Texas Department of Transportation

TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY
SAN MARCOS
The rising STAR of Texas

PROJECT SUMMARY REPORT

0-7072: Improve Data Quality for Automated Pavement Distress Data Collection

Background

The Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) has adopted an automated/semi-automated approach using 3D laser technology and high-resolution cameras to collect pavement condition data across more than 197,000 lane miles since Fiscal Year (FY) 2017. While this method represents a significant advancement, concerns persist regarding the accuracy and precision of the data collected through these automated/semi-automated processes. In response, TxDOT has implemented a quality management plan (QMP) for pavement data collection to ensure the quality of automated pavement data collection and processing. The QMP includes equipment calibration before data collection and weekly verification during data collection for quality control (QC). TxDOT also conducts a data validation process for quality assurance (QA), which involves certified raters from an independent third party visually evaluating about 6% of Texas roadbed miles for surface distresses, along with TxDOT personnel rechecking profile measurements on selected sections. The surface distress audit sets a minimum tolerance of 15 points in error for no more than 10% of the pavement sections. Despite these efforts, recent reviews of pavement condition reports have uncovered shortcomings in the existing data validation method's ability to accurately identify pavement sections with low data accuracy and precision. Thus, the establishment of data quality assurance procedures is crucial to ensure the reliability and quality of the pavement management information system (PMIS) data.

What the Researchers Did

The study commenced with a comprehensive review of the existing literature combined with a questionnaire survey that focused on the evaluation of prevailing automated pavement condition data collection techniques. The primary objective was to identify potential strategies for enhancing data quality and operational efficiency, drawing insights from various state highway agencies. Furthermore, an in-depth analysis was conducted on historical pavement condition data obtained from 25 districts and three distinct pavement types spanning a period of four years. This analysis involved statistical examinations of key influencing factors, assessments of accuracy and precision utilizing diverse methodologies, and individual distress evaluations across different pavement types. Additionally, the researchers delved into the utilization of stratified sampling methods to optimize the data quality audits, particularly in scenarios characterized by significant variability among population units. Subsequently, a set of data quality assurance indexes were chosen, thresholds were established, and procedures were developed to facilitate the consistent assessment of pavement condition data quality. A pilot study conducted in the San Antonio district served to validate the proposed data quality assurance framework, showcasing its efficacy in pinpointing sections with potential data quality issues. To further validate the suggested data quality thresholds and procedures, a raw image inspection of the roadway sections was carried out.

What They Found

The research endeavor resulted in several key findings that illuminated the challenges and opportunities surrounding automated pavement condition data collection methods in highway infrastructure management. The investigation uncovered that existing

Research Performed by:
Texas State University

Research Supervisor:
Feng Wang, Texas State University

Researchers:
Xiaohua Luo
Jueqiang Tao
Gauri Ravikant Mahajan
Asmain Faieq

Project Completed:
08-31-2024

practices face challenges such as inconsistency and false positives, impacting data validation processes and resulting in accuracies and precisions below the desired thresholds. While AI technologies hold promise, challenges such as data pre-processing requirements impede advancement. Manual validation remains essential when highway agencies employ vendors for data collection. It is imperative to establish quality assurance protocols and transparent benchmarks to improve operational efficiency and ensure data reliability.

The detailed analysis of historical pavement condition data across 25 districts and three pavement types over four years provided valuable insights, uncovering variations in distress scores and indicating paths for additional exploration. Accuracy and precision analyses clarified the importance of these metrics in interpreting pavement condition data for informed decision-making.

Employing stratified sampling methods in data quality audits was emphasized for scenarios with high variability among population units, ensuring representativeness of the population structure. Establishing data consistency check thresholds was crucial, with accuracy checks comparing automated data with audit data within the same fiscal year and precision analyses focusing on disparities across consecutive years. A pilot study in the San Antonio district validated the proposed data quality assurance approach, effectively identifying data quality concerns within pavement condition data.

What This Means

The Texas Department of Transportation's efforts to enhance data quality in automated pavement condition data collection have revealed significant challenges and opportunities. Despite advancements in technology, concerns remain regarding the accuracy and precision of the collected data. Through in-depth research and analysis of historical pavement condition data, researchers identified shortcomings in existing data validation methods, emphasizing the need for robust quality assurance protocols. The study highlighted issues such as inconsistency, false positives, and the importance of establishing clear benchmarks for data reliability. By implementing stratified sampling methods and developing data consistency check thresholds, the researchers demonstrated the effectiveness of a data quality assurance framework in pinpointing areas of concern within pavement condition data.

For More Information

Project Manager:
Jade Adediwura, RTI (512) 486-5061

Research Supervisor:
Feng Wang, TXST, f_w34@txstate.edu

Project Monitoring Committee Members:
Jenny Li, Diana Rogerio, Matthew Rogers, Soojun Ha, Aldo Madrid, and Hui Wu

Research and Technology Implementation Division
Texas Department of Transportation
125 E. 11th Street
Austin, TX 78701-2483

www.txdot.gov
Keyword: Research

Technical reports when published are available at
https://library.ctr.utexas.edu.

This research was sponsored by the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration. The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented here. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view or policies of FHWA or TxDOT. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it intended for construction, bidding, or permit purposes. Trade names were used solely for information and not for product endorsement.