TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.			
FHWA/TX-04/0-4396-P2					
4. Title and Subtitle Best Practices for Pavement Edge Maintenance, District Survey		5. Report Date May 2004			
		6. Performing Organization Code			
7. Author(s) William D. Lawson, P.E., M. Shabbir	· Hossain, Ph.D., P.E.	8. Performing Organization Report Product 0-4396-P2			
9. Performing Organization Name and A Texas Tech University Department of Civil Engineering	10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)				
Box 41023 Lubbock, Texas 79409-1023	11. Contract or Grant No. 0-4396				
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Texas Department of Transportation Research and Technology Implementation Office P.O. Box 5080 Austin, TX 78763-5080		13. Type of Report and Period Covered: Product, 9/1/2001 through 8/31/2003			
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code			
15. Supplementary Notes					
accomplished by capturing over 3700 years of TxDOT. The research focuses on maintenant The key factors causing edge drop-offs are not conditions. <i>Tracy's Law</i> , "If you lose the edge emphasizes that good edge maintenance strated District cannot achieve good roads. Edge maintenance, and edge repair techniques. Roultimate practice for long-term treatment of emanufactured equipment specifically dealing	and to effectively communicate best practices for institutional knowledge from maintenance leader practices for naturally-occurring edge droped arrow road width/ absence of shoulders, traffic ge, you lose the road," provides a key perspectively is not only important in achieving good road intenance practices and procedures fall into the boad widening — both in-house using TxDOT for edge problems. Districts use conventional, in-house with pavement edge maintenance to address the prement edge maintenance, several tools are used.	aders representing all 25 Districts of offs with an emphasis on low-volume roads. volume/type, and adverse environmental ve on meeting these challenges and ads, but without good edge maintenance, a ree broad categories: awareness, preventive rees and by formal contract – emerged as the ouse-modified, and commerciallyne edge drop-off issue. Due to the			
17. Key Words Pavement Maintenance, Edge Drop-off, Maintenance, Edge Repair, Best Practices, F Widening, Equipment, Planning, Materials, S	Shoulders, Preventive M Road System, Road	bution Statement			
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages 22. Price 725			

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

BEST PRACTICES FOR PAVEMENT EDGE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT SURVEY

by

William D. Lawson, P.E. And M. Shabbir Hossain, Ph.D., P.E.

Product Number 0-4396-P2
Project Number 0-4396
Project Title: Improving Edge Repair and Stabilization

conducted for the

Texas Department of Transportation in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

by the

CENTER FOR MULTIDISCIIPLINARY RESEARCH IN TRANSPORTATION
TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY

May 2004

AUTHOR'S DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view of policies of the Texas Department of Transportation or the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

PATENT DISCLAIMER

There was no invention or discovery conceived or first actually reduced to practice in the course of or under this contract, including any art, method, process, machine, manufacture, design or composition of matter, or any new useful improvement thereof, or any variety of plant which is or may be patentable under the patent laws of the United States of America or any foreign country.

ENGINEERING DISCLAIMER

Not intended for construction, bidding, or permit purposes.

TRADE NAMES AND MANUFACTURERS' NAMES

The United States Government and the State of Texas do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

Prepared in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation and the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.

Implementation Statement

This project identified best practices for pavement edge maintenance by capturing over 3700 years of institutional knowledge from TxDOT maintenance leaders. These best practices for pavement edge maintenance were communicated to over 500 TxDOT maintenance personnel through a series of half-day, regional training workshops covering the entire State. This initial training effort can be implemented more widely and deeply within TxDOT through on-going training and follow-on research efforts.

-	
<	
_	
_	

SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS									
	APPROXIMATE CO	ONVERSIONS TO	SI UNITS			APPROXIMATE CO	NVERSIONS F	ROM SI UNITS	
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol	Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
		LENGTH					LENGTH	_	
in ft	inches feet	25.4 0.305	millimeters meters	mm m	mm m	millimeters meters	0.039 3.28	inches feet	in ft
yđ mi	yards miles	0.914 1.61	meters kilometers	m km	m km	meters kilometers	1.09 0.621	yards miles	yd mi
		AREA					AREA		
in² ft² yd² ac mi²	square inches square feet square yards acres square miles	645.2 0.093 0.836 0.405 2.59 VOLUME	square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers	mm² m² m² ha km²	mm² m² m² ha km²	square millimeters square meters square meters hectares square kilometers	0.0016 10.764 1.195 2.47 0.386 VOLUME	square inches square feet square yards acres square miles	in² ft² yd² ac mi²
fioz gal ft ^o yo ^c	fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards	29.57 3.785 0.028 0.765	milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters	mL L m³	mL L m³ m³	milliliters liters cubic meters cubic meters	0.034 0.264 35.71 1.307	fluid ounces gallons cubic feet cubic yards	floz gal ft³ yd³
NOTE: \	Volumes greater than 10	00 I shall be shown in	m³.				MASS		
oz lb T	ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb)	28.35 0.454 0.907	grams kilograms megagrams (or "metric ton")	g kg Mg (or "t")	g kg Mg (or "t")	grams kilograms megagrams (or "metric ton")	0.035 2.202 1.103	ounces pounds short tons (2000	oz Ib Ib) T
	TEMPE	RATURE (exact)	(or metric ton)	(Or t)	(0, 1)	•	ERATURE (exa	ct)	
۰F	Fahrenheit temperature	5(F-32)/9 or (F-32)/1.8	Celcius temperature	°C	°C	Celcius temperature	1.8C + 32	Fahrenheit temperature	°F
	ILLUMINATION ILLUMINATION								
fc fl	foot-candles foot-Lamberts	10.76 3.426	lux candela/m²	lx cd/m²	lx cd/m²	lux candela/m²	0.0929 0.2919	foot-candles foot-Lamberts	fc fl
	FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS		FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS						
lbf lbf/in²	poundforce poundforce per square inch	4.45 6.89	newtons kilopascals	N kPa	N kPa	newtons kilopascals	0.225 0.145	poundforce poundforce per square inch	lbf lbf/in²

TABLE OF CONTENTS

O1/PAR	ParisTab 1 Profile Exhibit 1: Photos
02/FTW	Ft. Worth
03/WFS	Wichita Falls
04/AMA	Amarillo
05/LBB	Lubbock
06/ODA	Odessa
07/SJT	San Angelo
08/ABL	Abilene
09/WAC	WacoTab 9 Profile Exhibit 1: Photos Exhibit 2: One Year Plan

10/TYL	TylerTab 10 Profile Enclished 1. Photos
	Exhibit 1: Photos Exhibit 2: Product Information
	Exhibit 3: 1990 Maintenance Specification
11/LFK	LufkinTab 11
	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2: Plan Sheet
12/HO U	HoustonTab 12
	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2. Sample Road Widening Plan
13/YKM	YoakumTab 13
	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
14/AUS	AustinTab 14
	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2: Special Uses of Pavement Markings
	Exhibit 3: Typical Section, Pavement Edge Repair Detail
15/SAT	San AntonioTab 15
	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2: TxDOT Maintenance Manual, Part 2, Maintenance Operations, Pages 2-89 to 2-93
	Exhibit 3: Specifications for Edge Repair
	Exhibit 4: Typical Cross Section for Road Widening
16/CRP	Corpus ChristiTab 16
10/CIXI	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2: Moon Paver Manufacturer's Info
17/BRY	BryanTab 17
.,	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2: Bryan District's PEERs Program
	Exhibit 3: Huntsville Area Office Edge Repair Specifications

18/DAL	DallasTab 18 Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2: Plans of Proposed State Highway Improvements:
	Navarro County, Texas
19/ATL	AtlantaTab 19
	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2: Special Specification 7322
20/BMT	BeaumontTab 20
	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
21/PHR	PharrTab 21
	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2: Upgrade Pavements Less than 24' Spreadsheet
	Exhibit 3: Tyler District Edge Repair Specification
22/LRD	LaredoTab 22
	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2: Laredo District TxMAP Rating Form
23/BWD	BrownwoodTab 23
	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2: Risk Management to Reduce Tort Liability
	Exhibit 3: Road Widening, Typical Section
	Exhibit 4: HTC Model 1200 & Model 1800 Conveyors – Fact Sheet
	Exhibit 5: Plan Sheet and Roadway Design Manual guidelines
24/ELP	El PasoTab 24
	Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2: Product Information Texas Soil Control, Inc., magnesium chloride solution
25/CHS	ChildressTab 25 Profile
	Exhibit 1: Photos
	Exhibit 2: Childress District Narrow Road Widening Plan