - Roadway temperature of 32F when using thermal imaging system <u>NOT RECOMMENDED!!!</u>
 - Use the following Plan Note:

 When not using thermal imaging system, surface temperature should be min 60F





Thermal Profile – SR 220



atio

- Good thermal uniformity
- Δ T between 18 and 28 °F
- Paver idle 7% of time due to paver stops
- AVG speed 26.9 ft/min



View and annotate profile

Histograms

Project metrics

- Paverspeed
- Idle time

 Total duration

Thermal Profile Results Summary Moderate Severe Number of Profiles 25.0°F < differential <= 50.0°F differential > 50.0°F Number Percent Number Percent 127 18 14 2 2 ID: Demonstration Page: 1 Summary of Locations with Thermal Segregation

	Profile Nr	Beginning Location		Ending Location		Max	Min	Temperature
		Station	GPS in °	Station	GPS in °	Temp	Temp	Differential
	1	325.47	97.62704 W, 30.77293 N	326.97	97.62713 W, 30.77251 N	327.4	273.7	53.6
	2	326.97	97.62713 W, 30 77251 N	328.47	97.62727 W, 30 77212 N	311.2	269.1	42.1



Post-Process view and report from IR Profile

Measured temperature drops on thin lifts; Need 2 rollers working in echelon



/ Department of Trenenartetion

Placement and Compaction

– Limit hand work

- Irregularities show up more dramatically in thin overlays
- No pneumatics.... Closes surface
 - Macrotexture for skid resistance is diminished
 - Cools too quick to take out impressions
- Rollers should be right behind the paver Harsh mix and cools quickly





Compaction







Compaction

- Recommend dual rollers in tandem
- TOM-C (3 passes each pass is one vibratory/one static)
- TOM-F mixes 3-5 static passes
- Fine PFC, 1 to 3 static passes
- Need adequate release agents (mix very sticky)





SH 73 Beaumont's first TOM-C

- Rolling Crown
- Over-compaction

Texas A&M Transportation Institute





Day 1 Problems Streaking + No water flow





Day 1 > 15 mins





Paving Operation







Rolling Crown was an Issue



Adjustments to Rolling pattern

- Two rollers side by side in main lanes
- Smaller roller only doing edge
- Change to vib up static back
- Water flow 4 mins
- Texture good





Acceptance Testing

Iransportation Institute





Acceptance Testing

- Acceptance in the Field
 - Water Flow Test (Tex 246-F)
 - (Flow rate > 2 minutes) for TOMs
 - < 20 secs for PFC</p>







___/ of Transportation

For more information contact:

T-Scullion@tti.tamu.edu T-Blackmore@tti.tamu.edu C-estakhri@tti.tamu.edu



