TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

		CONTRACT REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.		
TX-95/1994-8				
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date		
THE DALLAS FREEWAY/HOV SYSTEM:	YEAR 2015	July 1995		
A SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED HOV I	MPROVEMENTS	6. Performing Organization Code		
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.		
Carol H. Walters, Timothy J. Lomax, Christop	oher M. Poe, Russell H. Henk,	Research Report 1994-8		
Douglas A. Skowronek, and Mark D. Middlete	on			
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)		
Texas Transportation Institute				
The Texas A&M University System		11. Contract or Grant No.		
College Station, Texas 77843-3135	Study No. 7-1994			
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		13. Type of Report & Period Covered		
Texas Department of Transportation		Interim:		
Research and Technology Transfer Office		September 1992-August 1993		
P.O. Box 5080	14. Sponsoring Agency Code			
Austin, Texas 78763-5080				
15. Supplementary Notes				

Research performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), Dallas District. Research Study Title: Freeway System Plan Assistance, Incorporating Tollroad Effects

I6. Abstract

The Dallas Freeway/High-Occupancy Vehicle Lane System Planning Study is a joint project in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART), the North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG), and the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI). The intent of this effort is to assist in the development of an area-wide freeway/HOV system that recognizes implementation constraints (right-of-way and construction costs) and provides reasonable peak-hour operating conditions on all freeway facilities, while incorporating the long-range plans developed by TxDOT, DART, and NCTCOG.

The proposed system is a set of recommendations to be considered and evaluated as part of the development of the NCTCOG Mobility 2010 Plan Update, the long-range transportation plan for the Dallas area. HOV facilities are a significant part of the recommended system in the Dallas System Planning Study, and their implementation is important to the successful operation of the Dallas area transportation system in the future. This report, therefore, focuses on the recommended HOV improvements resulting from the methodology.

The recommended system in the Dallas System Planning Study was developed using a methodology that focuses on peak-hour passenger travel demand in the year 2015 (derived from the year 2010 24-hour volume assignment provided by NCTCOG) for the freeways in Dallas and surrounding counties. The goal of the Dallas System Planning Study has been to find the lowest-public-cost alternative in each corridor for a given volume of peakhour person trips. Planning officials summed costs to the public, including construction, right-of-way, operating, and congestion costs for each alternative, and selected the *least-public-cost* alternative as optimum. This methodology uses an iterative process that balances money saved in construction against money lost in delay to find the optimum combination of mixed-flow, HOV, and express lanes necessary to move the demand. It also recognizes that some motorists will change their mode of travel when given the opportunity to avoid congestion, resulting in more transit and carpool use. (Rail passenger volumes were held constant as provided by NCTCOG).

17. Key Words	18. Distribution Statement				
High-Occupancy Vehicle (HOV), Freeway	No restrictions. This document is available to the public through				
System Plan, Peak Hour Demand, Freeway	NTIS: National Technical Information Service				
Corridor Planning, Congestion Cost	5285 Port Royal Road				
	Springfield, Virginia 22161				
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages		22. Price		
Unclassified	Unclassified	25			
FORM DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)	Reproduction of completed page authorized				

THE DALLAS FREEWAY/HOV SYSTEM PLANNING STUDY: YEAR 2015 A SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED HOV IMPROVEMENTS

by

Carol H. Walters, P.E. Research Engineer Texas Transportation Institute

Timothy J. Lomax, P.E. Research Engineer Texas Transportation Institute

Christopher M. Poe, P.E. Assistant Research Engineer Texas Transportation Institute

Russell H. Henk, P.E. Assistant Research Engineer Texas Transportation Institute

Douglas A. Skowronek Assistant Research Scientist Texas Transportation Institute

Mark D. Middleton Engineering Research Associate Texas Transportation Institute

Research Report 1994-8 Research Study Number 7-1994 Research Study Title: Freeway System Plan Assistance, Incorporating Tollroad Effects

> Sponsored by the Texas Department of Transportation In Cooperation with Dallas Area Rapid Transit and North Central Texas Council of Governments

> > Prepared: August 1993 Submitted: July 1995

TEXAS TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843-3135

IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT

This study was sponsored by the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) as part of an effort to identify a balanced transportation system for the future in the Dallas area. The system should accommodate the projected travel demand at the lowest public cost. The Dallas System Planning Study was a coordinated effort of the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART), and the North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG) with technical assistance from the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI).

The mission of the study is to provide an intermediate planning step between the macroscopic planning performed by NCTCOG and TxDOT's Regional Planning Office and the detailed corridor analysis performed during the design phase of a roadway improvement project. The proposed system is a set of recommendations to be considered and evaluated as part of the development of the Mobility 2010 Plan Update, the long-range transportation plan for the Dallas area.

The Dallas System Planning Study was developed using a methodology that focuses on peak-hour passenger travel demand for the freeways in Dallas and surrounding counties. The study analysis differs from other planning efforts in the region by its focus on peak-hour passenger travel demands and roadway operating conditions, the use of 2015 as the design year for the facilities, and the acceptance of congestion for some alternatives to induce travel in higher-occupancy modes. The intent of the effort was to provide a system that served the travel needs with a reasonable and balanced level of congestion.

The study methodology uses an iterative process to examine congestion and the consequent shift in mode so that these two factors are consistent for an alternative. The proposed system balances money saved in construction against money lost in delay to find the optimum combination of mixed-flow, HOV, and express lanes necessary to move the demand.

۷

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the opinions, findings, and conclusions presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views of the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT). This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it intended for construction, bidding, or permit purposes. The engineer in charge was Carol H. Walters, P.E., #51154.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was conducted in cooperation with representatives from state and local agencies. These individuals provided valuable contributions in developing the methodology of the study, in reviewing the draft of the report, and in ensuring the comprehensiveness and accuracy of the final report. The authors thank the following individuals for their assistance in the development of this document.

Mr. John V. Blain, Jr., P.E., Director of Transportation Planning and Development Texas Department of Transportation, Dallas District

Mr. Michael Morris, P.E., Director of Transportation North Central Texas Council of Governments

Mr. Koorosh Olyai, P.E., Manager of Mobility Programs Dallas Area Rapid Transit

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

SUI	MMARY xi
I.	INTRODUCTION
II.	BACKGROUND
III.	METHODOLOGY
IV.	RESULTS
V.	CONCLUSIONS
	Technical Findings
	Congestion Management Approach
	Implementation Responsibility
REF	TERENCES

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Construction Costs and Physical Characteristics Associated with HOV				
	Improvements in Recommended Dallas System, Year 2015 8				
Table 2.	Estimated Ridership for Recommended HOV Facilities, Year 2015 10				

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Dallas System Planning Study Freeways	. 4
Figure 2.	Recommended System for Dallas in the Year 2015, HOV Lane Improvements	9

SUMMARY

The Dallas Freeway/HOV System Planning Study is a joint project in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART), the North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG), and the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI). The intent of this effort is to assist in the development of an area-wide freeway/HOV system that recognizes implementation constraints (right-of-way and construction costs) and provides reasonable peak-hour operating conditions on all freeway facilities, while incorporating the long-range plans developed by TxDOT, DART, and NCTCOG.

The Dallas System Planning Study is technical in nature and does not address issues such as the programming responsibilities of the agencies involved, the staging or priority of projects within each corridor, the source of funding for the recommended capacity improvements, or community concerns including the environmental effects of the recommended improvements. The proposed system is a set of recommendations to be considered and evaluated as part of the development of the NCTCOG Mobility 2010 Plan Update, the long-range transportation plan for the Dallas area. HOV facilities are a significant part of the recommended system in the Dallas System Planning Study, and their implementation is important to the successful operation of the Dallas area transportation system in the future. This report, therefore, focuses on the recommended HOV improvements resulting from the methodology.

The recommended system in the Dallas System Planning Study was developed using a methodology that focuses on peak-hour passenger travel demand in the year 2015 (derived from the year 2010 24-hour volume assignment provided by NCTCOG) for the freeways in the Dallas urban area. The goal of the Dallas System Planning Study has been to find the lowest-public-cost alternative in each corridor for a given volume of peak-hour person trips. Planning officials summed costs to the public, including construction, right-of-way, operating, and congestion costs for each alternative, and selected the *least-public-cost* alternative as optimum. This methodology uses an iterative process that balances money saved in construction against money lost in delay to find the optimum combination of mixed-flow, HOV, and express lanes necessary to move the demand. It also recognizes that some motorists will change their mode of travel when given the opportunity to avoid

congestion, resulting in more transit and carpool use. (Rail passenger volumes were held constant as provided by NCTCOG).

Figure 2 of this report illustrates the recommended HOV lane facilities resulting from the analyses. These alternatives have been adjusted where necessary to maintain compatibility with adjoining freeway sections.

It is important to note the change in public goals implied in the Dallas System Planning Study: Future congestion is accepted on freeways in Dallas during peak hours, and carpooling or use of transit is the solution offered to escape it. This is a policy issue that needs to be understood, debated, and accepted or rejected before the recommended system from the Dallas System Planning Study can be partially or wholly adopted by any agency in the region.

The recommended system differs from the NCTCOG Mobility 2010 Plan and the DART Service Plan for three main reasons:

- 1) the study uses 2015 as the design year;
- 2) the study focuses on peak hour passenger travel demands, and
- the study recognizes constraints in building mixed-flow lanes and accepts congestion for some alternatives to induce travel in higher-occupancy modes.

The results of the Dallas System Planning Study indicate, by the year 2015, 347 lanekilometers (216 lane-miles) of HOV facilities are needed; the majority are reversible and have a twoor-more person per vehicle minimum occupancy requirement. The recommended HOV lane system includes 186 centerline-kilometers (116 centerline-miles) of which 129 kilometers (80 miles) are twolane facilities and 58 kilometers (36 miles) are one-lane facilities. The total capital cost of the HOV improvements is approximately \$1 billion, \$827 million within the DART service area.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Dallas Freeway System Planning Study is a joint project in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART), the North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG), and the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI). The mission of the study is to provide an intermediate planning step between the macroscopic-level planning performed by TxDOT's Regional Planning Office and NCTCOG and the detailed corridor design analyses performed by the district office of TxDOT. The intent of this effort is to assist in the development of an area-wide freeway/HOV system that recognizes implementation constraints (right-of-way and construction costs), provides lane balance and interchange configurations that will provide balanced and reasonable peak-hour operations on all freeway facilities, and incorporates the long-range plans developed by TxDOT, DART, and NCTCOG. Increasing the percentage of trips by public transit and carpools from current conditions will be a result of the implementation of the recommended system as proposed in the Dallas System Planning Study.

The Dallas System Planning Study is technical in nature and does not address issues such as the programming responsibilities of the agencies involved, the staging or priority of projects within each corridor, the source of funding for the recommended capacity improvements, or community concerns including the environmental effects of the recommended freeway or HOV lane improvements. The proposed system is a set of recommendations to be considered and evaluated as part of the development of the NCTCOG Mobility 2010 Plan Update, the long-range transportation plan for the Dallas area.

There are two key aspects that distinguish this system planning effort from typical planning efforts. First, the sizing of the facilities is based on <u>peak-hour</u> operation of the freeways and freeway interchanges. Second, passenger demand for buses, carpools, and single-occupant vehicles were analyzed as a <u>system</u> (rail demand was held constant as provided by NCTCOG). During the analysis, the peak-hour person-demand for each corridor and for the system was held constant, while various alternatives for serving the demand were evaluated for efficiency and cost effectiveness. Serving the demand did not mean eliminating congestion in every case. Alternatives with high-occupancy vehicle facilities will <u>require</u> congestion to induce commuters to shift from single-occupant vehicles to carpools or buses.

1

II. BACKGROUND

The Dallas urban area considered in the Dallas System Planning Study includes all of Dallas County and the southern portion of Denton and Collin Counties. Figure 1 shows the existing freeway system and three proposed freeways (SH 161, SH 190, and the Trinity Parkway/West Fork). All of these corridors were evaluated in the study except for the southern half of US 75 (between the central business district and IH 635) and the Dallas North Tollway. US 75 is currently being upgraded to an eight-lane freeway with a light-rail transit facility in the corridor. Acquisition of any additional right-of-way in this corridor for the purpose of increasing capacity would be very costly due to the development along the corridor. The Dallas North Tollway is also within a narrow right-of-way with little room for additional capacity.

TxDOT maintains a 10-year Project Development Plan that includes all freeway and principal arterial facilities planned on the state system (1). This plan gives priority to the approved projects in the Dallas District. In 1985, TTI developed an HOV System Plan for the Dallas District of TxDOT (2). This plan evaluated the viability of HOV facilities in corridors that did not include fixed-guideway transit facilities proposed by DART. In 1989, DART updated their long-range transit system plan to include light-rail facilities, HOV lanes, and a commuter rail line (3). In 1990, the NCTCOG produced the Mobility 2010 Plan (4). This plan is the region's current 20-year transportation plan for guiding the implementation of roadway and transit improvements in the Dallas-Fort Worth metropolitan area. The NCTCOG Mobility 2010 Plan incorporates the plans of all agencies and municipalities, evaluates future travel demand and system alternatives, and presents the alternatives necessary to best meet the mobility needs of the region.

The plans from the different agencies produced slightly different alternatives within the system due to the starting assumption and the goal of each plan. TxDOT developed a freeway plan with no preferential treatment; NCTCOG developed a plan to best meet the mobility needs of the region at traffic flow speeds of 72 kph (45 mph) or better, and DART developed a long-range transit system plan. As these plans move toward implementation, it is becoming essential that all the components be compatible. The Dallas System Planning Study was an effort to bring together the various plans and develop an analysis technique to balance the supply of, and demand for, transportation facilities in the Dallas area.



Figure 1. Dallas System Planning Study Freeways

III. METHODOLOGY

A major effort in the Dallas System Planning Study was developing a methodology to create and analyze both a freeway and HOV system. The goal of the study was to provide an efficient and cost effective transportation system to the public. Study officials measured cost effectiveness by comparing the total of the following three cost components estimated for each alternative:

- Capital -- cost of construction and right-of-way for each alternative.
- Operating -- costs associated with operating any element of the system, including reversible roadways or traffic management systems (vehicle operation costs are not included).
- Congestion -- cost of travel delay associated with traffic congestion on any alternative.

The net present value of each cost component was estimated. Future values, as in congestion for example, were discounted back to the present year. The highest ranking alternative for each corridor was the one with the lowest sum of the three cost components. The lowest total cost alternative is the one which represents the least cost to the public to accommodate the projected demand.

The strategy of the Dallas System Planning Study was to determine the demand, try to serve the demand with different alternatives, estimate mode shift (commuters who change their modes of travel due to congestion) as appropriate, and estimate the cost of the alternative. The critical sections of the system were examined to identify the level of congestion. Each alternative served a constant amount of travel demand, with the travel mode varying depending on the facilities provided in the alternative. The study methodology did not examine shifts to travel outside the freeway corridor nor shifts to or from rail.

IV. RESULTS

Figure 2 summarizes the recommended HOV improvements resulting from the previously described methodology. Specific information associated with these improvements (e.g., costs and number of lane-kilometers) is included in Table 1. The majority of the 347 lane-kilometers (216 lanemiles) of HOV facilities included in the recommended system are reversible and have a two-or-more person per vehicle (2+) minimum occupancy requirement. The recommended HOV lane system includes 186 centerline-kilometers (116 centerline-miles) of which 129 kilometers (80 miles) are twolane facilities and 58 kilometers (36 miles) are one-lane facilities.

The estimated total cost of the HOV improvements associated with the recommended system is approximately \$1 billion, as indicated in Table 1. If expressed on a per-kilometer basis, this cost would amount to approximately \$5.4 million per centerline kilometer (\$8.7 million per centerline mile) or \$2.9 million per lane-kilometer (\$4.7 million per lane-mile) of HOV facility. It should be noted that 299 lane-kilometers (186 lane-miles) of the 347 lane-kilometers (216 lane-miles) or 152 centerline kilometers (95 centerline miles) of the 186 centerline kilometers (116 centerline miles) of HOV facilities included in this system fall within DART's current service area boundaries. Similarly, the total construction cost corresponding with the HOV improvements within the DART service area is \$827 million. The operating and maintenance costs for these improvements total \$3.5 million per year and are not included in the construction cost figures shown in Table 1. All of the costs included in Table 1 are in 1990 dollars.

Table 2 summarizes the ridership estimates for the HOV facilities shown in Figure 2. It is estimated that a total of 375,000 persons per day will be using the recommended HOV system by the year 2015. The vast majority of these persons (90%) will be in the form of carpools. The relatively low percentage of bus ridership is due to the presence of rail lines in most of the corridors listed in Table 2. For the purposes of this study, it was assumed that DART would not provide significant express-bus service adjacent to rail lines.

			No. of Lanes		Kilometers (Miles)		Lane-Kilometers (Lane-Miles)		Construction Cost (\$M)		
Freeway Corridor	Limits	Recommended Alternative ¹	Total ²	In DART Service Area ³	Total ²	In DART Service Area ³	Total ²	In DART Service Area ³	Total ²	In DART Service Area ³	Annual Operating Cost (\$M) ⁴
IH 30E/Santa Fe	CBD to IH 635	HOV (2+)R	2/1	2/1	19 (12)	14 (9)	26 (16)	23 (14)	80	66	0.35
IH 30W	SH 360 to IH 35E	HOV (2+)R	2	2	23 (14)	10 (6)	45 (28)	26 (16)	107	50	0.35
IH 35N	Loop 12 to SH 121	HOV (2+)R	2/1	2/1	21 (13)	14 (9)	47 (29)	34 (21)	160	108	0.35
IH 35S/US 67	IH 30 to IH 20	HOV (2+)R	2/1	2/1	14 (9)	14 (9)	26 (16)	27 (17)	60	60	0.35
IH 635N	SH 161 to US 75	HOV (2+)B	4B/1R	4B/1R	19 (12)	19 (12)	56 (35)	56 (35)	179	179	0.35
1H 635E	US 75 to IH 30	HOV (2+)R	2	2	18 (11)	18 (11)	35 (22)	35 (22)	82	72	0.35
IH 35S	US 67 to IH 20	HOV (2+)R	1	1	6 (4)	6 (4)	6 (4)	6 (4)	17	17	5
US 75	1H 635 to Parker	HOV (2+)R	2/1	2/1	12 (7)	12 (7)	18 (11)	18 (11)	53	53	0.35
US 80	IH 30 to IH 635	HOV (2+)R	1	1	5 (3)	0 (0)	5 (3)	0 (0)	16	0	6
SH 161	1H 30 to IH 635	E HOV (2+)R	2	2	18 (11)	13 (8)	35 (22)	26 (16)	99	68	0.35
SH 183	1H 35E to Co. Line	HOV (2+)R	2	2	16 (10)	16 (10)	32 (20)	32 (20)	102	102	0.35
Loop 12	1H 35E to Spur 408	HOV (3+)R	1	1	16 (10)	16 (10)	16 (10)	16 (10)	52	52	0.35
Totals			L	<u> </u>	186 (116)	152 (95)	347 (216)	299 (186)	1007	827	3.5

Table 1. Construction Costs and Physical Characteristics Associated with HOV Improvements in
Recommended Dallas System, Year 2015

Notes: Construction for recommended alternatives is at-grade unless otherwise noted. All costs are in 1990 dollars.

¹HOV (2+)=High-occupancy vehicle lane(s) with a minimum occupancy requirement of two-or-more persons; (3+)=three-or-more persons; E=Elevated (as opposed to at-grade) construction; R=Reversible; and B=Bi-directional.

²The total amount included in the recommended System Plan.

³The portion of the recommended improvements which fall within the DART service area.

⁴The annual operating and maintenance cost.

⁵The operating and maintenance cost for this section of HOV facility is included in the cost for IH 35S/US 67.

⁶The operating and maintenance cost for this section of HOV facility is included in the cost for IH 30E.

⁷Santa Fe Bypass is a two-lane reversible facility with priority HOV access between IH-30 East and Trinity Parkway.



Figure 2. Recommended System for Dallas in the Year 2015, HOV Lane Improvements

		Estimated Number of Persons Utilizing Respective HOV Facilities						
F	December	Car	pools	Buses		Total		
Freeway Corridor	Recommended Alternative ¹	Peak	Daily	Peak	Daily	Peak	Daily	
	7 Horman ve	Hour ²		Hour ²		Hour ²		
IH 30E	HOV (2+)R	8,400	33,600	4,300	17,200	12,700	50,800	
IH 30W	HOV (2+)R	8,800	35,200	1,500	6,000	10,300	41,200	
IH 35N	HOV (2+)R	8,000	32,000	400	1,600	8,400	33,600	
IH 35S	HOV (2+)R	8,800	35,200	1,200	4,800	10,000	40,000	
IH 635N	HOV (2+)B	8,800	35,200	1,200	4,800	10,000	40,000	
IH 635E	HOV (2+)R	6,000	24,000	500	2,000	6,500	26,000	
US 67	HOV (2+)R	4,800	19,200	25	100	4,825	19,300	
US 75	HOV (2+)R	8,800	35,200	900	3,600	9,700	38,800	
US 803	HOV (2+)R	2,300	9,200	0	0	2,300	9,200	
SH 161	E HOV (2+)R	8,400	33,600	0	0	8,400	33,600	
SH 183	HOV (2+)R	8,300	33,200	0	0	8,300	33,200	
Loop 124	HOV (3+)R	2,400	9,600	0	0	2,400	9,600	
Totals		83,800	335,200	10,025	40,100	93,825	375,300	

 Table 2. Estimated Ridership for Recommended HOV Facilities, Year 2015

¹HOV (2+) = High-occupancy vehicle lane(s) with a minimum occupancy requirement of two-or-more persons; R = Reversible; B = Bi-directional; and E = Elevated (as opposed to at-grade) construction. ²25% of the daily ridership is estimated to occur during the peak hour.

³Ridership predictions at this site are low due to necessary lane balance at IH30/US80.

⁴Ridership predictions at this site are low due to 3+ operation of the HOV facility.

One of the major constraints associated with implementing the HOV improvements cited previously is funding limitations. It is recognized that the recommended system is rather ambitious in consideration of the current (and probable future) state of the economy. This recommended system can, however, be considered as a realistic identification of the infrastructure improvements required to efficiently meet the peak-hour travel demands in the Dallas urban area in the year 2015.

V. CONCLUSIONS

TECHNICAL FINDINGS

The recommended system for Dallas includes more HOV facilities than the long-range transit system plan developed by DART. This difference is primarily due to the fact that the recommended system includes fewer general-purpose lane additions and, thus, more HOV facilities are needed. In addition, the recommended system in the Dallas System Planning Study was developed for the year 2015, while DART's plan was developed for the year 2010.

CONGESTION MANAGEMENT APPROACH

Freeway capacity improvements are becoming vastly more difficult to implement. In an era of increasing public involvement in issues ranging from air quality to noise, any transportation improvement which could be viewed as having detrimental environmental effects will be more and more closely scrutinized. Right-of-way is no longer readily available in heavily urbanized corridors, precluding some capacity improvements and driving up the cost of others. Construction costs are increasing partly because of the expense of construction under heavy traffic. Fewer projects can be implemented with a flow of funding from fuel tax, which is declining as fuel efficiency increases. Under these constrained conditions, future travel demand will not be served adequately if reliance on the single occupant automobile continues undiminished and if travel demand continues to be heavily concentrated during only a few hours of the day.

Previous regional planning efforts and freeway design have been predicated on the goal of achieving traffic flow speeds of 72 kph (45 mph) or better during the peak hours of travel, assuming that low levels of vehicle occupancy continue. Conversely, the goal of the Dallas System Planning Study has been to find the lowest-public-cost alternative in each corridor for a given volume of peak-hour person trips. This framework views travel delay, construction, and operation of roadways as costs to the public. It also recognizes that some motorists will change their mode of travel when given the opportunity to avoid congestion, resulting in more transit and carpool use. This implies the acceptance of congestion, and attendant delay, during the peak hour for mainlane

traffic. High-occupancy vehicles are afforded greater speeds and are expected to draw a greater percentage of travelers into carpooling or transit usage; this, in turn, will decrease congestion through reduction in mainlane vehicle volumes as more people leave their vehicles at home or in a park-and-ride lot. The Dallas System Planning Study methodology uses an iterative process to examine congestion and the consequent shift in modes so that these two factors are consistent for an alternative. The proposed system balances money saved in construction against money lost in delay to find the optimum combination of mixed-flow, HOV, and express lanes necessary to move demand.

It is important to note the change in public goals implied: *Future congestion is accepted* on freeways almost everywhere in Dallas during peak hours, and carpooling or use of transit is the solution offered to escape it. This is a policy issue that transportation officials at the highest levels need to understand, debate, and accept or reject.

IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITY

One concern that transportation officials should address as projects are prioritized is identifying the agency that will be responsible for turning the plans into actions. The construction and reconstruction of new highway facilities will probably continue to be the responsibility of TxDOT. DART will probably continue to fund rail transit guideway construction. It is, therefore, the high-occupancy vehicle lane element of the recommended system that does not have a designated lead agency. HOV facilities are a significant part of the recommended system in the Dallas System Planning Study, and their implementation is important to the successful operation of the Dallas area transportation system in the future.

Successful implementation will require that the area agencies continue to work in a cooperative manner so that the transportation improvements can be consistent with the limited areawide funding. Limits on funding may mean that not all elements recommended for a corridor can be implemented, but with a cooperative project development process, the shortfall in person movement capacity from one element or mode may be addressed in another element.

REFERENCES

- 1. "10-year Project Development Plan." Texas Department of Transportation, District 18, Dallas, Texas, 1992.
- 2. "High-Occupancy Vehicle Facilities: A System Plan for Dallas, Texas." Technical Memorandum prepared for the Texas State Department of Highways and Public Transportation, District 18, Dallas, Texas. Texas Transportation Institute, August 1985.
- 3. "Transit System Plan: Staff Report to the Board." Dallas Area Rapid Transit, April 1989.
- 4. "Mobility 2010: The Regional Transportation Plan for North Central Texas." North Central Texas Council of Governments, April 1991.