TRENDS IN TEXAS TRANSPORTATION FUEL CONSUMPTION



STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

In Cooperation with the Department of Transportation Urban Mass Transportation Administration AUGUST 1979

TECHNICAL REPORT STANDARD TITLE PAGE

1. Report No.	2. Government Access	ion No. 3.	Recipient's Catalog No)
UMTATX791059-1				
4. Title and Subtitle			Report Date	
TRENDS IN TEXAS TRA		August, 197	٩	
INCLUDS IN TEXAS INT	TRENDS IN TEXAS TRANSFORTATION FOLL C			n Code
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
7. Author's)			Performing Organizatio	n Report No.
Timothy J. Lomax, Di	ane L. Bullard, a	nd Dennis 🛛 🗌 Te	echnical Repor	t 1059-1
L. Christiansen				
9. Performing Organization Name and A		10.	Work Unit No.	
Texas Transportation I	nstitute	11	Contract or Grant No.	
Texas A&M University College Station, Texas	77012		Study No. 2-1	
corrège station, rexas	//043	13.	Type of Report and P	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Addre	\$5			
State Department of Hi	ghways and Public	Transpor-	Interim - Sept Augu	st, 1979
tation: Transportat	ion Planning Divi	sion L		
P. O. Box 5051	-	14.	Sponsoring Agency Co	ode
Austin, Texas 78763				
	d in connonation	uith tha Umhan M	oc Tunnanaut-	tion
The study was conducte Administration.	u in cooperation	with the orban Ma	iss fransporta	tion
				·
16. Abstract		<u></u>		, <u></u>
As a result of the shortages experienced after the 1973 Arab Oil Em and again in the summary of 1979, considerable attention has been focuse energy availability and the relationship between transportation and ener The energy policies developed in the next few months may change the mann which the transportation system is allowed to function in the near futur In order for decision makers and appropriate officials to fully understa possible effect of energy-related actions on the operation of the transp tation system, a reliable data base must exist. Considerable data have been published in recent years concerning tr tation energy consumption. This report documents the more relevant data those data relate to transportation in Texas. In addition, estimates of present, and future transportation energy consumption, by mode, in the s are presented. These data will form the basis of analyses to be documen subsequent project reports.				sed on ergy. nner in ure. tand the spor- transpor- ta as of past, state
17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statemer	•	
Energy, Transportation				
Fuel Efficiency, Transpo	No restriction available to t National Techn Springfield, V	ne public thro ical Informati	ough the	
19. Security Classif, (of this report)	20. Security Clas	sif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclas	sified		
			<u>l</u>	<u> </u>

~

- -

TRENDS IN TEXAS TRANSPORTATION FUEL CONSUMPTION

by

Timothy J. Lomax Engineering Research Associate

> Diane L. Bullard Research Associate

> > and

Dennis L. Christiansen Study Supervisor

Technical Report 1059-1

Energy and Texas Transportation An Analysis and Evaluation of Alternative Futures Research Study Number 2-10-79-1059

Sponsored by State Department of Highways and Public Transportation

> In Cooperation with the Department of Transportation Urban Mass Transportation Administration

> > Texas Transportation Institute Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas

> > > August 1979

The preparation of this study was financed in part through a grant from the Urban Mass Transportation Administration, United States Department of Transportation, under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended. and a second second

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Urban Mass Transportation Administration or the State Department of Highways and Public Transportation. The report does not constitute a standard, a specification, or a regulation.

Table of Contents

..**-**

r. .

Abstract
Summary
Implementation Statement
Introduction
Transportation Energy Consumption
Trends in Highway Motor Fuel Consumption
Highway Motor Fuel Consumption 1925-1977
Fuel Consumption and Vehicle-Miles of Travel 1950-1977 10
Trends in Modal Fuel Consumption
Estimated 1970 Transportation Fuel Consumption by Mode 13
Estimated 1978 Transportation Fuel Consumption by Mode
Estimated 1990 Transportation Fuel Consumption by Mode 15
Comparison of 1970, 1978 and 1990 Estimates
Conclusions
References
Appendices
Appendix A-1: 1970 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Intercity Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas
Appendix A-2: 1970 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Urban Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas
Appendix A-3: 1970 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Goods Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas 41
Appendix B-1: 1978 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Intercity Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas 45
Appendix B-2: 1978 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Urban Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas 51
Appendix B-3: 1978 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Goods Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas

Appendix C-1: 1990 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas	Intercity	59 ·
Appendix C-2: 1990 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas		
Appendix C-3: 1990 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas		
References	•••••••	71

.

•

ABSTRACT

As a result of the shortages experienced after the 1973 Arab Oil Embargo and again in the summer of 1979, considerable attention has been focused on energy availability and the relationship between transportation and energy. The energy policies developed in the next few months may change the manner in which the transportation system is allowed to function in the near future. In order for decision makers and appropriate officials to fully understand the possible effect of energy-related actions on the operation of the transportation system, a reliable data base must exist.

Considerable data have been published in recent years concerning transportation energy consumption. This report documents the more relevant data as those data relate to transportation in Texas. In addition, estimates of past, present, and future transportation energy consumption, by mode, in the state are presented. These data will form the basis of analyses to be documented in subsequent project reports.

Key words: Energy, Transportation Fuel Consumption, Fuel Efficiency, Transportation Energy

SUMMARY

As a major user of energy, the transportation sector accounts for approximately 25 percent of the total energy consumed in the United States, and about 18 percent of the energy consumed in Texas. In 1970, over 7.3 billion gallons (27.6 billion liters) of fuel were consumed by the transportation sector in Texas. This figure increased to 10.9 billion (41.3 billion liters) in 1978, and it is expected to reach 11.6 billion (43.9 billion liters) by 1990. Highway modes of transportation accounted for 86 percent of the 7.3 billion gallons (27.6 billion liters) consumed in 1970. This percentage should decrease to 80 percent by 1990 due to increased vehicle fuel efficiency.

Estimates of 1970, 1978, and 1990 transportation fuel consumption by mode indicate that, even without a severe shortage of fuel, future increases in fuel consumption can be expected to be relatively small. In fact, highway consumption of gasoline will actually decrease slightly. Nevertheless, any attempts to bring about large-scale reductions in transportation fuel consumption will need to be directed toward the highway modes of transportation since these modes will continue to consume the majority of transportation fuel.

IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT

Since the 1973 Arab Oil Embargo, considerable attention has been focused on energy issues with particular attention directed to those users of energy that depend heavily on petroleum products. The transportation sector, which uses 18 percent of total energy consumed in Texas, also uses 60 percent of total petroleum consumed nationwide. With the recent petroleum shortage and the rising cost of petroleum products across the nation, many governmental programs have been proposed at the federal level that would significantly impact energy availability in the transportation sector.

As such programs are developed, certain factors must be considered. For example, the transportation sector is the largest user of petroleum products; however, unlike several other sectors of the economy, only limited opportunities for using alternative energy sources are available to serve the demands of transportation in the near future.

Also, transportation programs implemented at the federal level will influence different states in different manners. The transportation system in Texas is not necessarily similar to the "average" U.S. system; Texas is more auto oriented for both intracity and intercity travel. Vehicle miles of travel per capita are higher in Texas than is the national average. The state is also large; urban areas have developed at low densitites, and considerable travel distances exist between these urban areas. Modal alternatives such as the train service available in the northeastern states do not exist in Texas.

As a consequence, decision-makers in Texas need to be aware of the potential impacts on the state's transportation system that would result from various federal legislative actions concerning energy availability and cost.

vii

The background information presented in this initial report should provide officials and decision makers at the state level with a factual basis for deciding which course of action should be pursued in the near future. This type of information should prove valuable in formulating the policy of the state concerning possible federal legislative actions which may influence transportation energy availability and cost in Texas.

INTRODUCTION

The transportation system in Texas is a major user of energy. In many respects, the excellent transportation system in the state is responsible for the vibrant economy of the state. As a consequence, any conservation efforts that will impact the functioning of the transportation system in Texas require careful evaluation.

As such conservation programs are developed, certain factors must be considered. For example, the transportation sector is the largest user of petroleum products; however, unlike several other sectors of the economy, only limited opportunities for using alternative energy sources are available to serve the demands of transportation in the near future.

Also, transportation programs implemented at the federal level will influence different states in different manners. The transportation system in Texas is not necessarily similar to the "average" U. S. system; Texas is more auto oriented for both intracity and intercity travel. Vehicle miles of travel per capita are higher in Texas than is the national average. The state is also spread out; urban areas have developed at low densities, and considerable travel distances exist between these urban areas. Modal alternatives such as the frequent train service available in the northeastern states do not exist in Texas.

This report, which presents a data base relating transportation and energy in Texas, is the first in a series that will address energy and transportation in Texas. It is divided into four major sections. The first section documents data which relate transportation energy consumption to total energy consumption. The second section describes historical and current trends in highway motor fuel consumption in Texas, and the third section presents estimates of 1970,

1978 and 1990 transportation energy consumption by mode in Texas. The final section documents certain conclusions.

Subsequent reports will identify the policies being considered at the federal level that may affect transportation energy availability and will define the magnitude of that impact. In addition, estimates of the effect on the Texas transportation system that would result from implementation of the various federal actions will be presented. Finally, a general assessment of the effect on the Texas economy which would result from the adverse impacts on the transportation system will be developed.

TRANSPORTATION ENERGY CONSUMPTION

Having developed during a period of time when energy was readily available and relatively inexpensive, the State of Texas presently has an excellent transportation system that is essential to the economic prosperity of the state. The ability of this system to serve the transportation needs of the state depends on an adequate supply of energy being available.

However, the development of energy legislation at the federal level creates uncertainties regarding future energy availability in the state. For example, suggested rationing or fuel allocation programs could greatly reduce the energy supply available in Texas. Because the transportation system cannot change rapidly (e.g., all urban areas cannot immediately purchase, obtain, and begin operating extensive new bus service), the impact on, and the capabilities of, the existing system to function effectively under a condition of reduced energy supply are unknown.

The transportation system of Texas and the energy consumed by that system are not necessarily representative of the "average" U.S. system; as a consequence, federal legislation which is based on average data may have a disproportionately large impact on Texas. This has been a significant issue in recent Congressional debates over "standby" gasoline rationing authority.

For example, a comparison of data relating the transportation system of Texas to the "average" transportation system in the U.S. reveals that:

 Population densities within the urban areas of Texas are lower. Seventy-five percent of the population of Texas resides in urban areas. Population densities within these urban areas are in the range of 2,000 to 4,000 persons per square mile (772 to 1,544 persons per square kilometer). Nationally, however, many major

cities have densities in excess of 10,000 persons per square mile (3,861 persons per square kilometer).

- <u>Travel distances between urban areas in Texas are greater</u>. Although Texas has the population centers to generate large volumes of intercity travel, the intercity travel distances are greater than the national average. In Texas there is one standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA) every 38,000 square miles (98,420 square kilometers); nationwide, there is one SMSA every 28,000 square miles (72,520 square kilometers).
- <u>The development of Texas has been more auto oriented</u>. Texas has developed as an auto oriented state. Consequently, alternate modes of transportation are not readily available to serve large volumes of trips. For example, in intracity travel, existing transit systems scarely serve 2 percent of the total urban trips.
- Per capita consumption of transportation energy in Texas is <u>higher</u>. The transportation sector accounts for only 18 percent of the total energy consumed in Texas. However, due to the dispersed nature of development in Texas, the high dependence on the automobile to serve travel demands, and a variety of other reasons, per capita consumption of transportation energy in Texas is somewhat higher than the national average. For example, in 1970, 653 gallons (2,472 liters) of transportation fuel per capita were consumed in Texas, whereas 606 gallons (2,294 liters) per capita were consumed in the U.S.

In addition, the private automobile continues to represent the major mode of transportation in the State of Texas. Several factors influencing and/or describing auto travel patterns and fuel consumption in Texas and the United States are shown in Table 1. Travel descriptors, such as registered vehicles per person and vehicle-miles of travel per person, for Texas exceed the corresponding U.S. values by approximately 10 percent.

Travel Indicator	Texas	U.S.
Population (millions) Licensed Drivers (millions) Registered Vehicles (millions) Vehicles Per Person Vehicles Per Licensed Driver	13.0 8.4 9.6 0.74 1.14	217.9 141.5 148.8 0.68 1.05
Gallons of Highway Gasoline Consumed/Year (billions) Highway Gasoline Consumed Per Vehicle/Week (gallons) Highway Gasoline Consumed Per Capita Per Year (gallons)	8.4 16.8 646.0	110.5 14.3 507.0
Vehicle-Miles of Travel Per Year (billions) Percent Urban Vehicle-Miles Per Person Per Year	100.0 57.0 7700.0	1508.3 56.0 6900.0

Table 1: Estimates of Travel Indicators for 1978

Note: 1 gallon = 3.785 liters; 1 mile = 1.609 kilometers

Source: References 1 and 2

TRENDS IN HIGHWAY MOTOR FUEL CONSUMPTION

In 1970, it was estimated that over 86 percent of the total transportation fuel consumption in Texas was by highway modes of transportation; the great majority of this was by the automobile (Table 2). This percentage is expected to decrease by 5 percent by the year 1990. As a result, any attempts to bring about large-scale reductions in transportation fuel consumption will need to be directed toward the highway modes of transportation.

Mode	Percent	Percentage of Total Transportation Fuel Consumption			Imption	
	197	0	19	978	19	990
Highway Automobile Truck Bus Non-Highway Railroad Air Water Oil Pipeline	86.5 13.5	73.1 13.0 0.4 3.3 7.1 0.4 2.7	84.7 15.3	72.4 12.1 0.2 2.9 9.6 0.4 2.4	80.2	62.6 17.2 0.4 3.5 12.5 0.5 3.3
Total	100.0		100.0		100.0	

Table 2: Estimated Highway and Non-Highway Use of Transportation Fuel in Texas for 1970, 1978, and 1990

Source: Assumptions and analyses documented in the Appendices.

Highway Motor Fuel Consumption 1925-1977

A review of historical data reveals that, in spite of recent conservation efforts, highway motor fuel consumption in Texas from 1925 to 1977 continued to increase (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Texas Highway Motor Fuel Consumption, 1925-1977

Specific figures associated with the historical consumption of gasoline and special fuels (diesel and liquified petroleum gas) for Texas and the annual percentage increase (decrease) in consumption are presented in Table 3. In 1925, approximately 442 million gallons (1.7 billion liters) of motor fuel was consumed in Texas. With the exception of four years (1932, 1942, 1943 and 1974), fuel consumption has steadily increased to the point that in 1977, over 9 billion gallons (34 billion liters) of motor fuel were consumed in travel on Texas highways. Of that figure, gasoline consumption accounted for 8.2 billion gallons (31 billion liters), while special fuel consumption represented 953 million gallons (3.6 billion liters).

	Total Motor Fi	uel Consumption	Gasoli	ne	Special	Fuel
Year	Millions of Gallons	Percent Inc.	Millions of Gallons	Percent Inc.	Millions of Gallons	Percent Inc.
1925	442	-				
1926	496	12.2				
1927	563	13.5				
1928	644	14.4				
1929	741	15.1				
1930 1931	749 773	1.1 3.2				
1931	687	(11.1)				
1933	724	5.4				
1934	804	11.0				
1935	851	5.8				
1936	974	14.5				
1937	1057	8.5				
1938	1090	3.1				
1939 1940	1145 1204	5.0 5.2				
1940	1348	12.0				
1942	1153	(14.5)				
1943	967	(16.1)				
1944	1013	4.8				
1945	1173	15.8				
1946	1531	30.5				
1947	1692	10.5				
1948 1949	1868 2065	10.4 10.5	2052			
1949	2005	14.1	2053 2339	13.9	12.4 18.1	46.0
1951	2496	5.9	2465	5.4	30.9	70.7
1952	2703	8.3	2653	7.6	49.6	60.5
1953	2810	4.0	2753	3.8	56.8	14.5
1954	2924	4.1	2858	3.8	65.6	15.5
1955	3161	8.1	3080	7.8	80.8	23.2
1956	3271	3.5	3165	2.8	105.6	30.7
1957 1958	3364 3500	2.8 4.0	3238 3357	2.3	125.8	19.1
1958	3691	4.0 5.5	3524	3.7 5.0	143.3 167.0	13.9
1960	3729	1.0	3547	0.7	181.2	16.5 8.5
1961	3868	3.7	3673	3.6	195.1	7.7
1962	4019	3.9	3817	3.9	201.8	3.4
1963	4212	4.8	3996	4.7	216.1	7.1
1964	4484	6.5	4235	6.0	249.0	15.2
1965 1966	4677 4928	4.3	4396	3.8	280.6	12.7
1966	4928 5169	5.4 4.9	4607 4817	4.8	320.4 351.7	14.2
1968	5581	8.0	5186	4.6 7.7	395.4	9.8 12.4
1969	5952	6.6	5534	6.7	417.8	5.7
1970	6294	5.7	5841	5.5	453.0	8.4
1971	6715	6.7	6192	6.0	522.8	15.4
1972	7290	8.6	6694	8.1	596.4	14.1
1973	7821	7.3	7112	6.2	709.1	18.9
1974	7593	(2.9)	6885	(3.2)	707.4	(0.2)
1975 1976	8006 8564	5.4 7.0	7261 7735	5.5	745.3	5.4
1976	9129	6.6	8175	6.5 5.7	829.1 953.4	11.2
13/1	5165	0.0	01/0	5./	953.4	15.0

Table 3: Highway Motor Fuel Consumption in Texas, 1925 to 1977

Note: 1 gallon = 3.785 liters

...

Source: <u>Highway Statistics</u>, Federal Highway Administration

Trends in gasoline consumption, special fuel consumption and vehiclemiles of travel in Texas from 1950 to 1977 are presented in Figure 2.



Note: 1 gallon = 3.785 liters; 1 mile = 1.609 kilometers Source: Reference 3

Figure 2: Trends in Gasoline Consumption, Special Fuel Consumption, and Vehicle-Miles of Travel in Texas, 1950-1977

As indicated in this figure, vehicle-miles of travel, both urban and rural, continued to increase between 1950 and 1977 with the most noticeable increase being in the category of urban vehicle-miles. Special fuel and total highway motor fuel consumption also showed significant increases, particularly special fuel consumption which experienced average annual increases of 27.3 percent from 1950 to 1960, 9.7 percent from 1960 to 1970, and 11.4 percent from 1970 to 1977.

Two factors, however, are occurring that will result in a decline in the rate of increase in fuel consumption. First, new mandatory fuel efficiency standards (Table 4) will reduce the fuel demand per vehicle-mile of travel as new model years are introduced. The average fuel economy will increase from 18 miles per gallon (mpg) (7.6 km/liter) in 1978 to 27.5 miles per gallon (11.6 km/liter) by 1985. This will reduce the downward trend in average auto fuel efficiency (Figure 3). Second, vehicle availability per capita has historically been increasing; however, this component of increased travel has nearly reached a saturation point (Figure 4). Virtually all eligible drivers are licensed, and the ratio of registered vehicles per licensed driver in Texas is approximately 1.2.

Model Year	Avg. Fuel Economy (mpg)
1978	18.0
1979 1980	19.0 20.0
1981	22.0
1982 1983	24.0
1984	27.0
1985	27.5

Table 4: Auto Fuel Efficiency Standards

Note: 1 mpg = .42 km/liter

Source: References 4 and 5



Note: 1 mile per gallon = .42 km/liter Source: Reference 3





Source: References 1 and 3

Figure 4: Trends in Vehicle Availability in Texas, 1950-1977

TRENDS IN MODAL FUEL CONSUMPTION

Estimates of 1970, 1978 and 1990 transportation fuel consumption by mode, for both person movement and goods movement, are presented in this section. Estimates of passenger-miles (or ton-miles) and fuel efficiencies for each mode are also presented. The analyses and assumptions used in developing these estimates are documented in the Appendices of this report.

Estimated 1970 Transportation Fuel Consumption by Mode

In 1970, over 7.3 billion gallons (27.6 billion liters) of fuel were consumed by the transportation sector in Texas (Table 5). Person movement by various modes represented 80.3 percent of this total while goods movement accounted for 19.7 percent.

Type of Transport	Passenger-Mi Ton-Mil		Fuel Effi- ciency (pas- senger-miles	Fuel Consumption		
	Miles (millions)	Percent of Total	or ton-miles per gallon)	Gallons (millions)	Percent of Total	
Person Movement, Total	122,053	100.0	<u>21</u>	<u>5,875</u>	80.3	
Urban, Total Automobile Bus Taxi	55,394 54,850 420 124	45.4 45.0 0.3 0.1	16 16 35 9	3,381 3,355 12 14	46.2 45.8 0.2 0.2	
Intercity, Total Automobile Air Bus Rail	66,659 58,000 6,900 1,400 359	54.6 47.5 5.7 1.1 0.3	27 29 14 125 80	2,494 1,986 493 11 4	34.1 27.1 6.7 0.2 0.1	
Goods Movement, Total	178,687	<u>100.0</u>	125	1,434	<u>19.7</u>	
Urban (truck), Total	1,732	1.0	4	433	5.9	
Intercity, Total Truck Rail Oil Pipelines Intracoastal Waterway Air	176,955 27,200 47,180 96,150 6,210 215	99.0 15.2 26.4 53.8 3.5 0.1	500	1,001 520 236 192 28 25	13.8 7.1 3.2 2.7 0.4 0.4	
TOTAL	*-			7,309	100.0	

Table 5: Estimated 1970 Transportation Fuel Consumption by Mode, Texas

Note: 1 mile = 1.609 km; 1 gallon = 3.785 liters; 1 mile per gallon = .42 km/liter; 1 ton = 900 kg; 1 ton-mile = 1,448 kg-km; 1 ton-mile per gallon = 383 kg-km/liter This fuel consumption resulted in over 122 billion passenger-miles (196 billion passenger-km) of travel and over 178 billion ton-miles (257 x 10^{12} kg-km) of goods movement.

Estimated 1978 Transportation Fuel Consumption by Mode

The amount of fuel consumed by the transportation sector in Texas increased to approximately 10.8 billion gallons (41 billion liters) in 1978 (Table 6). This represents a 49 percent increase over 1970 consumption levels. Of this total, person movement accounted for 8.9 billion gallons (33.7 billion liters). The private automobile alone, in both urban and intercity travel, consumed over 7.8 billion gallons (29.5 billion liters) of fuel. As a result, passenger-miles of travel for 1978 totaled 186 billion (299 billion passenger-km), and ton-miles of goods transport reached 240 billion (347 x 10^{12} kg-km).

Type of Transport	Passenger-Mi Ton-Mil		Fuel Effi- ciency (pas- senger-miles	Fuel Consumption		
	Miles (millions)	Percent of Total	or ton-miles per gallon)	Gallons (millions)	Percent of Total	
Person Movement, Total	186,576	100.0	<u>21</u>	8,924	<u>81.9</u>	
Urban, Total Automobile Bus Taxi	81,861 81,200 509 152	43.9 43.5 0.3 0.1	17 17 35 9	4,910 4,878 15 17	45.1 44.9 0.1 0.2	
Intercity, Total Automobile Air Bus Rail	104,715 89,000 14,200 1,410 105	56.1 47.7 7.6 0.7 0.1	26 30 14 125 80	4,014 2,988 1,014 11 1	36.8 27.4 9.3 0.1 -	
Goods Movement, Total	240,077	100.0	125	<u>1,970</u>	18.1	
Urban (truck), Total	2,520	1.1	4	630	5.8	
Intercity, Total Truck Rail Oil Pipelines Intracoastal Waterway Air	237,557 36,000 63,000 129,400 8,820 337	98.9 15.0 26.2 53.9 3.7 0.1	177 52 200 500 220 10	1,340 692 315 259 40 34	12.3 6.3 2.9 2.4 0.4 0.3	
TOTAL				10,894	100.0	

Table 6: Estimated 1978 Transportation Fuel Consumption by Mode, Texas

Note: 1 mile = 1.609 km; 1 gallon = 3.785 liters; 1 mile per gallon = .42 km/liter; 1 ton = 900 kg; 1 ton-mile = 1,448 kg-km; 1 ton-mile per gallon = 383 kg-km/liter

Estimated 1990 Transportation Fuel Consumption by Mode

In 1990, it is estimated that over 11.6 billion gallons (43.9 billion liters) of fuel will be consumed by the transportation sector in Texas (Table 7). This represents only a 7 percent increase in fuel consumption in spite of the fact that total passenger-miles are estimated to increase by 47 percent and ton-miles by 43 percent. Person movement is expected to represent 8.7 billion gallons (32.9 billion liters) while goods movement will account for approximately 2.9 billion gallons (11 billion liters).

	Passenger-M Ton-Mi		Fuel Effi- ciency (pas-	Fuel Consumption		
Type of Transport	Miles (millions)	Percent of Total	senger-miles or ton-miles per gallon)	Gallons (millions)	Percent of Total	
Person Movement, Total	273,129	100.0	<u>31</u>	<u>8,722</u>	75.1	
Urban, Total Automobile Bus Taxi	119,899 118,950 726 223	43.9 43.5 0.3 0.1	26 26 35 15	4,542 4,506 21 15	39.1 38.8 0.2 0.1	
Intercity, Total Automobile Air Bus Rail	153,230 130,410 19,610 3,060 150	56.1 47.7 7.2 1.1 0.1	37 47 14 125 80	4,180 2,752 1,401 25 2	36.0 23.7 12.1 0.2 -	
Goods Movement, Total	342,526	100.0	<u>119</u>	2,887	24.9	
Urban (truck), Total	3,456	1.0	4	864	7.4	
Intercity, Total Truck Rail Oil Pipelines Intracoastal Waterway Air	339,070 59,000 81,000 185,200 13,350 520	99.0 17.2 23.7 54.1 3.9 0.1	168 200 500 220 10	2,023 1,135 405 370 61 52	17.5 9.8 3.5 3.2 0.5 0.5	
TOTAL				11,609	100.0	

Table 7: Estimated 1990 Transportation Fuel Consumption by Mode, Texas

Note: 1 mile = 1.609 km; 1 gallon = 3.785 liters; 1 mile per gallon = .42 km/liter; 1 ton = 900 kg; 1 ton-mile = 1,448 kg-km; 1 ton-mile per gallon = 383 kg-km/liter

By 1990, passenger-miles of travel by various modes are expected to total 273 billion (439 billion passenger-km) and ton-miles of freight movement should reach 342 billion (495 x 10^{12} kg-km).

Comparison of 1970, 1978 and 1990 Estimates

A summary of the 1970, 1978 and 1990 estimates of transportation fuel consumption by mode is presented in Table 8. A comparison of that data reveals that, even without a severe shortage of fuel, future increases in transportation fuel can be expected to be relatively small. In fact, highway consumption of gasoline will actually decrease (Figure 5). These decreases in consumption are the result of increased fuel efficiency for automobile travel and a saturation level of licensed drivers and vehicles (as discussed in the previous section). Significant increases in the price of fuel or limitation in supply through allocation or rationing programs should result in an even greater reduction in total fuel consumption by the transportation sector.

	Fuel Cons 192		Fuel Consu 1978		Fuel Consu 1990	
Type of Transport	Gallons (millions)	Percent of Total	Gallons (millions)	Percent of Total	Gallons (millions)	Percent of Total
Person Movement, Total	5,875	80.3	8,925	<u>81.9</u>	8,722	<u>75.1</u>
Urban, Total Automobile Bus Taxi	3,381 3,355 12 14	46.2 45.8 0.2 0.2	4,910 4,878 15 17	45.1 44.8 0.1 0.2	4,542 4,506 21 15	39.1 38.8 0.2 0.1
Intercity, Total Automobile Air Bus Rail	2,494 1,986 493 11 4	34.1 27.1 6.7 0.2 0.1	4,014 2,988 1,014 11 1	36.8 27.4 9.3 0.1 -	4,180 2,752 1,401 25 2	36.0 23.7 12.1 0.2 -
<u>Goods Movement, Total</u>	1,434	<u>19.7</u>	<u>1,970</u>	<u>18.1</u>	2,887	<u>24.9</u>
Urban (truck), Total	433	5.9	630	5.8	864	7.4
Intercity, Total Truck Rail Oil Pipelines Intracoastal Waterway Air	1,001 520 236 192 28 25	13.8 7.1 3.2 2.7 0.4 0.4	1,340 692 315 259 40 34	12.3 6.3 2.9 2.4 0.4 0.3	2,023 1,135 405 370 61 52	17.5 9.8 3.5 3.2 0.5 0.5
TOTAL	7,309	100.0	10,894	100.0	11,609	100.0

Table 8: Summary of 1970, 1978 and 1990 Estimates of Transportation Fuel Consumption by Mode in Texas

Note: 1 mile = 1.609 km; 1 gallon = 3.785 liters; 1 mile per gallon = .42 km/liter; 1 ton = 900 kg; 1 ton-mile = 1,488 kg-km; 1 ton-mile per gallon = 383 kg-km/liter





Figure 5: Estimated Transportation Fuel Consumption in Texas, 1970-1990

CONCLUSIONS

The transportation system in Texas requires an adequate supply of energy in order to function satisfactorily. In reviewing various federal legislation relating to energy availability, it needs to be realized that, in terms of factors such as auto orientation and density of development, Texas is not necessarily an "average" state; both travel demand and transportation energy consumption per capita in Texas exceed nationwide averages.

Of total transportation energy consumed in Texas, over 80% is used by highway modes of transportation. With the exception of 4 years, highway fuel consumption has increased every year since 1925.

Estimates developed in this report suggests that all Texas transportation consumed 7.3 billion gallons (27.6 billion liters) of fuel in 1970 and 10.8 billion gallons (40.9 billion liters) in 1978. It is projected that some 11.6 billion gallons (43.9 billion liters) of fuel will be consumed by transportation in Texas in 1990.

Thus, relative to historical increases in transportation fuel consumption, future increases can be expected to be relatively small. In fact, highway consumption of motor fuels will actually peak in the mid 1980's, and 1990 consumption will be less than 1978 consumption. This is the combined result of new auto fuel efficiency standards plus a saturation level that will occur in a major travel indicator (registered vehicles per licensed driver).

REFERENCES

- 1. U.S. Bureau of Census, U.S. Department of Commerce. "General Population Characteristics, United States." 1970.
- 2. Texas Highway Department, Planning and Survey Division. "Road Inventory Tables." December 31, 1972.
- 3. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. <u>High-way Statistics</u>. 1925-1977.
- 4. Texas Transportation Institute. <u>Analyses of the Proposed National Energy</u> <u>Plan: The Effects on Transportation</u>. 1977.
- 5. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. <u>The Automated Fuel Economy</u> Standards for Model Years 1981-1984 Passenger Cars. 1977.

APPENDICES

APPENDICES

Appendices A, B, and C document the analyses and assumptions used in developing estimates of fuel consumption by mode of transportation for 1970, 1978 and 1990. Fuel consumption estimates are presented for both urban and intercity travel in Texas. In addition, fuel efficiencies and passenger-miles (or ton-miles) of travel are also calculated. These estimates of fuel consumption, fuel efficiency and passenger-miles (ton-miles) were used in the development of Tables 3, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of this report.

The Appendices are divided into the following nine sections:

- Appendix A-1: 1970 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Intercity Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas
- Appendix A-2: 1970 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Urban Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas
- Appendix A-3: 1970 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Goods Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas
- Appendix B-1: 1978 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Intercity Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas
- Appendix B-2: 1978 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Urban Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas
- Appendix B-3: 1978 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Goods Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas
- Appendix C-1: 1990 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Intercity Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas
- Appendix C-2: 1990 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Urban Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas
- Appendix C-3: 1990 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Goods Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas

APPENDIX A-1

1970 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Intercity Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas

This Appendix documents the analyses and assumptions used in developing the values for intercity person movement shown in Table 6. Data for 1970 were utilized, and at least two independent estimations of passenger-miles of intercity travel were made for each mode of transportation. These estimates were then averaged to acquire a final estimate for each mode. In addition, the fuel consumption and fuel efficiency were also calculated for each mode.

Population data are the basis of several computations in this Appendix. In 1970, the population of Texas was 11.2 million while the U.S. population was 200.3 million. The population of Texas was 5.5 percent of the U.S. population (1).*

AUTOMOBILE

Passenger-Miles

Estimate 1 -- U.S. Intercity Passenger-Miles

- The population of Texas was 5.5 percent of U.S. population (1).
- U.S. intercity passenger-miles by private auto totalled 1,026 billion (1,651 billion passenger-km) (2).
- 1,026 billion x 5.5% = 56.4 billion intercity passenger-miles (90.8 billion intercity passenger-km) by private auto in Texas.
- This method assumes that intercity passenger-miles by private auto in Texas were the same percentage of intercity passenger-miles by private auto in the U.S. as Texas population was of U.S. population.

Estimate 2 -- Daily Texas Intercity Miles

• State Department of Highways and Public Transportation manual count data reveal that approximately 90 percent of daily intercity vehiclemiles of travel are intercity miles of travel by private auto (includes pick-up trucks) (3).

^{*} Refer to reference number listed at end of Appendices.

- Intercity vehicular travel totaled 28.8 billion vehicle-miles (46.3 billion vehicle-km) $(\underline{4})$.
- 28.8 billion x 90% = 25.9 billion auto-miles (41.7 billion auto-km).
- The average occupancy of passenger vehicles in intercity travel is 2.3 persons $(\underline{5})$.
- 25.9 billion x 2.3 = 59.6 billion passenger-miles (95.8 billion passenger-km) of intercity travel by private auto in Texas.
- This estimate is based on the total intercity vehicle-miles of travel in Texas. However, only 90 percent of this sum is intercity travel by private auto (3). After deriving intercity vehicle-miles of travel by private auto, the annual intercity passenger-miles are found by expanding vehicle-miles by the average number of passengers per private auto to get total annual passenger-miles of intercity travel by private auto in Texas.

<u>Final Estimate --</u> Average

• By averaging the two preliminary estimates, a final estimate was acquired.

Estimate	Method
56.4 billion miles 59.6 billion miles 116.0 billion miles (186.6 billion km)	Estimate 1 U.S. Intercity Passenger-Miles Estimate 2 Texas Intercity Vehicle-Miles Total ÷ 2 = Average

• The final estimate of intercity passenger-miles of travel by private auto in Texas is 58 billion (93.3 billion km).

Fuel Efficiency

- A total of 5.84 billion gallons (22.1 billion liters) of gasoline was consumed in Texas (6). Total vehicular traffic equaled 68 billion miles (109 billion km) (4).
- 68 billion ÷ 5.84 billion = 11.64 miles per gallon (4.9 km per liter).
- The average fuel consumption of private autos in Texas was 11.64 miles per gallon (mpg). The fuel consumption for rural and urban travel can be found by using vehicle-miles traveled and a weighting factor (7).

Urban vehicle-miles = 39.2 billion (4) Rural vehicle-miles = 28.8 billion ($\frac{1}{4}$) x = urban fuel efficiency (mpg) 14x/12 = rural fuel efficiency (mpg)

$$11.64 = \frac{39.2 (x) + 28.8 (14x/12)}{68.0}$$

x = 10.9 urban mpg

Urban fuel consumption = 10.9 mpg (4.6 km/liter) Rural fuel consumption = 12.7 mpg (5.3 km/liter)

- The fuel efficiency of the intercity auto can be found by multiplying the number of persons per vehicle-mile times the average miles per gallon.
- 2.3 x 12.7 = 29.2 passenger-miles per gallon (12.3 passenger-km per liter).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed by intercity autos was calculated by dividing the total passenger-miles of intercity auto travel by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 58.0 billion ÷ 29.2 = 1.986 billion gallons (75.18 billion liters) of fuel consumed by intercity autos.

AIR

Passenger-Miles

Estimate 1 -- Ratio of Enplanements

- 10,039,886 Civil Aeronautics Board (CAB), Texas enplanements (8) + 234,910 Texas Aeronautical Commission (TAC) enplanements (9) 10,274,796 Texas annual enplanements
- 169,668,000 Total U.S. enplanements (10)
 11,132 International enplanements (8)
 169,656,868 Annual domestic U.S. enplanements
- Total U.S. domestic intercity air passenger-miles of travel in 1970 were 119 billion (191 billion air passenger-km) (2).

- Texas . U.S. Domestic U.S. Air passenger-Х = enplanements enplanements air passenger-miles miles in Texas (10,274,776 ÷ 169,656,868) x 119,000,000,000 = 0.06 x 119,000,000,000 = 7.1 billion air passenger-miles (11.9 billion air passenger-km) in Texas
- Estimate 1 -- Ratio of Enplanement is based on the assumption that the percentage of domestic U.S. enplanements represented by Texas enplanements can be applied to U.S. air passenger-miles to obtain an estimate of the total air passenger-miles for Texas.

Estimate 2 -- Population

- The population of Texas was 5.5 percent of U.S. population (1).
- Domestic U.S. air passenger-miles x 5.5% = air passenger-miles in Texas.
- 119 billion (2) x 5.5% = 6.5 billion air passenger-miles (10.5 billion air passenger-km) in Texas.
- The basis for this estimate is the assumption that air passenger-miles in Texas are equivalent to the same percent of domestic air passengermiles in the U.S. as the population of Texas is to the U.S. population.

Estimate 3 -- Vehicle-Miles

• The final estimate for passenger-miles of intercity travel by private auto in Texas was 58.0 billion (93.3 billion passenger-km).

•	Passenger-miles of intercity travel by pri- vate auto in Texas	x	Percent of total U.S. intercity travel by air (<u>2</u>).	÷	Percent of total U.S. intercity travel by private auto (<u>2</u>)	=	Number of inter- city air passenger- miles in Texas
	58.0	х	(10%	÷	86%)	=	
	58.0	X	•	12		=	7.0 billion passenger-miles (11.3 billion passenger-km) of intercity travel by air in Texas
	The premise for		imate 2 V.		1		

• The premise for Estimate 3 -- Vehicle-Miles is that the ratio of total U.S. passenger-miles of intercity travel by air to total U.S.

passenger-miles of intercity travel by private auto is the same as passenger-miles of intercity travel by air for Texas to passengermiles of intercity travel by private auto for Texas.

Final Estimate -- Average

• The final estimate for air passenger-miles of intercity travel in Texas was obtained by averaging the estimates computed by the three methods.

Estimate

Method

7.1 billion milesEstimate 1 -- Ratio of Enplanements6.5 billion milesEstimate 2 -- Population7.0 billion milesEstimate 3 -- Vehicle-Miles20.6 billion milesTotal ÷ 3 = Average(33.1 billion km)Estimate 3 -- Vehicle-Miles

• Final estimate is 6.9 billion passenger-miles (11 billion passenger-km) of intercity air travel in Texas.

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of intercity air travel is 14 passenger-miles per gallon (5.9 passenger-km per liter) (11).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in intercity air travel was determined by dividing the total passenger-miles of air travel in Texas by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 6.9 billion ÷ 14 = 493 million gallons (1.866 billion liters) of fuel consumed in intercity air travel in Texas.

BUS

Passenger-Miles

Estimate 1 -- Population

- 25 billion passenger-miles of intercity travel by bus in U.S. (2) <u>x 5.5%</u> Texas population is 5.5% of U.S. population (1)
 - 1.375 billion passenger-miles (2.2 billion passenger-km) of intercity travel by bus in Texas

 It is assumed that passenger-miles of intercity travel by bus in Texas and passenger-miles of intercity travel by bus in U.S. existed in the same ratio as Texas population and U.S. population.

Estimate 2 -- Share of the Market

- The final estimate for passenger-miles of intercity travel by private auto in Texas was 58.0 billion (93.3 billion passenger-km).
- Passenger-miles Percent of Percent of Bus passengerof intercity total U.S. total U.S. miles of travel by pri- x intercity ÷ intercity = intercity vate auto in travel by travel by pritravel in Texas bus (2) vate auto (2) Texas 58.0 (2.14% ÷ 86%) Х = 58.0 Х .024 = 1.4 billion bus passenger-miles (2.3 billion bus passenger-km) of intercity travel in Texas
- The basis for this estimate is the ratio of total U.S. intercity travel by bus to total U.S. intercity travel by private auto.
- It was assumed that intercity bus passenger-miles in Texas were the same percentage of intercity auto passenger-miles in Texas as total intercity bus passenger-miles in the U.S. were to the total intercity auto passenger-miles in the U.S.

Estimate 3 -- Commercial Buses

- 3031 total commercial and transit buses in Texas (<u>6</u>)
 <u>-1175</u> total transit buses in Texas (<u>8</u>)
 1856 commercial buses in Texas
- 88,823 total commercial and transit buses in U.S. (6)
 -49,700 total transit buses in U.S. (14)
 39,123 commercial buses in U.S.
- Texas/U.S. Ratio
 1856 ÷ 39,123 = 4.7% of total U.S. commercial buses are in Texas
- Total U.S. Bus passenger-miles Bus passenger-miles of intercity of intercity Ratio х Ξ travel in Texas travel (2) 25 billion 4.7% Х = 1.2 billion bus passenger-miles (1.9 billion bus passenger-km) of intercity travel in Texas
- This estimate is based on the assumption that bus passenger-miles of intercity travel in Texas is equivalent to the same percentage of total bus passenger-miles of intercity travel in the U.S. as the total number of commercial buses in Texas is to the total number of commercial buses in U.S.

Final Estimate -- Average

 The three preliminary estimates were averaged to obtain the final estimate.

<u>Estimate</u>	Method
1.4 billion miles 1.5 billion miles 1.2 billion miles	Estimate 1 Population Estimate 2 Share of the Market Estimate 3 Commercial Buses
4.1 billion miles (6.6 billion km)	Total ÷ 3 = Average

• The final estimate is 1.4 billion passenger-miles (2.3 billion passenger-km) of intercity travel by bus in Texas.

Fuel Efficiency

• The efficiency of intercity bus travel is 125 passenger-miles per gallon (52.5 passenger-km per liter) (13).

- The amount of fuel consumed by intercity buses was found by dividing the passenger-miles of intercity bus travel by the average number of miles per gallon of fuel.
- 1.4 billion ÷ 125 = 11.2 million gallons (42.4 million liters) of fuel consumed in intercity travel by bus in Texas.

RAIL

Passenger-Miles

Estimate 1 -- Population

- Intercity travel (excluding commuter travel) in Texas was assumed to be the same percentage of U.S. travel as the population of Texas was to the population of the U.S.
- billion intercity rail passenger-miles in U.S. (17.7 km) (2)11.0 billion miles of commuter travel (7.4 billion km) (12) -4.6
 - billion intercity rail-miles (10.3 billion intercity rail-km) 6.4
 - Texas population is 5.5% of U.S. population (1) x 5.5%
 - million rail passenger-miles (566 million rail passenger-km) 352 in Texas

Estimate 2 -- Share of the Market

- Rail passenger service accounts for 0.54 percent of total U.S. inter-• city travel (2).
- The final estimate for passenger-miles of intercity travel by private auto in Texas was 58.0 billion (93.3 billion passenger-km).

•	Passenger-miles of intercity travel by pri- vate auto in Texas	x	Percent of total U.S. intercity travel by rail (<u>2</u>)	•	Percent of total U.S. intercity travel by pri- vate auto (<u>2</u>)	=	Rail Passenger- miles of inter- city travel in Texas
	58.0	х	(0.54% :	•	86%)	=	
	58.0	Х	0.00)6	3	. =	365 million rail passenger- miles (587 million rail passenger-km) of intercity

The basis for this estimate is the ratio of total U.S. intercity travel by rail to total U.S. intercity travel by private auto. It is assumed that rail passenger-miles of intercity travel in Texas are the same percentage of auto passenger-miles of intercity travel in Texas as rail passenger-miles of intercity travel in the U.S. are of auto passengermiles of intercity travel in the U.S.

travel in Texas

Final Estimate

• By averaging the two preliminary estimates, the final estimate was acquired.

<u>Estimate</u>	Method
352 million miles 365 million miles	Estimate 1 Population Estimate 2 Share of the Market
717 million miles (1.153 billion km)	Total ÷ 2 = Average

• Average = 359 million rail passenger-miles (577 million rail passengerkm) of intercity travel in Texas.

Fuel Efficiency

• Passenger rail efficiency is approximately 80 passenger-miles per gallon (33.6 passenger-km per liter) (13).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in intercity rail travel in Texas was calculated by dividing the passenger-miles of intercity rail travel by the average number of miles per gallon of fuel.
- 359 million ÷ 80 = 4.4 million gallons (16.6 million liters of fuel consumed in intercity rail travel in Texas.

INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY

• In 1970, intercity passenger travel via water was only 0.34% of total U.S. intercity travel (2). Since there is no large scale passenger intercity water travel available in Texas, no estimates were made for passenger-miles, fuel consumption or fuel efficiency for intercity person movement in 1970, 1978 or 1990.

APPENDIX A-2

1970 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Urban Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas

This Appendix documents the analyses and assumptions used in developing various values shown in Table 6. Data for 1970 were utilized and estimations of passenger-miles, fuel efficiency and fuel consumption were made for the urban person movement process by automobile, bus and taxi.

AUTOMOBILE

Fuel Consumption

- Intercity auto fuel consumption was estimated in Appendix A-1 to be 1.986 billion gallons (7.52 billion liters).
- The total highway consumption of motor fuel was 6,294,098,000 gallons . (23.85 billion liters) (6).
- The consumption of special fuels amounted to 453,027,000 gallons (1.71 billion liters) (6).
- 6,294,098,000 453,027,000 = 5,841,071,000 gallons (22.10 billion liters) of gasoline used by autos and trucks.
- Truck travel accounted for 67 million gallons (254 million liters) of gasoline $(\underline{6})$.
- 5,841,071,000 67,000,000 = 5,774,071,000 gallons (21.85 billion liters) of gasoline used by autos, both urban and intercity.
- 5,774,071,000 1,986,000,000 = 3,788,071,000 gallons (14.34 billion liters) of gasoline used in urban auto travel.
- It was assumed that 7.5 percent of the total gasoline was used in urban goods movement by auto (15).
- 5,774,071,000 x 7.5% = 433 million gallons (1.64 billion liters) of gasoline used in urban goods movement by auto.
- 3,788,071,000 433,000,000 = 3.355 billion gallons (12.7 billion liters) of gasoline used in urban person movement by auto.

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of urban travel by private auto (found in Appendix A-1) was 10.9 vehicle-miles per gallon (4.58 vehicle-km per liter).

- The average occupancy of passenger vehicles in urban travel is 1.5 persons per vehicle (13).
- 10.9 x 1.5 = 16.35 passenger-miles per gallon (6.87 passenger-km per liter).

Passenger-Miles

- The number of passenger-miles of travel by urban auto was determined by multiplying the gallons of fuel consumed times the average number of passenger-miles per gallon.
- 3.355 billion x 16.35 = 54.85 billion passenger-miles (88.3 billion passenger-km) by urban auto in Texas.

BUS

Fuel Consumption

- In 1970, there were 1720 transit buses in Texas (8). These buses averaged 28,000 miles (45,052 km) per year per bus at 3.88 miles per gallon (1.63 km per liter) (6).
- 28,000 miles/year/bus x 1720 buses = 48.16 million vehicle-miles (77.5 million vehicle-km).
- 48.16 million ÷ 3.88 miles per gallon = 12.4 million gallons (46.9 million liters) of fuel consumed in intercity passenger travel by bus.

Fuel Efficiency

• Transit buses have a fuel efficiency of 35 passenger-miles per gallon (14.7 passenger-km per liter) (13).

Passenger-Miles

- The number of passenger-miles of urban travel by bus can be calculated by multiplying the gallons of fuel consumed times the average number of passenger-miles per gallon of fuel.
- 12 million x 35 = 420 million passenger-miles (676 million passenger-km) of urban travel by bus.

Passenger-Miles

- It was assumed that Texas cities which have populations of 50,000 or more have taxi service available.
- An analysis of Houston, Dallas and San Antonio data revealed that there was an average of 0.59 licenses per 1,000 people. This factor was applied to the remaining cities, with a total of 3,098 licenses being computed.
- An average of 40,000 miles per license (64,360 km per license) was used to calculate the total vehicle-miles traveled by taxis.
- 3098 x 40,000 = 123.9 million passenger-miles (199.4 million passenger-km).

Fuel Efficiency

• Taxis were found to have a fuel efficiency of 9 passenger-miles per gallon (3.8 km per liter) of gasoline (13).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed by urban taxis can be determined by dividing the passenger-miles traveled via urban taxi by the average number of miles per gallon of fuel.
- 123,920,000 ÷ 9 = 13.8 million gallons (52.2 million liters) of fuel consumed by taxis in urban travel.

TAXI

APPENDIX A-3

1970 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Goods Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas

This Appendix documents the analyses and assumptions used in developing various values shown in Table 6. Data for 1970 were utilized and estimations of ton-miles, fuel efficiency and fuel consumption were made for both the urban and intercity goods movement processes by mode of transportation.

INTERCITY TRUCK

Ton-Miles

• The number of intercity ton-miles traveled by motor trucks in Texas totaled 27.2 billion (39.4 x 10^4 kg-km) (11).

Fuel Efficiency

• Intercity truck freight travel has a fuel efficiency of 52 ton-miles per gallon (19.9 x 10^3 km-kg per liter) (11).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed by trucks in the intercity goods movement process can be found by dividing the number of ton-miles of intercity truck travel by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 27.2 billion ÷ 52 = 520 million gallons (1.97 billion liters) of fuel consumed by trucks in intercity travel.

RAIL

Ton-Miles

• The number of intercity rail ton-miles traveled in Texas totaled 47.18 billion (68.32 x 10^{12} kg-km) (11).

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency for intercity rail freight was found to be 200 ton-miles per gallon (76.6 \times 10³ kg-km per liter) (11).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in the intercity rail transport of goods was found by dividing the ton-miles of intercity rail travel by the average number of miles per gallon of fuel.
- 47.18 billion ÷ 200 = 236 million gallons (893 million liters) of fuel consumed in intercity travel by rail.

OIL PIPELINES

<u>Ton-Miles</u>

- In order to estimate the volume of cargo handled by oil pipelines, it was necessary to estimate Texas' percentage of the total U.S. capacity (17).
- The volume of crude, gathering and product lines for Texas = 25.33 million barrels.
- The volume of crude, gathering and product lines for the U.S. = 113.55 million barrels.
- Texas' capacity = $\frac{25.33 \text{ million}}{113.55 \text{ million}}$ or 22.3% of the nation's capacity.
- This figure was applied to the total volume handled by oil pipelines in the U.S.
- 431.16 billion ton-miles x 22.3% = 96.15 billion ton-miles (139.2 x 10¹² kg-km) of freight movement through oil pipelines in Texas.

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of freight movement through oil pipelines is 500 ton-miles per gallon (191.5 \times 10³ kg-km per liter) (11).

- The amount of fuel consumed by the movement of freight through oil pipelines can be found by dividing the ton-miles of freight movement by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 96.15 billion ÷ 500 = 192 million gallons (727 million liters) of fuel consumed by freight movement through oil pipelines in Texas.

INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY

Ton-Miles

• An examination of the freight traffic volume on the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway yielded an estimate of 6.21 billion ton-miles (9.0 x 10^{12} kg-km) (<u>16</u>).

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of freight movement by water is 220 ton-miles per gallon (84.3 x 10^3 kg-km) (<u>16</u>).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in the transportation of freight by water can be calculated by dividing the ton-miles of freight movement via waterway by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 6.21 billion ÷ 220 = 28 million gallons (106 million liters) of fuel consumed in freight transportation by waterway.

AIR

Ton-Miles

- Volumes of freight traffic by air, rail, and truck in the U.S. will be used to arrive at two ratios. These ratios will then be applied to volumes of Texas freight traffic to arrive at a final estimate.
- Based on U.S. data for ton-miles by mode:

 $\frac{\text{air}}{\text{truck}} = \frac{3.3 \text{ billion}}{412.0 \text{ billion}} = .0080$

 $\frac{\text{air}}{\text{rail}} = \frac{3.3 \text{ billion}}{771.0 \text{ billion}} = .00428$

• These ratios are then applied to Texas freight mileage, which has already been computed.

Truck: 27.2 billion x .0080 = 218 million ton-miles (316 x 10^9 kg-km)

Rail: 47.18 billion x .00428 = 202 million ton-miles (293 x 10^9 kg-km)

215 million ton-miles will be used in Table 6.

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of freight movement by air is approximately 10 ton-miles per gallon $(3.83 \times 10^3 \text{ km-kg per liter})$ (11).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in the movement of air freight was determined by dividing the ton-miles of air freight movement by the average number of miles per gallon of fuel.
- 215 ÷ 10 = 21.5 million gallons (81.4 million liters) of fuel consumed in freight movement by air.

URBAN TRUCK

Fuel Consumption

- Fuel consumption by urban truck is estimated to be 7.5% of the total gasoline as seen in the urban auto estimate in Appendix A-1 $(\underline{15})$.
- 5,774,071,000 gallons x 7.5% = 433 million gallons (1.64 billion liters) of fuel consumed in urban freight movement by truck.

Fuel Efficiency

 Urban truck fuel efficiency is estimated at 4 ton-miles per gallon (1532 kg-km per liter) (<u>11</u>).

Ton-Miles

- The number of ton-miles traveled by urban trucks can be found by multiplying the number of gallons times the ton-miles per gallon.
- 433 million x 4 = 1.732 billion ton-miles (2.51 x 10⁹ kg-km).

APPENDIX B-1

1978 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Intercity Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas

This Appendix documents the analyses and assumptions used in developing the values for intercity person movement shown in Table 7. Projections for 1978 were utilized and estimations of passenger-miles fuel efficiency and fuel consumption were made for intercity person movement process by automobile, bus and taxi.

Population data were the basis of several computations in this Appendix. The population of Texas was estimated to be 13.0 million as of June 1, 1978 (2). The population of the U.S. for the same time period was estimated to be 217.9 million (1). The population of Texas represented 5.9 percent of the U.S. population.

AUTOMOBILE

Passenger-Miles

- State Department of Highways and Public Transportation manual count data reveal that approximately 90 percent of the daily intercity vehicle-miles of travel are by auto (includes pick-up trucks) (3).
- The volume of intercity vehicular travel was estimated at 43.0 billion vehicle-miles (69.2 billion vehicle-km) (4).
- 43.0 billion x 90% = 38.7 billion intercity auto-miles (62.3 billion intercity auto-km).
- The average occupancy of passenger vehicles in intercity travel is 2.3 persons (5).
- 38.7 billion x 2.3 = 89.0 billion intercity passenger-miles (143.2 billion intercity passenger-km) by auto in Texas.

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of intercity auto travel was obtained using an estimate of gasoline consumption and the estimate for total vehiclemiles. A weighted average for urban and rural efficiencies was obtained (7).

Total vehicle miles	÷	Highway gas consumption	=	Average mileage for all autos	
100 billion	÷	8.40 billion	=	11.90 miles per gallon (5.0 km per liter)	
Urban vehicle-miles = 57 billion					
Rural vehicle-miles = 43 billion					

x = urban fuel efficiency (mpg)

14x/12 = rural fuel efficiency (mph)

 $11.9 = \frac{57x + 43(14x/12)}{100}$

Urban fuel consumption = 11.1 mpg (4.66 km/liter) Rural fuel consumption = 12.95 mpg (5.44 km/liter)

- The fuel efficiency of the intercity auto can be found by multiplying the number of persons per vehicle-mile times the average miles per gallon.
- 2.3 x 12.95 = 30 passenger-miles per gallon (12.6 passenger-km per liter).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed by intercity autos can be calculated by dividing the auto passenger-miles of intercity travel by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 38.7 billion ÷ 12.95 = 2.988 billion gallons (11.3 billion liters) of fuel consumed by autos in intercity travel.

AIR

Passenger-Miles

Estimate 1 -- Ratio of Enplanements

- 16,400,000 CAB, Texas enplanements (<u>8</u>) <u>+4,100,000</u> TAC enplanements (<u>9</u>) 20,500,000 Texas annual enplanements
- The total domestic U.S. enplanements in 1978 were estimated to be 221 million.

Final Estimate -- Average

 The final estimate for air passenger-miles of intercity travel in Texas was obtained by averaging the estimates computed by the three methods.

Estimate	Method
17.8 billion miles 11.3 billion miles 13.4 billion miles	Estimate 1 Ratio of Enplanements Estimate 2 Population Estimate 3 Vehicle-Miles
42.5 billion miles (68.4 billion km)	Total ÷ 3 = Average

 Final estimate is 14.2 billion air passenger-miles (22.8 air passengerkm) of intercity travel in Texas.

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of intercity air travel is 14 passenger-miles per gallon (5.9 passenger-km per liter) (11).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in intercity air travel can be calculated by dividing passenger-miles of intercity air travel by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 14.2 billion ÷ 14 = 1.014 billion gallons (3.838 billion liters) of fuel consumed in intercity travel by air.

BUS

Passenger-Miles

Estimate 1 -- Population

- 27.2 billion passenger-miles of intercity travel by bus in U.S. (1) x 5.9% Texas population is 5.9% of U.S. population (2)
 - 1.60 billion passenger-miles (2.57 billion passenger-km) of intercity travel by bus in Texas
- It is assumed that passenger-miles of intercity travel by bus in Texas and passenger-miles of intercity travel by bus in U.S. existed in the same ratio as Texas population and U.S. population.

Estimate 2 -- Share of the Market

 Final estimate for passenger-miles of intercity travel by auto in Texas was 89.0 billion (143.2 billion passenger-km).

•	Passenger-miles of intercity travel by pri- vate auto in Texas	x	Percent of total U.S. intercity travel by bus (<u>1</u>)	*	Percent of total U.S. intercity travel by auto (<u>1</u>)	=	Bus pass- enger-miles of inter- city travel in Texas
	89.0 billion	х	(1.70%	*	84.2%)	=	
	89.0 billion	X		0.02		=	1.78 billion bus passenger- miles (2.86 billion bus passenger-km) of intercity travel in Texas

- The basis for this estimate is the ratio of total U.S. intercity travel by bus to total U.S. intercity travel by auto.
- It was assumed that intercity bus passenger-miles in Texas were the same percentage of intercity auto passenger-miles in Texas as total intercity bus passenger-miles in the U.S. were to the total intercity auto passenger-miles in the U.S.

Estimate 3 -- Commercial Buses

- The total number of commercial buses in Texas was found to be 1381 (<u>18</u>).
- 98,800 total commercial and transit buses in U.S. (6)
 -54,250 total transit buses in U.S. (14)
 44,550 total commercial buses in U.S.
- Texas/U.S. Ratio

1381 ÷ 44,550 = 3.1% of total U.S. commercial buses are in Texas

• Total U.S. Bus passenger-miles Bus passenger-miles of Х Ratio = of intercity intercity travel in Texas travel (1) 27.2 billion х 3.1% 843 million bus passenger-= miles (1.356 billion bus passenger-km) of intercity travel in Texas

• This estimate is based on the assumption that bus passenger-miles of intercity travel in Texas is equivalent to the same percentage of total bus passenger-miles of intercity travel in the U.S. as the total number of commercial buses in Texas is to total number of commercial buses in the U.S.

<u>Final Estimate</u> -- Average

The three preliminary estimates were averaged to obtain a final estimate.

EstimateMethods1.60 billion milesEstimate 1 -- Population1.78 billion milesEstimate 2 -- Share of the Market.84 billion milesEstimate 3 -- Commercial Buses4.22 billion milesTotal ÷ 3 = Average(6.79 billion km)Estimate 3 -- Commercial Buses

 The final estimate is 1.41 billion passenger-miles (2.3 billion passengerkm) of intercity travel by bus in Texas.

Fuel Efficiency

• The efficiency of intercity bus travel is 125 passenger-miles per gallon (52.5 passenger-km per liter) $(\underline{11})$.

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed by intercity buses can be calculated by dividing passenger-miles of intercity bus travel by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 1.41 billion ÷ 125 = 11 million gallons (41.6 million liters) of fuel consumed in intercity travel by bus in Texas.

RAIL

Passenger-Miles

 Rail passenger travel was estimated as 0.1% of total intercity travel (<u>11</u>). Texas does not have as extensive a rail network as the eastern states, therefore the percentage of rail travel in Texas is very small.

- Intercity Intercity Intercity Total passenger-miles passengerpassenger-_ of intercity travel passenger-+ + miles by miles by miles by (excluding travel by auto air bus rail) 89.0 billion + 14.2 billion + 1.41 billion = 104.61 billion passengermiles (168.32 billion passenger-km) of intercity travel in Texas
- 104.61 billion x 0.1% = 105 million passenger-miles (168 million passengerkm) of intercity travel by rail in Texas.

Fuel Efficiency

 Passenger rail efficiency is approximately 80 passenger-miles per gallon (33.6 passenger-km per liter) (13).

- The amount of fuel consumed in intercity rail travel can be calculated by dividing the passenger-miles of intercity rail travel by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 104.61 million ÷ 80 = 1.3 million gallons (4.9 million liters) of fuel consumed in intercity travel by rail.

APPENDIX B-2

1978 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Urban Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas

This Appendix documents the analyses and assumptions used in developing various values in Table 7. Projections for 1978 were utilized and estimations of passenger-miles, fuel efficiency and fuel consumption were made for the urban person movement process by automobile, bus and taxi in Texas.

AUTOMOBILE

Fuel Consumption

- This estimate is based on a projection of the amount of urban vehiclemiles traveled.
- Urban vehicle-miles totaled 57.0 billion (91.7 billion vehicle-km) (4).
- It was assumed that 95% of the total urban vehicular travel was travel by auto (3).
- 57 billion x 95% = 54.15 billion urban auto-miles (87.13 billion urban auto-km).
- The fuel efficiency of urban travel by auto (found in Appendix B-1) was 11.1 vehicle-miles per gallon (4.66 vehicle-km per liter).
- 54.15 billion ÷ 11.1 = 4.878 billion gallons (18.5 billion liters) of fuel consumed in urban travel by auto.

Fuel Efficiency

- The average occupancy of passenger vehicles in urban travel is 1.5 persons per vehicle (5).
- 11.1 vehicle-miles per gallon x 1.5 persons per vehicle = 16.65 passenger-miles per gallon (7.0 passenger-km per liter).

Passenger-Miles

• 54.15 billion urban auto-miles x 1.5 persons per vehicle = 81.2 billion passenger-miles (130.7 billion passenger-km) of urban travel by auto.

Fuel Consumption

- In 1978, there were approximately 1869 transit buses in Texas $(\underline{11})$. These buses averaged 30,200 miles (48,600 km) per year per bus \overline{at} 3.88 miles per gallon (1.63 km per liter) (17).
- 30,200 miles/year/bus x 1869 buses = 56.44 million vehicle-miles (90.81 vehicle-km).
- 56.44 million ÷ 3.88 = 14.55 million gallons (55.1 million liters) of fuel consumed in intercity travel by bus.

Fuel Efficiency

• Transit buses have a fuel efficiency of 35 passenger-miles per gallon (14.7 passenger-km per liter) (13).

Passenger-Miles

• 14.55 million x 35 = 509 million (819 million passenger-km) of urban travel by bus.

TAXI

Passenger-Miles

- This estimate is based on the assumption that the ratio of passengermiles by taxi to population in taxi-served areas remains constant.
- In 1970, the number of persons living in urban areas assumed to have taxi service totaled 5,478,933 (1).
- From 1970 to 1978, the population of Texas increased by 1,714,000 (1).
- Assume that 90% of this increase occurred in areas having taxi service $(\underline{11})$.
- 1,714,000 x 90% = 1,543,000 additional persons living in urban areas of Texas where taxi service is available.
- This increased the number of Texans having taxi service by a value of:

 $\frac{5,478,933 + 1,543,000}{5,478,933} = 1.3$

BUS

 Assuming that this value of 1.3 can be applied directly to passengermiles:

117 million passenger-miles x 1.3 = 152 passenger-miles (245 million passenger-km).

Fuel Efficiency

- Because taxis are basically urban autos, they will have an increase in fuel efficiency approximately equal to that of urban autos.
- The 1970 fuel efficiency for taxis was 9 passenger-miles per gallon (3.78 passenger-km per liter).
- The change in urban auto efficiency from 1970 to 1978 went from 10.9 mpg (4.58 km/liter) to 11.1 mpg (4.66 km/liter).
- 9 x (11.1 ÷ 10.9) = a fuel efficiency of 9.2 passenger-miles per gallon (3.86 passenger-km per liter).

- The amount of fuel consumed by urban taxis was calculated by dividing the passenger-miles of intercity travel via taxi by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 152 million ÷ 9.2 = 16.5 million gallons (62.5 million liters of fuel consumed in intercity travel by taxis.

APPENDIX B-3

1978 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Goods Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas

This Appendix documents the analyses and assumption used in developing various values shown in Table 7. Projections for 1978 were utilized and estimation of ton-miles, fuel efficiency and fuel consumption were made for both the urban and intercity goods movement processes by mode of transportation.

INTERCITY TRUCK

Ton-Miles

• Based on historical trends, it was projected that 36 billion ton-miles $(52 \times 10^{12} \text{ kg-km})$ of freight was hauled by intercity truck.

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of intercity freight movement was estimated at 52 ton-miles per gallon $(9.9 \times 10^3 \text{ kg-km per liter})$ (11).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed by trucks in the intercity goods movement process was determined by dividing the ton-miles of intercity truck travel by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 36 billion ÷ 52 = 692 million gallons (2.61 billion liters) of fuel consumed in intercity goods transport by truck.

RAIL

Ton-Miles

• Based on historical trends, it was projected that 63 billion ton-miles $(91 \times 10^{12} \text{ kg-km})$ of freight was transported by intercity rail (11).

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of intercity rail transport was found to be 200 ton-miles per gallon $(77.6 \times 10^3 \text{ kg-km per liter})$ $(\underline{11})$.

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in intercity rail travel can be calculated by dividing the passenger-miles of rail travel by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 63 billion ÷ 200 = 315 million gallons (1.19 billion liters) of fuel consumed in intercity passenger travel by rail.

OIL PIPELINES

Ton-Miles

- This estimate assumed that the ratio of pipeline ton-miles in Texas to those of the U.S. in 1978 was the same as that in 1970.
- It was estimated that in 1978, pipelines in the U.S. carried 580 billion ton-miles (840 x 10^{12} kg-km) of cargo (2).
- In 1970, 431 billion ton-miles $(624 \times 10^{12} \text{ kg-km})$ of cargo were handled by oil pipelines in the U.S. 96.15 billion ton-miles (139 x $10^{12} \text{ kg-km})$ of cargo were handled by oil pipelines in Texas.
- Texas' capacity = $\frac{96.15 \text{ billion}}{431 \text{ billion}}$ or 22.3% of the nation's capacity.
- This figure was applied to the total volume handled by oil pipelines in the U.S.
- 580 billion x 22.3% = 129.4 billion ton-miles of freight movement through oil pipelines in Texas.

Fuel Efficiency

• The approximate fuel efficiency of freight movement through oil pipelines is 500 ton-miles per gallon (192 x 10³ kg-km per liter).

- The amount of fuel consumed by freight movement through oil pipelines was calculated by dividing the ton-miles of freight movement through oil pipelines by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 129.4 billion ÷ 500 = 259 million gallons (980 million liters) of fuel consumed by freight movement through oil pipelines.

INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY

Ton-Miles

• An analysis of historical data was used to arrive at an estimate of 8.82 billion ton-miles $(12 \times 10^{12} \text{ kg-km})$ of freight carried along the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (<u>16</u>).

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of freight movement by water is 220 ton-miles per gallon (84.3 x 10³ kg-km per liter) (11).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in the transportation of freight by waterway was calculated by dividing the ton-miles of freight movement via waterway by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 8.82 billion ÷ 220 = 40.1 million gallons (152 million liters) of fuel consumed in freight movement via waterway.

AIR

Ton-Miles

- Volumes of freight traffic by air, rail, and truck in the U.S. will be used to arrive at two ratios. These ratios will then be applied to volumes of Texas freight traffic to arrive at a final estimate.
- U.S. air freight traffic was estimated as 4.59 billion ton-miles $(6.65 \times 10^{12} \text{ kg-km})$ (2).
- Truck freight traffic accounted for 542 billion ton-miles (785 x 10^{12} kg-km) (<u>1</u>) in the U.S. and 36 billion ton-miles (52.0 x 10^{12} kg-km) in Texas.
- Rail freight traffic totaled 783 billion ton-miles $(1.13 \times 10^{15} \text{ kg-km})$ (2) in the U.S. and 63 billion ton-miles $(91 \times 10^{12} \text{ kg-km})$ in Texas.
- Based on U.S. data for ton-miles by mode:

$$\frac{\text{air}}{\text{truck}} = \frac{4.59 \text{ billion}}{542 \text{ billion}} = .00847$$

$$\frac{\text{air}}{\text{rail}} = \frac{4.59 \text{ billion}}{783 \text{ billion}} = .00586$$

• These ratios are then applied to Texas freight mileage, which has already been computed:

Truck: 36 billion x .00847 = 305 million ton-miles (442 x 10^9 kg-km)

Rail: 63 billion x .00586 = 369 million ton-miles (534 x 10^9 kg-km)

(305 million + 369 million) ÷ 2 = 337 million ton-miles (488 x 10⁹ kg-km).

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of freight movement by air is approximately 10 tonmiles per gallon $(3.83 \times 10^3 \text{ kg-km})$ (11).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in the movement of air freight was determined by dividing the ton-miles of air freight movement ÷ the average number of miles per gallon.
- 337 million ÷ 10 = 33.7 million gallons (128 million liters) of fuel consumed in the movement of air freight by air in Texas.

URBAN TRUCK

Fuel Consumption

- Fuel consumption by urban truck is estimated to be 7.5% of the total gasoline as seen in the urban auto estimate in Appendix A-1 (15).
- 8.40 billion gallons x 7.5% = 630 million gallons (2.38 billion liters) of fuel consumed in urban freight movement by truck in Texas.

Fuel Efficiency

• Urban truck fuel efficiency is estimated at 4 ton-miles per gallon (1584 kg-km per liter) (11).

Ton-Miles

- The number of ton-miles traveled by urban trucks was found by multiplying the gallons of fuel consumed times the ton-miles per gallon.
- 630 million x 4 = 2.52 billion ton-miles (3.65 x 10¹² kg-km).

APPENDIX C-1

1990 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Intercity Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas

This Appendix documents the analyses and assumptions used in developing the values for intercity person movement shown in Table 8. Projections for 1990 were utilized and estimations of passenger-miles fuel efficiency and fuel consumption were made for intercity person movement process by automobile, bus and taxi.

AUTOMOBILE

Fuel Efficiency

- An analysis of historical trends revealed that in Texas, vehicle-miles per capita increased at a rate of 3.05 percent per year between 1970 and 1980. One-half of this increase per year was used for the years between 1980 and 1990. An estimate of 9545 vehicle-miles (15,360 vehicle-km) per capita was derived for 1990. A population estimate of 15,342,000 was obtained (1).
- 9545 vehicle-miles per capita x 15,342,000 persons = 146.4 billion miles (235.6 billion km) of travel in Texas.
- Rural travel has been estimated as constituting 43 percent of the vehicle-miles traveled in the State of Texas (4).
- 146.4 billion miles x 43% = 62.95 billion vehicle-miles.
- It has been estimated that autos will represent 90 percent of the vehicle-miles traveled in Texas in 1990 (3).
- 62.95 billion vehicle-miles x 90% autos = 56.7 billion vehicle-miles (91.2 billion vehicle-km) by auto in Texas.
- The proposed EPA gasoline mileage standards were found to be 20 percent in excess of actual mileage achieved. This reduction was made and an estimate of 18.9 miles per gallon (7.94 km per liter) was obtained assuming an auto turnover rate of 10 percent. Weighting factors used in Appendix B-1. Intercity auto were then used to calculate an average.
- x = urban fuel efficiency (mpg) 14x/12 = rural fuel efficiency (mpg)

 $18.9 = \frac{83.45x + 62.95 (14x/12)}{146.4}$

Urban fuel consumption = 17.64 mpg (7.41 km/liter) Rural fuel consumption = 20.58 mpg (8.64 km/liter)

- The fuel efficiency of the intercity auto can be found by multiplying the number of persons per vehicle-miles times the average mile per gallon. The average occupancy of passenger vehicles in intercity travel for the year 1990 is predicted to remain at 2.3 as in 1970 (5).
- 2.3 persons per vehicle-miles x 20.58 mpg = 47 passenger-miles per gallon (19.74 passenger-km per liter).

Fuel Consumption

- Intercity fuel consumption was found using an estimated efficiency of 20.6 mpg (8.64 km/liter).
- 56.7 billion vehicle-miles ÷ 20.6 = 2.75 billion gallons (10.4 billion liters) of fuel consumed by intercity auto.

Passenger-Miles

- The total volume of intercity travel by auto was found to be 56.7 billion vehicle-miles.
- 56.7 billion intercity vehicle-miles x 2.3 persons per vehicle = 130.41 billion passenger-miles (209.83 billion passenger-km) of intercity travel by auto.

AIR

Passenger-Miles

- Based on historical trends, it is predicted that intercity passenger traffic by auto will represent 85.1 percent of the total intercity passenger traffic (2).
- 130.41 billion passenger-miles by auto ÷ 85% autos = 153.4 billion passenger-miles of total intercity passenger travel.
- Intercity passenger travel by air is predicted to represent 12.8 percent of the total intercity passenger travel (2).
- 153.4 billion x 12.8% = 19.6 billion passenger-miles (31.6 passenger-km) of travel by air.

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of intercity air travel is 14 passenger-miles per gallon (5.9 passenger-km per liter) (11).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in intercity air travel was calculated by dividing the passenger-miles of intercity air travel by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 19.6 billion ÷ 14 = 1.4 billion gallons (5.3 billion liters) of fuel consumed in intercity passenger travel by air.

BUS

Passenger-Miles

- Intercity passenger travel by bus is predicted to represent 2.0 percent of the total intercity passenger travel (2).
- 153.4 billion x 2.0% = 3.1 billion passenger-miles (5.0 billion passenger-km) of travel by bus.

Fuel Efficiency

• The efficiency of intercity bus travel is 125 passenger-miles per gallon (52.5 passenger-km per liter) (11).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed by intercity buses was calculated by dividing the passenger-miles of intercity bus travel by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 3.1 billion ÷ 125 = 25 million gallons (95 million liters) of fuel consumed in intercity travel by bus.

RAIL

Passenger-Miles

• Intercity passenger travel by rail is predicted to represent 0.1 percnet of the total intercity passenger travel (2). • 153.4 billion x 0.1% = 153 million passenger-miles (246.2 million passenger-km) of travel by rail.

Fuel Efficiency

• Passenger rail efficiency is approximately 80 passenger-miles per gallon (33.6 passenger-km per liter) $(\underline{11})$.

- The amount of fuel consumed in intercity rail travel was calculated by dividing the passenger-miles of rail travel by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 153 million ÷ 80 = 1.9 million gallons (7.3 million liters) of fuel consumed in intercity passenger travel by rail.

APPENDIX C-2

1990 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Urban Person Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas

This Appendix documents the analyses and assumptions used in developing various values in Table 8. Projections for 1990 were utilized and estimations of passenger-miles, fuel efficiency and fuel consumption were made for the urban person movement process by automobile, bus and taxi.

AUTOMOBILE

Fuel Efficiency

• The efficiency of the automobile in urban travel, as found in Appendix C-1, was estimated to be 17.6 miles per gallon (7.4 km per liter).

Fuel Consumption

- It was estimated in Appendix C-1, that vehicle-miles of travel in Texas will total 146.4 billion (235.6 billion vehicle-km) by 1990.
- Urban travel has been estimated as constituting 57 percent of the vehicle-miles traveled in the state of Texas.
- 146.4 billion vehicle-miles x 57% = 83.45 billion urban vehicle-miles.
- It was assumed that autos will represent 95 percent of the vehiclemiles traveled in urban areas in Texas (3).
- 83.45 billion urban vehicle-miles x 95% = 79.3 billion auto-miles (127.6 billion auto-km).
- 79.3 billion auto-miles ÷ 17.6 mpg = 4.506 billion gallons (17.1 billion liters) of fuel consumed in urban auto travel in 1990.

Passenger-Miles

- In 1990, the average occupancy of an automobile in urban travel is estimated to remain at the 1970 level 1.5 persons per vehicle.
- 79.3 billion auto-miles x 1.5 persons per vehicle = 118.95 billion passenger-miles (191.4 billion passenger-km).

Passenger-Miles

- The increase in urban travel by bus can be related to the increase in total vehicle-miles. An increase of 3.0 percent per year was observed for vehicle-miles during the period from 1970 to 1978, and this trend is expected to continue.
- The estimate for urban travel by bus in 1990 is therefore expected to reach 726 million passenger-miles (1.17 billion passenger-km).

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of transit buses in 1990 is expected to remain at the 1970 level 35 passenger-miles per gallon (14.7 passenger-km per liter) (13).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed by urban buses was calculated by dividing the passenger-miles of urban bus travel by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 726 million ÷ 35 = 20.7 million gallons (78.4 million liters) of fuel consumed in urban passenger travel by bus.

TAXI

Passenger-Miles

- It is estimated that passenger-miles by taxi will represent the same percentage of urban passenger-miles by auto in 1990 as they did in 1978.
- The passenger-miles traveled by taxi in 1978 = 152 million (245 million passenger-km).
- The passenger-miles traveled by urban auto in 1978 = 81.2 billion (131 billion passenger-km).
- $\frac{152}{81,200}$ = .001872
- 118.95 billion passenger-miles by urban auto in 1990 x .001872 = 223 million passenger-miles by taxi in 1990.

BUS

Fuel Efficiency

- The fuel efficiency of taxis will increase as the fuel efficiency of urban autos increases.
- The fuel efficiency for taxis in 1970 was 9 passenger-miles per gallon (3.78 passenger-km per liter).
- The change in urban auto fuel efficiency from 1970 to 1990 is estimated to be from 10.9 mpg to 17.6 mpg.
- 9 x (17.6 ÷ 10.9) = 15 passenger-miles per gallon (6.3 passenger-km per liter) in 1990.

- The amount of fuel consumed by urban taxis was determined by dividing the passenger-miles of urban travel via taxi by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 223 million ÷ 15 = 14.9 million gallons (56.4 million liters) of fuel consumed in urban passenger travel by taxi.

APPENDIX C-3

1990 Estimates of Fuel Consumption for Goods Movement by Mode of Transportation in Texas

This Appendix documents the analyses and assumptions used in developing various values shown in Table 8. Projections for 1990 were utilized and estimations of ton-miles, fuel efficiency and fuel consumption were made for both urban and intercity goods movement processes by mode of transportation.

URBAN TRUCK

Fuel Consumption

- This estimate is based on the assumption that the fuel efficiency for autos will not change between 1978 and 1990. The fuel consumption of urban and rural autos will be estimated using the number of auto-miles calculated in Appendix C-2, Urban Automobile. The fuel consumption for urban goods movement by truck will be calculated as 7½ percent of this figure as the fuel efficiency for urban trucks is not expected to increase at the same rate as the fuel efficiency for autos.
- Urban auto fuel consumption + 79.3 billion auto-miles ÷ 11.10 mpg = 7.144 billion gallons (27.0 billion liters).
- Rural auto fuel consumption = 56.7 billion auto-miles ÷ 12.95 mpg = 4.378 billion gallons (16.6 billion liters).
- 7.144 billion gallons + 4.378 billion gallons = 11.522 billion gallons (43.62 billion liters) total.
- Fuel consumed by urban trucks is 7.5 percent of the fuel consumed by autos, assuming that no increase in efficiency occurs (7).
- 11.522 billion gallons x 7.5% = 864 million gallons (3.27 x 10⁹ liters) of fuel consumed in goods movement by urban truck.

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of urban goods movement by truck is estimated to remain at 4 ton-miles per gallon (1532 kg-km per liter) (11).

Ton-Miles

• The ton-miles of freight hauled by urban trucks in 1990 was estimated by multiplying the expected consumption times the fuel efficiency.

• 864 million gallons of fuel x 4 ton-miles per gallon = 3.456 billion ton-miles (5.00 x 10^{12} kg-km) of freight will be hauled by urban trucks in 1990.

INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY

Ton-Miles

 Based on historical trends, it is estimated that the waterway freight traffic in 1990 will be 13.35 billion ton-miles (<u>16</u>).

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency for water borne freight carriers is expected to remain at approximately the same level of 220 ton-miles/gallon (84.3 x 10^3 kg-km/liter) for 1970 (11).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in goods transport via waterway was estimated by dividing the ton-miles of freight transported via waterway by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 13.35 billion ÷ 220 = 61 million gallons (231 million liters) of fuel consumed.

OIL PIPELINES

Ton-Miles

- It is anticipated that oil pipelines in Texas will carry the same percentage of U.S. freight traffic in 1990 as it did in 1970. This ratio can then be applied to the U.S. estimate for 1990.
- U.S. estimate for 1970 = 431 billion ton-miles (624 x 10^{12} kg-km).
- Texas estimate for 1970 = 96.15 billion ton-miles (139 x 10^{12} kg-km).
- U.S. estimate for 1990 = 830 billion ton-miles $(1.2 \times 10^{15} \text{ kg-km})$.
- (96.15 billion ÷ 431 billion) x 830 billion = 185.2 billion ton-miles
 (268 x 10¹² kg-km) of freight carried by oil pipelines in 1990.

Fuel Efficiency

• The 1970 oil pipeline efficiency of 500 ton-miles/gallon (192 x 10^3 kg-km/liter) is expected to remain costant in 1990 (<u>11</u>).

Fuel Consumption

• The amount of fuel consumed in goods transport through oil pipelines was estimated by dividing the ton-miles of freight transported through oil pipelines by the average number of miles per gallon.

RAIL

Ton-Miles

• Three projections of rail freight traffic were made. The second of these was used and an estimate of 81 billion ton-miles was achieved.

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of the rail mode is expected to remain constant at 200 ton-miles per gallon (76.6 x 10^3 kg-km per liter) (<u>11</u>).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in intercity goods movement by rail was determined by dividing the ton-miles of goods transported via rail by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 81 billion \div 200 = 405 million gallons (1.5 x 10^{12} liters) of fuel consumed in the intercity transportation of freight by rail.

INTERCITY TRUCK

Ton-Miles

• By averaging two projections, the movement of freight by trucks was projected as being 59 billion ton-miles $(85 \times 10^{12} \text{ kg-km})$.

Fuel Efficiency

• It is estimated that the fuel efficiency of the movement of goods by truck will remain at 52 ton-miles per gallon (19.9 x 10^3 kg-km/liter) (9).

Fuel Consumption

- The amount of fuel consumed in intercity goods movement by truck was estimated by dividing the ton-miles of goods transported via truck by average number of miles per gallon.
- 59 billion ton-miles ÷ 52 ton-miles/gallon = 1.135 billion gallons (4.30 billion liters) of fuel consumed in intercity goods movement by truck.

<u>AIR</u>

Ton-Miles

- The magnitude of freight movement by air is estimated to remain at 0.15 percent of the remaining goods movement modes (2).
- 3.46 billion urban truck ton-miles

 13.35 billion waterway ton-miles
 189.50 billion oil pipeline ton-miles
 81.00 billion rail ton-miles
 +59.00 billion intercity truck ton-miles
 346.31 billion total ton-miles of freight movement
- 346 billion ton-miles x 0.0015 = 520 million ton-miles (753 x 10^{12} kg-km) of freight movement by air.

Fuel Efficiency

• The fuel efficiency of freight movement by air is estimated to remain at the 1970 level of 10 ton-miles per gallon (3.83 x 10^3 kg-km per liter) (<u>11</u>).

- The amount of fuel consumed in intercity air freight movement was determined by dividing the ton-miles of air freight movement by the average number of miles per gallon.
- 520 million ÷ 10 = 52 million gallons (197 million liters) of fuel consumed in the intercity movement of goods by air.

REFERENCES

- 1. U.S. Bureau of Census, U.S. Department of Commerce. "General Population Characteristics, United States." 1970.
- 2. U.S. Department of Commerce. <u>Statistical Abstract of the United States</u>. 1977.
- 3. Texas State Department of Highways and Public Transportation. "Manual Traffic Counts, 1972, 1978." Unpublished Data.
- 4. Texas Highway Department, Planning and Survey Division. "Road Inventory Tables." December 31, 1972.
- 5. U.S. Department of Transportation. "1969 Nationwide Personal Transportation Study." Unpublished Data.
- 6. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. <u>High-way Statistics</u>. 1925-1977.
- 7. Statement by General Motors Corporation on the Efficient Use of Energy. Submitted to the Subcommittee on Conservation and Natural Resources of the House Government Operations Committee and the Subcommittee on Energy of the House Science and Astronautics Committee, July 11, 1973.
- 8. <u>Texas Almanac and State Industrial Guide, 1972-1973</u>. A. H. Belo Corporation, Dallas, Texas, 1973.
- 9. Texas Aeronautical Commission. "TAC Enplanements." Unpublished Data.
- 10. Air Transport Association of America. "Air Transportation, 1971." Washington, D.C., 1972.
- 11. Holder, R. W. <u>Fuel Conservation Measures: The Transportation Sector</u>. Prepared for the Governor's Energy Advisory Council. Texas Transportation Institute, January 1975.
- 12. Association of American Railroads. "Statistics of Railroads of Class I in the United States, 1962-1972." November 1973.
- 13. Jennrick, John H. "Comparison of Efficiencies." Highway User Quarterly, Summer 1974.
- 14. American Transit Association. Transit Fact Book. 1971.
- Christiansen, D. L. <u>Urban Transportation Planning for Goods and Services</u>, <u>a Reference Guide</u>. Prepared for U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration by Texas Transportation Institute, June 1979.

- Corps of Engineers, <u>Waterborne Commerce of the United States</u>, Part 2 Waterways and Harbors Gulf Coast, Mississippi River System and Antilles, 1967 through 1976.
- 17. Crude Oil and Product Pipeline Mileage in the United States. Mineral Industry Surveys, U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, 1965 through 1975.
- 18. <u>Texas Transit Operations, 1977</u>. Unpublished Data.
- 19. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority Operating Statistics, 1978. Unpublished Data.