# мs-4235 TTS

3.12

Evaluation of Motorcycle Safety Helmet Usage Law

Prepared By Human Factors Division Texas Transportation Institute Texas A&M University

Under Contract To The Texas Office of Traffic Safety Austin, Texas

December 1978



Evaluation of Motorcycle Safety Helmet Usage Law

Final Report

Texas Traffic Safety Program Contract IAC 78-08-36-A-1-AA

> Dr. Myron Koehler Principal Investigator

Driver Performance Program Human Factors Division Texas Transportation Institute Texas A&M University College Station, Texas

December, 1978

#### Acknowledgments

This research study required the cooperation of numerous and varied agencies as well as the individual cooperation of motorcyclists throughout Texas. The excellent cooperation and assistance received in amassing the data base are gratefully acknowledged, especially, Texas Office of Traffic Safety; Texas Department of Public Safety; Parkland Hospital (Dallas); Memorial Hospital System (Houston); Medical Examiners of Dallas and Harris counties; researchers of similar studies in South Dakota, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Colorado; and the hundreds of motorcycle riders who responded to the rider questionnaire.

A special word of appreciation goes to M.U. Ferrari, Contract Technical Manager; Dodie Kubecka (Memorial Hospital) and Patsy Anderson (Parkland); Julia Fryon (Harris County coroner's office) and Mildred O'Nell (Dallas County coroner's office); and Jim McGee of the Department of Public Safety.

#### Project Staff

Dr. Myron Koehler - Principal Investigator and Author Curtis Goode - Project Staff and Co-Author DeAnn Cisco - Project Staff Melody Prihoda - Project Staff Martha McKemie - Project Staff Donna Sexton - Project Staff Jean Harpst - Project Secretary ii

#### Foreword

One of the serious problems in traffic safety faced by the State of Texas is that of the fatalities and incapacitating injuries of the motorcyclists, particularly following the enactment of S.B. 198 which minimized the motorcycle helmet usage law to a permissive status. Cyclists have become quite vocal about governmental interference with a freedom of choice concerning helmet usage and strongly supported this position in spite of repeated research findings favoring helmet usage. For this reason it became necessary to research the matter and present the facts.

The Driver Performance Program of the Human Factors Division of the Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, in cooperation with the Texas Office of Traffic Safety, has developed and conducted an extensive and intensive research on evaluating the impact of the change in the motorcycle helmet usage law. The study was concerned with the trends in motorcycle accidents, research underway in other states, a pre-/post-law change analysis of Texas data, and an indepth study in urban areas. The multiplesource data collection assured the most complete and accurate data amassed to date on motorcycle helmet usage in the State of Texas.

iii

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This project was an evaluation of the impact that Texas S.B. 198 (modified motorcycle helmet use law) had on motorcycle accidents, injury severities, and fatalities in Texas. The three data sources--motorcycle accident records, medical data on motorcycle accident victims, and rider questionnaires from accident victims--were analyzed.

#### Motorcycle Accident Records

All accidents records from August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (pre-law change) and from August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (post-law change) were evaluated. There were 10,116 motorcycle accidents during the pre period and 10,651 accidents during the post period — a 5.3% increase. Males represented over 95% of all victims and the preponderance of them were from 18 to 25 years of age. As helmet usage decreased, severe injuries and fatalities increased. During the pre period 5.2% of the injury/fatality victims were unhelmeted, while in the post period 51.9% of the victims were unhelmeted. Roughly 62% of motorcycle accidents occurred in urban areas for both periods.

The analyses (Chi Square and Ridit Analysis) indicated that post-law change accident injuries had a 52% probability of being more severe than the pre-law injuries. Similar analyses indicated that the unhelmeted cyclist had a 55% probability of suffering more severe injuries than the helmeted cyclist. Using Chi Square, Odds Ratio and Relative Difference Tests to determine difference in fatality experiences, the following statements can be made:

- . The unhelmeted rider was 2.5 times more likely to die than the helmeted rider
- . The unhelmeted cyclist was 1.41 times more likely to sustain an incapacitating injury or die than the helmeted one

i٧

- . The unhelmeted rider was 1.83 times more likely to sustain a head injury that was fatal than the helmeted rider
- . The unhelmeted cyclist was 2.67 times more likely to sustain a head injury that was either incapacitating or fatal than the helmeted one.

#### Medical Data on Motorcycle Accident Victims

From hospital/coroner data, the head and neck injuries were the most severe for all cases---pre and post as well as in both locations, Dallas and Houston. The number of cases who were "treated and released" was lower for the post period which suggests more severe injuries were sustained. Also the number of "dead on arrival" for the post period were more than doubled that of the pre period. Cost of accident figures increased by 63% for Parkland Hospital cases and 44% for Memorial Hospital cases.

#### Rider Questionnaire Data on Motorcycle Accident Victims

From rider questionnaires, it was determined that the exposure factor consisted of local and cross country riding which accounted for the vast majority of riding and that the number of riders during the post period increased substantially. Further, nearly half of the cyclist were riding 6+ days per week with most of it occurring in urban areas during daylight hours in all types of weather. The "before and after accident" helmet usage decreased during the pre period, but increased 75.3% during the post period. Even though only 1 of 5 questionnaire respondents recommended helmet usage for everyone, nearly 3 of 5 favored a required helmet usage law.

The results of this study demonstrate the increased risk motorcycle riders face when they choose to ride without protective helmets.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

•

Title Page	i
Acknowledgments	ii
Foreword	iii
Executive Summary	iv
Table of Contents	vi
Chapter 1. Overview	1
References	4
Chapter 2. Description of Research Procedures	5
Task Descriptions	6
Chapter 3. Data Collection and Analysis	11
Phase I	11
Traffic Count Data	13
Phase II	15
Statewide Frequency Tabulation	16
Hospital/Coroner Data	18
Motorcycle Rider Questionnaire	19
Data Analysis	21
Chapter 4. Conclusions and Recommendations	27
Appendix	28

#### CHAPTER 1. OVERVIEW

Motorcycling has become one of the more popular modes of transportation in the United States today. The National Safety Council (1)\* indicated an increase of over 900% in motorcycle registrations in this country from 1961 to 1975. The Motorcycle Industry Council, Inc. (2) estimates that today. there are 8 million motorcycles in use by 20 million people in this country. Cycles have an attractive appeal as a recreation vehicle as well as an economical mode of transportation. This increased popularity of motorcycles is most likely attributable to their low initial cost, their attraction as a pleasure vehicle, and for some models the high gasoline mileage.

The enactment of the Federal Highway Safety Act of 1966 resulted in 13 highway safety standards being issued in June, 1967 (3); one of these standards provided that motorcycle operators and passengers wear approved safety helmets. To assure enforcement of the standard, the Department of Transportation was authorized to withhold federal highway safety funds if states did not enforce the standard (3). As a result, the cycle fatality rate dropped from 11.7 deaths per 10 thousand registered cycles in 1966 to 6.4 deaths per 10 thousand registered cycles in 1974 — a reduction of 55% in eight years (5). However, in 1975 Rep. Stewart McKinney (Rep., Conn.) introduced federal legislation to remove the penalty clause on highway safety funds, thus allowing repeal of the state laws requiring motorcycle helmet usage (4). By 1978, 22 states had repealed their mandatory helmet use laws (6). According to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (6),

\*Numbers in parentheses designate references at the end of the chapter.

**4,082 cyclists were killed in traffic accidents in 1977.** This represents **an increase of 770 over the number of motorcycle fatalities in 1976,** an **increase of 23% in one year.** 

The United Services Automobile Association (5) indicated that the ratio of motorcycle-to-automobile registrations increased from 1-to-130 in 1960 to 1-to-28 in 1978 — a startling increase of 464%. Meanwhile the cycle-to-auto fatality rate changed from 1-to-40 in 1960 to 1-to-12 today — an increase of 333%. The absolute death rate increased, but the relative rate decreased due to the eight years of mandatory motorcycle helmet usage laws.

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (7) estimated annual motorcycle accident injuries at over 350,000 with many of these resulting in permanent disabilities. Death and injury rates are attributable to increased number of cyclists and increased mileage traveled since National registration rose a mere 1% from 1976 to 1977 (7). However, the most significant factor contributing to death and injury rates is the repeal of mandatory helmet use laws (8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14). For example, considering a like number of cyclists in Illinois and Michigan, motorcycle fatality or serious head injuries in Illinois (no helmet usage required) is three times greater than in Michigan where helmet usage is required (7).

The Motorcycle Industry Council (2) indicated that there were 7,925,600 motorcycles in use in the United States in 1977. Of this number, 44.4% were on-highway models, 22.1% were off-highway models, and 33.5% were dual usage models. Comparing these figures with the 1976 percentages, the dual purpose percentage decreased while both off- and on-highway model percentages increased. Another important trend is the increase in engine displacement, i.e., on-highway models (750cc and over) have increased 3.4%

the past year and off-highway models (125 to 350cc) have increased 2.4% over the last year (2).

The motorcycle population for the United States was 3.7 cycles per 100 persons in 1977. Texas' cycle population is identical to the National average even though the number of motorcycle registrations in Texas in 1977 was exceeded by only one state, California (2).

Even though the Motorcycle Industry Council (2) estimates that 58% of all motorcycles used on the street in 1977 were at some time used to commute to work or school, nearly all motorcycles used on the street were used for purposes other than commuting. However, trips tend to be relatively short — 61% were used for trips under two miles, 56% for trips from two to ten miles, and 38% for trips over ten miles (2). Motorcycles used on the street were regularly ridden an average of eight months a year on a nationwide basis; however, in the West and the South, motorcycles were used year around.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. <u>Accident Facts</u>, 1976 Edition. Chicago, Illinois: National Safety Council, 1976.
- 2. <u>1978 Motorcycle Statistical Annual</u>. Newport Beach, California: Motorcycle Industry Council, Inc., 1978.
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_. Highway Safety Act of 1966 (P. L. 89-564), Washington, D. C.: U. S. Congress, 1966.
- 4. \_\_\_\_\_. Highway Safety Act of 1976 (P. L. 94-280), Washington, D. C.: U. S. Congress, 1976.
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_. "The Odds Grow Shorter," <u>Aide</u>. San Antonio, Texas: United Services Automobile Association Insurance, Summer, 1978.
- Office of Public Affairs. <u>News Release for June 29, 1978</u>. Washington, D. C.: U. S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, June 1978.
- 7. \_\_\_\_\_. <u>Fact Sheet</u>. Washington, D. C.: Department of Transportation, Office of Public Affairs, Undated.
- 8. Carraro, Barbara. "A Look at Motorcycle Accidents in 1976," <u>Traffic</u> Safety. Chicago, Illinois: National Safety Council, January, 1978.
- 9. "Minnesota DPS Urges Reinstatement of Motorcycle Helmet Use Law," Traffic Safety. Chicago, Illinois: National Safety Council, April, 1978.
- Armstrong, Ed, et el. "The Pro and Con of Motorcycle Helmet Laws," <u>Traffic Safety</u>. Chicago, Illinois: National Safety Council, April, 1976.
- Hames, Lee N. and Elaine A. Petrucelli. "AMA Report Tells ... What the Experts Think About Motorcycle Helmets," <u>Traffic Safety</u>. Chicago, Illinois: National Safety Council, September, 1977.
- 12. Lummis, Michael, et el. <u>Impact of Motorcycle Helmet Law Repeal</u>. Kansas City, Kansas: University of Kansas Medical Center, Undated.
- 13. <u>A Preliminary Estimate of the Impact of Revision of the South</u> Dakota Motorcycle Helmet Law (SDGL 32-20-4) on Cyclist Helmet Use and Accident Caused Personal Injury. Vermillion, South Dakota: Human Factors Laboratory, University of South Dakota, November, 1977.
- 14. Koehler, Myron. "An Evaluation of the Motorcycle Law Changes in Texas," <u>Texas Transportation Researcher</u>. College Station, Texas: Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, July. 1978.

#### CHAPTER 2. DESCRIPTION OF RESEARCH PROCEDURES

The Office of Traffic Safety contracted with the Texas Transportation Institute to conduct a 13-month, 2-phase study of motorcycle accidents consisting of eleven tasks in Phase I and four tasks in Phase II. Since the effective date of S. B. 198 was August 29, 1977, Phase I of the study pertained to the evaluation of the mandatory helmet usage period (August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977) which will be the pre-law change period. Phase II dealt with the evaluation of the post-law change period (August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978).

This study employed a multi-data collection approach, i.e., 1) all motorcycle-involved accident data for the pre and post periods as maintained by the Texas Department of Public Safety; 2) winter and summer traffic mix data from Houston, Dallas, San Antonio, and Bryan/College Station as compiled by the research staff from traffic counts; 3) pre and post injury and fatality data as collected by the research staff at hospitals and coroner offices in Dallas and Harris counties; and 4) motorcycle rider questionnaire data for the pre and post periods from accident victims in Dallas and Harris counties. Additional data concerning vehicle registration, licensed operators, accident occurrences, injuries, and fatalities were ascertained from the Texas Department of Public Safety and the State Department of Highways and Public Transportation to establish trends and comparisons.

Because analyses involved the pre and post periods, it became necessary to determine compatibility of the two groups so that inferences could be made between groups as well as within groups. Number of registered vehicles was used in preference to licensed operators inasmuch as each vehicle has a unique registration while a licensed operator has but one driver license number regardless of the various types of licenses for which the person qualifies or the endorsements on that license. Also, it was determined that age group comparisons could be made on pooled data since the number of females in the study were too small for statistical comparisons. Additionally, trends were established on the rate of accident involvement, injury rate, and fatality rate for both motor vehicles and motorcycles. Further explanations will be provided in the discussion of the analyses.

The study addressed various aspects that can be employed in a motorcycle safety effort. For example from an enforcement point of view, high accident locations and seasonal as well as time of week and day occurrences have been identified; thus, corrective or preventive countermeasures may be implemented. From an educational point of view, various factual material may be incorporated into educational programs both for motorcyclists and motor vehicle operators.

#### Task Descriptions.

For Task 1, it was necessary to obtain from the Department of Public Safety a computerized tape of all the motorcycle-involved accidents in Texas

for the period of August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (pre-law change period). This accident data was formatted in three separate forms, each with a specific purpose. The first part of the format contained data for those accidents which occurred either in cities that participated in urban projects or in rural areas or cities that did not participate in urban projects. The second part of the format provided information on all the people and vehicles involved in the accident. While the third part of the format supplied data on the casualties and occupants of the vehicles that were involved in the accident.

Since the computerized accident data was maintained without name and mailing address, it was necessary to obtain face copies of the motorcycleinvolved accidents which occurred in Dallas and Harris counties during the pre-law change period in order to ascertain names and addresses for mailing rider questionnaires and to provide the hospital/coroner office with proper identification of injured and fatality cases. Once the face copies of accident reports were received, postal zip codes had to be found and data was then entered into the computer for mailing labels, questionnaire identification labels, and hospital/coroner office lists.

Task 2 dealt with traffic counts in Dallas, Houston, San Antonio, and Bryan/College Station to ascertain traffic mix and helmet usage in various size towns and different geographic areas. Traffic counts were conducted during the winter season and the late spring to allow for seasonal effect on motorcycle riding. Data collected consisted of the total traffic flow, number of motorcycles in the flow, number of helmeted and unhelmeted motorcycle operators. Various traffic locations were selected to provide information relative to user patterns. Data collected included such information as: location, day of week, time of day, duration

of collection period, traffic volume, weather and roadway conditions.

Task 3 provided a third source of information which came from other researchers involved in motorcycle studies in Kansas, South Dakota, Colorado, and Oklahoma. Primary concern was in the methodology employed, type of data collected, and statistical analyses used to analyze the data. Areas of concern were the effect of helmet law repeal on helmet usage, severity of injuries sustained, cause of fatalities, and economic impact of these accidents. Assistance was also sought in direction, research methodology, and statistical analyses.

Task 4 dealt with the development of a plan to analyze the data. Many data sources were considered in formulation of an analysis plan. Data were established for compatibility tests as well as frequencies on accidents by age group, helmeted versus unhelmeted, injury severity, fatalities, color of garments, etc.

Task 5 was to write a computer program to accomplish the plans from Task 4. A sample of the data was used to debug the computer program and to review the output. Frequency tabulations were prepared to permit a decision on the analyses to be employed.

Task 6 involved the analyses of data for the pre-law change period. Data analyses developed trends for the past several years and permitted the staff to project anticipated data on registration of motorcycles and motor vehicles, number of accidents, number of injuries, and number of fatalities for both types of vehicles. Also, information was isolated for the purpose of determining compatability of the pre- and post-law change periods. The traffic mix data were merged with other data to provide a more realistic situation. The analyses will be discussed in full detail in the next chapter.

For Task 7 lists of people involved in motorcycle accidents in Dallas and Harris counties during the pre-law change period were prepared for the hospitals and coroner's offices to help in the positive identification of subjects' medical records. Research staff members, along with personnel from the respective hospitals and coroner's offices, reviewed the medical records which permitted the collection of more specific information on injuries and severity of injuries. In fatality cases, the medical examiner's records were perused to obtain causal data. Injury data collected pertained to the body region and aspect of the injury, the lesion and body organ or system affected by the injury, and the abbreviated injury scale for the particular injury. Data were collected on as many as five injuries to one person involved in the accident. Additional data consisted of the age and sex, total number of injuries sustained, the overall abbreviated injury scale, the injury severity (computed), hospital disposition, duration of hospitalization, and total cost of injuries.

For Task 8 the rider questionnaire and letter (see Appendix, pp. A1-A3 for copies) was mailed to all motorcycle-involved subjects in Dallas and Harris counties for whom mailing addresses were obtainable. Data collected in this indepth case study effort provided information on motorcycle experience, exposure, type of riding, conditions of riding, size of cycle, protective gear as well as specific information on the accident, injuries, costs, etc., and rider opinion on causation and helmet usage.

In Task 9 an attempt was made to prepare cost data and assess the economic aspects of motorcycle-involved accidents. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's <u>1975 Societal Costs of Motor Vehicle</u> <u>Accidents</u> (NHTSA, December 1976) was used to extrapolate costs and economic aspects of motorcycle accidents.

Societal costs considered by NHTSA include production losses, medical care costs, funeral expenses for fatalities, legal and court costs, insurance administration expenses, accident investigation costs, property and vehicle damages, traffic delay expenses, losses to others, and nonquantifiable costs (suffering, pain, etc.). Economic aspects and/or impacts will include such items as: insurance rates, death rates, injury loss, and property damage.

Additional cost data was collected from the responses on the rider questionnaires.

Task 10 pertained to the preparation of data displays for meaningful information dissemination. Research findings had to be presented in such a manner to lend to accurate interpretation and maximum usage as well as being quickly and easily comprehended. Tabular data is included in the appendix on such aspects as: accident occurrences, severity of injury, age groups, helmeted versus unhelmeted, etc.

Task 11 was to draft and submit an interim report for Phase I; however, this task was deleted with a contract change notice and Phase II was expanded to eight tasks including a report to the legislature. Tasks in Phase II paralleled Tasks 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 of Phase I. The change was made so more complete data was collected in Phase II and that better comparisons were possible with Phase I data.

#### CHAPTER 3. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

Data collection for this research study was approached from multiple sources to ascertain the most complete and correct data base currently available for motorcycle-involved accidents. The primary objective of the study was to evaluate the impact of the permissive motorcycle helmet use law (S.B. 198), thus pre and post comparisons of helmeted versus unhelmeted cyclists who were involved in motorcycle accidents in Texas during the periods of August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (pre-law change) and August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (post-law change).

#### Phase I.

The initial effort for the pre-law change period was to obtain a computer tape from the Texas Department of Public Safety. This tape contained accident data on all motorcyclists in Texas who were involved in some type motorcycle accident during August 29, 1976 and August 28, 1977. Inasmuch as the computerized accident data maintained by the Department of Public Safety does not contain the name and mailing address of the person involved, it became necessary to procure copies of the original accident reports on all accidents which occurred in Dallas and Harris counties. The latter information was used for the indepth portion of the study.

While the computer tape was producing various frequency tabulation data, the research staff had to look up postal zip codes for the subjects of the indepth study. The names, addresses, and zip codes were input into the computer to make lists to be used at the respective hospitals and coroner's offices for positive identification of subjects. Also, the computer printed mailing labels and identification labels for the rider questionnaires.

After the hospital and coroner's office personnel had an opportunity to identify pertinent records, the research staff visited each location to encode the medical data (see Appendix, pp. A4-A14 for coding sheet and instructions). Of the 1,150 accidents which occurred in Dallas county during the pre-law change period, 88 (8%) were established at Parkland Hospital for the study and 19 (95%) of the 20 fatalities were identified at the Medical Examiner's Office. In Harris county there were 1,175 accidents during the pre-law change period and 67 (6%) were identified in the three Memorial Hospital System facilities and 15 (71%) of the 21 fatalities were located at the Coroner's office. Initial plans were to use Ben Taub Hospital in Houston to provide greater compatibility (both Parkland and Ben Taub are county owned hospitals). However, at the last moment the requirements for data collection at Ben Taub became so encumbered that it diminished the possibility of adequate returns; therefore, an alternative course was to use Memorial Hospital Systems in Houston.

Once the hospital lists were printed the research staff prepared the rider questionnaires for mailing. There were 1,150 questionnaires mailed for the Dallas county accidents and 1,175 for the Harris county accidents. Of these, 3 were returned from Dallas county and 4 from Harris county for some type addressing problem or unclaimed mail. From the remaining 1,147 questionnaires in Dallas county, 169 (15%) completed questionnaires were returned. The remaining 1,171 questionnaires from Harris county netted 171 (15%) completed returns.

Another source of information which provided direction to the study was the advice and materials provided by Dr. Vern Ellingstad of Vermillion, South Dakota; Mr. Michael Lummis of Kansas City, Kansas; Mr. Ray Bays of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; and Mr. Larry Karsten of Denver, Colorado. These people were involved in similar motorcycle helmet usage studies in the respective States for the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

The final data source was the traffic counts conducted in Dallas, Houston, San Antonio, and Bryan/College Station. The traffic counts provided data on traffic mix, volume, helmeted and unhelmeted cyclists, as well as time, conditions, and location of the counts. The research staff conducted the counts in Bryan/College Station, while the data in other locations was collected by other teams within the Texas Transportation Institute who were conducting traffic counts for other studies. The results of this data collection did not produce the most compatable conditions for comparison purposes; however, it did achieve the objective of ascertaining traffic mix and helmet usage. Another approach to traffic mix was to compare the total number of registered motorcycles in the State with the total number of other registered vehicles.

Supplemental data on vehicle and motorcycle registrations, accidents, injuries, fatalities, and licensed operators were obtained from the Texas State Department of Highways and Public Transportation and the Texas Department of Public Safety. These data permitted trends to be established and projections to be made for ensuing years.

#### Traffic Count Data.

From the traffic counts (see Appendix, pp. A15-A28) a consolidation was prepared and is presented on the next page. During winter, motorcycles accounted for a mere 0.23% of the total volume; however, 80.5% wore helmets. As the season became warmer, motorcycle mix increased by 2.6 times the winter mix, but helmet usage dropped to 64.4%. Comparing these statistics

Town	Fall/Winter			Spring/Summer		
	Total Vehicles	Motorcycles	Helmeted	Total Vehicles	Motorcycles	Helmeted
Bryan/College Station Dallas Houston San Antonio	104,693 - 173,033 11,626	359(0.34)+ 263(0.15)+ 45(3.87)+	303(84.4)+ - 192(73.0)+ 42(93.3)+	107,874 2,337 52,160 2,047	855(0.79)+ 7(0.30)+ 121(0.23)+ 6(0.29)+	562(65.7)+ 33(42.9)+ 67(55.4)+ 5(83.3)+
Total	289,352	667(0.23)+	537(80.5)+	164,418	989(0.60)+	637(64.4)+

# Table 1. Traffic Count Data by Location and Season

+ (percentage)

with the statewide motorcycle-to-vehicle registrations, motorcycles represent 2.9% of the mix.

#### Phase II.

A computerized motorcycle-involved accident data tape for the postlaw change period (August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978) was obtained from the Department of Public Safety along with copies of the original accident reports for Dallas and Harris counties. While the computer was compiling frequency tabulation tables, the research staff began looking up postal zip codes for subjects included in the indepth study. The names, addresses, and zip codes were input into the computer to make lists to be used by personnel of the respective hospitals and medical examiner's offices for positive identification of subjects. The computer also printed mailing labels and identification labels for the rider questionnaires.

Hospital and coroner's office personnel identified pertinent records prior to the research staff visit to expedite data reduction. Of the 1,227 Dallas county motorcycle accidents during the post-law change period, 126(10%) were located at Parkland Hospital and coded for the study and 31(91%) of the 34 fatalities were identified at the coroner's office. In Harris county there were 1,323 motorcycle-involved accidents during the post-law change period. The Memorial Hospital System personnel located 63(5%) cases for the study and the medical examiner's office identified 28(56%) of the 50 fatality cases. Because many records were being processed and were not ready for the permanent file, a severe loss of cases was experienced. Rider questionnaires were mailed to 1,227 subjects in Dallas County with two returns for unclaimed mail and to 1,323 subjects in Harris County with five returned letters. From the remaining 1,225 questionnaires in Dallas County, 205 (17%) completed returns were received and coded. Of the 1,318 remaining questionnaires in Harris County, 188 (14%) completed questionnaires were returned for coding.

#### Statewide Frequency Tabulation

From the accident frequency tables (see Appendix pp. A29-A32), it became apparent that the motorcycle riding season for the State began in late February and went through October; however, the indepth data revealed that peak accident involvement began approximately a month later and decreased a month earlier in the northern part of the State than it did in the southern part. On a statewide basis, accident occurrence was highest on weekends (Friday through Sunday) while the indepth areas had less variation. Finally, statewide occurrences peaked just before noon and remained high until 10 p.m. on the average. The indepth study areas held relatively close to this pattern.

Reviewing the influence of sex and age on motorcycle accidents, it was obvious that males were credited with the preponderance of accidents (over 97%) and that the age group of 18 to 25 experienced nearly half of all motorcycle accidents. The percentages were relatively consistent for vehicle #1 and vehicle #2. Vehicle #1 was the one considered responsible by the investigating officer or being a greater contributor to the accident, while vehicle #2 was the one considered less responsible by the

investigating officer or being a lesser contributor to the accident. These statistics are consistent with motor vehicle statistics.

Comparing injury severity when helmeted or unhelmeted, the percentage of fatalities and incapacitating injuries for the unhelmeted cyclists consistently exceeded that of the helmeted cyclists. This fact was similar for both vehicle #1 and vehicle #2. The fatality rate, using pooled data, was 1.9 times greater for the unhelmeted cyclists of vehicle #1 and 1.6 times greater for the unhelmeted cyclists of vehicle #2.

Considering color of clothing of motorcyclists involved in accidents and comparing these data with data from <u>A Pilot Study of the Effects of</u> <u>Color of Clothing Upon Pedestrian-Vehicle Accident Probabilities</u> (Corder-Bolz, 1978), it appeared as though cyclists wearing white, yellow, or red clothing decreased their chances of becoming motorcycle accident fatalities (p. A-41). Blue was not considered for two reasons: 1) the effect of light blue was negated by dark blue and 2) blue jeans and denim shirts provide good protective clothing for cyclists thus high percentage of usage as reflected in Tables 8-11 in the Appendix, pp. A37-A40.

Another comparison was helmet usage in urban and rural environments for the pre and post periods (pp. A42-A43). During the pre-law change period, helmet usage among accident victims was higher in the urban areas than rural areas and higher for vehicle #1 cyclists than for vehicle #2. During the post-law change period, helmet usage was higher in urban than rural but cyclists of vehicle #2 had a higher usage rate than those of vehicle #1. Operators of vehicle #2 had a higher helmeted rate than the unhelmeted in the post-law change period while the reverse was true for vehicle #1. Another observation was that accident investigators recorded unknown helmet

usage less frequently in both urban and rural areas during the post-law change period than they did for the pre-law change period.

#### Hospital/Coroner Data.

The indepth portion of the study analyzed injury and fatality data from Dallas and Harris counties for the pre-law change and post-law change periods. The total number of motorcycle-involved accidents in Dallas County for the pre-law change period was 1,150 of which 90 cases (8%) treated at Parkland Hospital were made available for the study, Harris county had 1,175 of which 82 cases (7%) treated at the Memorial Hospitals were located for the study. Post-law change comparisons for Dallas County were 1,227 total and 156(13%) available, while Harris County had 1,323 total and 90(7%) available (see Appendix, pp. A44-A45). Other information included age group, total number of injuries per accident, injury severity index, hospital disposition, duration of hospitalization (pp. A48-A49), and cost of accident.

The first comparisons were the location of the most severe injury by the number of injuries sustained per accident. From these comparisons, it became obvious that head and neck injuries had the highest weighted mean in all cases for pre and post periods in both locations (pp. A46-A47). These comparisons did not consider whether the victims were wearing helmets or not wearing them, that will be treated later.

The next comparisons were hospital disposition. During the pre-law period, Parkland Hospital "treated and released" 42% of its referrals while Memorial Hospitals "treated and released" 72%. For the post-law period, Parkland "treated and released" 44% while Memorial Hospitals "treated and released" 40%. The "dead on arrival" cases for the pre-law change period for Dallas were 6% and for Houston they were 16%; however, during the post-law change period, Dallas' "dead on arrival" increased to 13% while Houston's "dead on arrival" jumped to 33%. These figures tend to suggest that post-law fatalities and injuries were more extensive than the pre-law fatalities and injuries.

A comparison of injury severity by age of motorcyclist (pp. A50-A51) indicated that during the pre period, 46% of the Parkland cases and 44% of the Memorial cases were in the 18 < 25 age group. For the post period, the percentages were 60% and 44%, respectively. This accounts for 50% of the hospital referrals.

The cost by injury severity per accident for Parkland's pre period was \$6,451 while the post period jumped to \$10,250 (63% increase). For Memorial's pre period referrals the average cost per accident was \$3,719 and the post period increased to \$8,416 (44% greater).

The final group of tables relating to hospital injuries (pp. A54-A65) dealt with the five severity categories coded and the body region, aspect, lesion, and organ or system. One finding which became apparent was that head injuries increased for the post period and lower extremity injuries decreased for the post period. Even though these tables did not separate helmeted and unhelmeted (that fact was established in Tables 6 and 7, pp. A35-A36), the evidence suggests that the unprotected head became more vulnerable to injury thus shifting injury location from lower extremities to head.

#### Motorcycle Rider Questionnaire

During the pre-law change period, 2,318 questionnaires were mailed and 340(14.7%) completed returns were received. For the post-law change period, 2,543 questionnaries were mailed and 393(15.5%) completed returns were received. The females represented a mere 2.6% of the total (too small for statistical purposes); therefore, sex of subjects was disregarded. The "18 to 25 years" age group represented 40.7% of the total respondents, while the "less than 18" age group accounted for 21.6% and the "25 to 35 years" age group represented another 23.3%.

Another point of interest was that roughly 46% of the respondents were riding 750cc and larger engine displacement motorcycles. This bears out the trend of cycle ownership moving towards larger cycles as indicated by the Motorcycle Industry Council.

It is also interesting to note that the preponderance of riders surveyed indicated they wore helmets, eye protection, and boots, in that order. This was consistent for both urban areas in the pre and post responses under normal riding conditions as well as after their accident. The number of respondents who indicated they wore a helmet before the law changed was extremely high; however, they apparently did not wear the helmet consistently, since the pre-law change usage was 88.4% compared to 75.9% for the post-law period. Further, the usage was far less for cyclists 18 years of age or older, i.e., 61.9% for pre and 50.9% for post (a drop of at least 25% in each case).

Another important aspect of this survey was rider opinion of primary and secondary causes of the accident, i.e., primary causes were failure to yield right of way, rider error, and not seen by other driver, while secondary causes were not seen by other driver, failure to yield right of way, failure to maintain control, and rider error.

The number of riders who admitted to injury was 34.6% for the pre period as opposed to 91.9% for the post period, given a 36% increase in the number of riders in the post period. The cyclists receiving first aid at the scene increased by 61.5% in the post-law change period, while those who received first aid at the hospital increased by 41.2% in the post period. The number hospitalized during the post period was 26% greater than that for the pre

period. These statistics were reinforced by average cost of accident — the pre period average was \$3,880 while the post period increased to \$4,112 (6% increase).

The before and after accident helmet usage decreased during the pre-law change but increased during the post-law change. What was even more impressive was the 75.3% increase post over pre in helmet usage after the accident. This might suggest that "doubting Thomases" about helmet usage were even more convinced of helmet benefit after they experienced an accident.

Then what did riders say about wearing helmets? During the pre period 71.2% favored helmet usage as opposed to 72.8% during the post — a very slight increase. The opposition was a bit more decisive — 7.4% pre and 10.5% post. The difference came in those who were indifferent — pre 21.4% as opposed to 16.7% post (a 22% decrease). Yet when asked if they recommended helmet usage for everyone, only 19.6% agreed with 20.7% agreeing during the pre and 18.7% agreeing during the post. However, the most significant item was that riders favored a required helmet law, i.e., 59.5% favored the required helmet law during the post-law change period and 56.7% favored the required helmet law during the post-law change period (pp. A66-A68).

#### Data Analysis

Through the use of mean differences for number of registered vehicles, accidents, injuries, and fatalities, the respective items were projected for 1978 for both motor vehicles and motorcycles. Due to a sizable surge in motorcycle data during 1977 over previous years, the 1977 motorcycle figures reflect higher than or equal to the 1978 projected rates for motorcycles; however, motor vehicle rates remained relatively consistent with prior years (see Appendix, p. A69).

The computer tapes from the Department of Public Safety provided motorcycle-involved accident data for the pre- and post-law change periods. Each accident was treated as an observation and only two logical records, A and B, were utilized for data purposes. From these two working data sets, the data were formatted into a form amenable to tabular or graphical display and statistical analysis.

The data from the pre-law change period were not significantly different from the post-law change period when sex and age group parameters were compared. The distributions for these parameters were relatively similar for statewide data compared to the two urban areas used for the indepth study (see Appendix, pp. A33-A34); thus comparisons between and within data sets were possible.

The analysis evaluated the impact of the motorcycle helmet use law change by addressing the following questions (data included all motorcycle-involved accidents in Texas during the pre- and post-law change periods):

- Did motorcycle-involved accident victims suffer more severe injuries during the pre- or post-law change period?
- Did the unhelmeted cyclist suffer more severe injuries than the helmeted cyclist?
- 3. Did the chance of fatality differ for the helmeted and unhelmeted cyclists?
- 4. Did the chance of fatality or incapacitating injury differ for the helmeted and unhelmeted motorcyclists?
- 5. Did head injury fatalities differ for the helmeted and unhelmeted motorcyclists?

6. Did fatalities and incapacitating injuries from head inflicted

wounds differ for the helmeted and unhelmeted motorcyclists? Specific statistical analysis techniques used to help answer the above questions were Chi Square  $(\chi^2)$ , Odds Ratio, Relative Difference, and Ridit Analysis.

To answer question 1 -- Did motorcycle-involved accident victims suffer more severe injuries during the pre- and post-law change period? -- a Chi Square  $(\chi^2)$  analysis was made comparing pre- and post-law change data. The  $\chi^2$  test statistic was 16.84 as compared to a table value of 16.27 at the  $\alpha < .001$  level, thus indicating that there was a significant difference between injury severity sustained by motorcycle-involved accident victims from the pre- and post-law change periods. To determine the difference in level of injury severity sustained, a Ridit Analysis was conducted. The result indicated that the post-law change victims had a 52% greater chance sustaining a more severe injury than one from the pre period.

The helmeted and unhelmeted cases for the pre and post periods were pooled to answer question 2 -- Did the unhelmeted cyclist suffer more severe injuries than the helmeted cyclist? -- a Chi Square  $(\chi^2)$  analysis was made comparing helmeted and unhelmeted data. The  $\chi^2$  test statistic was 108.0 as compared to a table value of 16.27 at the  $\alpha$  < .001 level thus indicating that there was a significant difference in level of injury severity sustained by the helmeted and unhelmeted motorcycle accident victims. To determine this difference, a Ridit Analysis was conducted. The result indicated that the unhelmeted cyclist had a 55% greater chance of sustaining a more severe injury than the helmeted cyclist. To address the third question -- Did the chance of fatality differ for the helmeted and unhelmeted cyclists? -- the number of fatalities for unhelmeted cyclists were compared with those for helmeted cyclists (pooled data was used as stated previously). A  $\chi^2$  analysis was done comparing fatalities of helmeted and unhelmeted cyclists. The  $\chi^2$  test statistic was 39 as opposed to a table value of 10.83 at the  $\alpha$  <.001 level, thus indicating a significant difference in chance of fatalities between the helmeted and unhelmeted motorcycle accident victims. The Odds Ratic for this data indicated that the probability of a fatal accident for the unhelmeted cyclist was 2.5 times as great as for the helmeted cyclist. A Relative Difference, a measure of the proportion of unhelmeted cyclists who would not have become fatalities had they been wearing helmets, was computed. The result was .250 which indicated that out of every 1000 motorcyclists who would have survived an accident had they been wearing a helmet, 250 will become fatalities simply because they were unhelmeted.

To answer question 4 -- Did the chance of fatality or incapacitating injury differ for the helmeted and unhelmeted motorcyclists? -- a  $\chi^2$  analysis was performed. The  $\chi^2$  test statistic was 82 as compared to a table value of 10.83 at the  $\alpha$  <.001 level, thus indicating that there was a significant difference in chance of fatality or incapacitating injury between helmeted and unhelmeted accident victims. The Odds Ratio indicated that the probability of a fatality or incapacitating injury for the unhelmeted cyclist was 1.41 times as great as for the unhelmeted cyclist. A Relative Difference was also computed and the result was .110 which indicated that out of every 1,000 motorcyclists who would have survived or suffered less than incapacitating injury from an accident had they been wearing helmets, 110 will become fatalities or suffer incapacitating injuries because they were unhelmeted.

In response to the fifth question -- Did head injury fatalities differ for the helmeted and unhelmeted motorcyclists? -- a Chi Square  $\binom{2}{x}$  analysis was performed comparing head injury fatalities between the helmeted and unhelmeted cyclists. The  $\chi^2$  test statistic was 7.12 as opposed to a table value of 6.64 at the  $\alpha$  <.01 level, thus indicating that there was a significant difference in head injury fatalities between helmeted and unhelmeted cyclists. The Odds Ratio for this data indicated that the probability of a fatality from a head injury for the unhelmeted cyclist was 1.83 times as great as for the helmeted cyclist. The Relative Difference computed was .138 which indicated that out of every 1,000 motorcyclists who would have survived an accident had they been wearing helmets, 138 will become fatalities because they were not helmeted.

Answering question 6 -- Did fatalities and incapacitating injuries from head inflicted wounds differ for the helmeted and unhelmeted motorcycles? -- a  $\chi^2$  analysis was done comparing fatalities and incapacitating injuries from head inflicted wounds between the helmeted and unhelmeted cyclists. The  $\chi^2$  test statistic was 83.72 as compared to a table value of 10.83 at the  $\alpha$  < .001 level, thus indicating that there was a significant difference in fatalities and incapacitating injuries from head inflicted wounds between helmeted and unhelmeted cyclists. The Odds Ratio for these data indicated that the probability for this occurrence for the unhelmeted cyclist was 2.67 times as great as for the helmeted cyclist. The Relative Difference was calculated and the result was .90 which indicated that out of every 1000 motorcyclists who would have survived or sustained less than incapacitating injuries had they been wearing helmets, 90 will become fatalities or sustain incapacitating injuries because they were not helmeted.

Analysis of the data revealed that the level of injury sustained by a motorcycle accident victim was greater for the post-law change period than for the pre-law change period by a factor of .48. Also, the probability of sustaining an injury of a specified degree was greater for the unhelmeted rider than for the helmeted one by a factor as large as 2.5 for fatalities. Finally, fatality due to head injury was 1.8 times as great for unhelmeted cyclists as for the helmeted cyclists.

#### CHAPTER 4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Three overall conclusions can be drawn from the findings in this research.

- Unhelmeted motorcycle accident victims sustain more severe injuries and experience a higher fatality rate than the helmeted victims.
- In motorcycle accidents unhelmeted cyclists sustain a greater number of and more severe head injuries than those suffered by the helmeted cyclists.
- 3. When motorcyclists are given the freedom of choice regarding helmet usage, a majority will choose not to wear the helmet. Based upon the foregoing conclusions, these recommendations are suggested:
  - In view of the first three conclusions, it is recommended that the appropriate state agencies restudy motorcycle safety helmet usage.
  - It is recommended that motorcycle rider training programs incorporate a more intensified effort to develop greater vehicle operator awareness by stressing protective gear, visibility, accident causation, and vulnerability should an accident become imminent.
  - 3. Inasmuch as helmet information on accident reports is recorded only in the event of a fatality or injury (otherwise it is left blank), it is recommended that the accident report be completed in its entirety to provide more complete data for evaluation purposes.

## APPENDIX

# TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY

TEXAS TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

COLLEGE STATION TEXAS 77843

HUMAN FACTORS DIVISION

Dear Motorcycle Rider:

We at the Texas Transportation Institute of Texas A&M University need your assistance in collecting information about motorcycling. We are conducting research for the Office of Traffic Safety for the purposes of accident prevention and safety education.

The number of motorcyclists in Texas has increased by leaps and bounds in the past few years. To date, we have not put forth an effort to learn about this rider population so that safety considerations for this group can be based upon facts. Your name has been selected as a licensed motorcycle operator to help provide the requested information. Would you please take a few moments to complete the enclosed questionnaire, seal it in the postage paid envelope, and drop it into the nearest mailbox?

We will treat all information in confidence and in no way can your responses have an effect on your driving record or your riding privilege. We are interested in facts only. We respect your rights as an individual; therefore, we are collecting our data without your individual identification.

May I take this opportunity to thank you for your candid responses and your cooperation in this very worthy research. May you have many enjoyable hours riding your motorcycle.

Sincerely, Manon Ruchler

Myron Koehler, Ed. D. Principal Investigator

MK/jp Enclosures
#### MOTORCYCLE RIDER QUESTIONNAIRE

The purpose of this questionnaire is to gather research information about motorcycle riding in Texas; therefore, we ask you to take a few moments to help us by answering each item as accurately as possible. Remember that this information is for research purposes and will not affect your motorcycle operator's license or your driver record in any way. Thank you for your assistance and cooperation. Happy motorcycling.

ite	We are not requesting your name in ms of personal information?	but would you please indicate two aae sex
1.	How long have you been riding a mo	torcycle? years months
2.	What size motorcycle do you ride?	under 150cc
	150cc to 250cc	250cc to 400cc
	400cc to 750cc	750cc or more
3.	Check the type of riding you do an miles for each type that you ride.	d estimate the annual number of
	trail/dirt/off street	miles
	local on street/in town	miles
	cross country/highway	miles
	other type (specify)	miles
4.	How frequently do you ride per wee	k?
	6 or 7 days	4 or 5 days
	2 or 3 days	less than 2 days
5.	How much of your riding is done in (Each column should account for 10	each of the following categories? 0%.)
	% daylight riding	% urban/in town riding
	% night time riding	% rural/out of town riding
6.	Check weather conditions under whi	ch you ride.    <u>Day/Night   Day only</u>
	All types of weather	
	Light rains and cold wi	ndø
	Clear and dry weather	
7.	What type of protective gear do yo	u wear when riding?
	boots jacke	t heavy pants
	gloveshelme	teye protection
8.	If you rode a motorcycle before Au helmet?yesno If	gust 29, 1977, did you wear a yes, how frequently did you wear it?
	a lway <b>s</b>	most of the time
	some of the time	rarely wore one
9.	If you are 18 years of age or olde	r now and wear a helmet, how often do
	always	most of the time
	some of the time	rarely wear one
0.	Were you ever involved in a motorc (If not, go to item 15 on the back the date and what you think was th	ycle accident? <i>yes no</i> ) If you had an accident, indicate e cause of the accident.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

(over, please)

11. Were you injured? \_\_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_\_ no If so, please indicate the extent of your injury. \_\_\_\_\_ received first aid on the scene

\_\_\_\_\_ received medical care at emergency room and released

\_\_\_\_\_ was hospitalized for \_\_\_\_\_ days

\_\_\_\_\_ other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_\_

13

12. What protective gear were you wearing when the accident occurred?

	boots.	jacket	heavy pants
	gloves	helmet	eye protection
•	Estimate the total c company, or some oth	ost of the accident (w er means paid for the	whether you, your insurance cost of restoration.)
	less than \$	\$5,	500 to \$6,000

\$500 to \$1,000	\$6,000 to \$7,000
\$1,000 to \$1,500	\$7,000 to \$8,000
\$1,500 to \$2,000	\$8,000 to \$9,000
\$2,000 to \$2,500	\$9,000 to \$10,000
\$2,500 to \$3,000	\$10,000 to \$12,000
\$3,000 to \$3,500	\$12,000 to \$15,000
\$3,500 to \$4,000	\$15,000 to \$20,000
\$4,000 to \$4,500	\$20,000 to \$30,000
\$4,500 to \$5,000	\$30,000 to \$50,000
\$5,000 to \$5,500	\$50,000 or more

14. Indicate the "before and after" effect that the accident had on your attitude about wearing a helmet while riding a motorcycle.

Before the Accident	After the Accident
never wore a helmet	never wear a helmet now
wore a helmet on rare occasions wore a helmet some of	<pre>wear a helmet on rare occasions wear a helmet some of the time</pre>
wore a helmet most of the time	wear a helmet most of the time
wore a helmet whenever I rode	wear a helmet whenever [ ride

15. What is your opinion about wearing a helmet when you ride a motorcycle?

			· · · · · · · -
16.	Would you recommend that everyone should wear a helmet?	уев	no

17. Should motorcyclists be required, by law, to wear a helmet? \_\_\_\_\_\_\_no

- <u>Abrasion</u> Any wearing, grinding, or rubbing away of an area of the skin or mucous membrane.
- <u>Amputation</u> Any medically required severing of body parts to save the victim's life.
- <u>Asphyxia</u> Any damage to the respiratory system resulting from a lack of oxygen or excess of carbon dioxide in the body that is usually caused by interruption of breathing and/or causes unconsciousness.
- <u>Avulsion</u> Any tissue injury which resulted from forcibly tearing or separating the tissue from the body.
- Burn Any injury or damage resulting from exposure to fire, heat, caustics, electricity or certain radiations.
- <u>Concussion</u> Any hard blow or collision to the head causing injury to the brain resulting in disturbance of cerebral function.
- <u>Contusion/Bruise</u> Any injury involving rupture of small blood vessels and discoloration without a break in the overlying skin.
- <u>Crushing</u> Any deformed or destroyed body structure caused by squeezing or forcing through pressure.
- <u>Dislocation</u> Any injury to the capsule and ligaments of a joint that results in displacement of a bone end at that joint.
- Edema Any abnormal excess accumulation of serous fluid in connective tissue or in a serous cavity.
- Fracture/Rupture Any breaking of hard and soft tissue.
- <u>Head Trauma</u> Any disordered psychic or behavioral state resulting from mental or emotional stress or physical injury to living tissue caused by an extrinsic agent.
- Hemorrhage Any excessive or uncontrollable bleeding.
- Laceration Any jagged, irregular, or blunt breaking or tearing of the soft tissue.
- Pain Any localized physical suffering associated with bodily disorder.
- Spine Any injury to the spinal cord, or spine.
- <u>Sprain</u> Any sudden or violent twist or wrench of a joint which stretches or tears ligaments.
- Other Any injury not previously described.
- Unknown Self explanatory

# BODY LOCATION OF INJURY

	Head/Skull	Neck	Trunk	Upper Extremities	Lower Extremities	Arm	Leg	General Body Injury
Abrasion								
Amputation								
Asphyxia								
Avulsion								
Burn								
Concussion								
Contusion/Bruise								
Crushing								<u> </u>
Dislocation							<b> </b>	
Edema							<b></b>	
Fracture/Rupture						<b>*</b>	1	
Head Trauma								
Hemorrhage								
Laceration					<b>*</b>			
Pain								
Spine								
Sprain								
Other								
Unknown	1				<u> </u>			1

			· · · ·	<u> </u>				1	-				<u> </u>	· · · · ·		-				h 1	County profin	>
									_											1	Country pretice	6
	-	-	r	<b>Г</b>				- 1	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	Fi	-			?
	-	-	۲		-	-	- }	- }	-	- 1	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	1	numeric	7
F 1	- 1	-	-		┢	$\mathbf{F}$	-	- }	-	-	- 1	- '	~		-	-	-	-		۲,		d.
																				2		
			┝──						-			i								6	Seat positi	or
				Ļ																Ľ	Injury code	
		L .	-	L	L	L	-	-	-	_		-	L		-			L		8	Age	
				L		L														9		
				L	L	L			_	_					_					10	Sex	
				Γ	Γ															11	H <b>os</b> pita <b>l</b>	
<b></b>				Γ	Γ	Γ														2		a
r	t I	r	F	F	F	۲		- 1	-	-		-	F		- 1	-	-	-	-	5	Month	<u>a</u>
h						<u> </u>	-													5		6
F	- 1	<b>-</b>	┢	┝	⊦	┝	- !	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-		H	Day	
				<u>+</u>		<del> </del>														1		
F	$\mathbf{F}$	-	-	4	+	ŀ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	L	-	-	- '	F .	- 1	LE L	Year	
L		<b> </b>		<b>↓</b>					<b></b>									·		12		
L		L	L	ŀ	L	F			-		L '	L	L		L.			L		18	Body	
					1															3	Region	1
<b>F</b>			Γ	Γ		Τ														20		ũ.
	} ·	<b>—</b>				-				-	-	-						<b>-</b>	-	07	Авресс	5
	<u>†</u>		<u>+</u>	1	<del> </del>	<b>†</b>					-	<u></u>								5		ų.
F	+	ł	F	F	F	F	F	- 1	┝	┣	ŀ	-	F	F !	F	-	┢	F	F	14	Lesion	!
F	<u>+</u>	<del> </del>	+	+	┣	+	<b></b>		h						ŀ					ک ا		·*
ł	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	-	$\mathbf{F}$	┝	F	┝	F	F	┝	$\vdash$	F	┝	-	<u>[</u> 4	Organ/	1
1	<b> </b>		<b> </b>	<b>_</b>	┣	<b> </b>	┣			L			<b> </b>	<u> </u>	ļ	ļ		ļ		\$5	<u>System</u>	
1	1	Ļ	<b> </b>	<b> </b>	<b> </b>	<b>I</b>	<b>-</b>		<b> </b>	<b> </b>		L			L	-	<u> </u>	L		6	AIS	
Ł	F	F	F	F	F	L	L '	μÌ	L	L	L	1	F	L.	L	L	L	1	F	2	Body	1
-	1	L	L	-	L	1	<b>_</b>			L	L			L	L		L		L	2.8	Rooion	1
Ľ	L	L	Ľ	1	L	Ľ	L	LĨ	L	L	L	L		L		LÌ	L	L	L	9	Annect	i.
Γ	Г	Г	Г	Γ	Г	Γ	Γ		Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	Г	Γ	Γ	Γ	٢	Γ	30	Nopeco	27
<b>—</b>	1		1	1-	1	1			1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-					7	Logian	ĥ.
t.	t	t	F.	t	F	٢	F		r	t l	F	F	F	F	1		F	t i		12	Lesion	_
-	+		-	1	-	1-	+				+	t	<u> </u>					<u>├</u> ──		7	Orcarl	- 1
F	t	t	F	F	F	1	F	-	٢	ł	F	F	F	F .	+	-	-	ř.	<b>-</b>	H	Sustan	67
			<del>1</del> -	+	<del> </del>	+	+			┣──						<u>}</u>				14		
	+	+	+	+	┢	+	╆	<u> </u>		┢	┣—	┢──	<u> </u>	<b> </b>	┣──					65	ALS	
┢	+	+	┢	F	F	-	F	-	۴	F	L	F	L.	L		-	L	L		<b>B</b> 6	Body	
			-	L.	.L	1	1				L		L							B7	Region	5
L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	Ĺ		E		[		Γ	Г —		88	Annaat	- <del>.</del>
F	F.	<u> </u>	L.	Γ.	<u> </u>	Γ	I_	Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	E	Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	89	Авресс	5
L			L						L							[				10		ÿ
<b>—</b>	F		Г				Γ		Γ				Γ	Г						1 1	Lesion	_
-	1	+	+	+	-	1	1	1		<b>†</b>	1	t-	-	t	t					1 2	0	-
F	1	.r	t.	t	Ł		F	F	F	F	F	F	-	F	F	F	-	ŀ	┢	Ľ.	Organ/	~
	+	+		+	+	+	+	╆	ł	+		+			┝──	<u> </u>				l.	<u>System</u>	
-	+	+	┢─	+	┢──		+	<u> </u>	┣	h	+	┣	╆	<u> </u>	<u>├</u>	┣			ļ	4	A15	
┝	+	+	F	F	F	+	F	┝	┝	F	4	F	+	F	┝	F	$\vdash$	-	-	15	Body	-
-	+		+	-	+	<u> </u>	+	<u> </u>	<b> </b>	ļ			+	<b> </b>	<b> </b>	<b> </b>		L	ļ	16	Region	2
L	1	L	F	L	L	L	F	L	L	F	F	F	-	L	F	1	L	L	L	2	Aspect	z
					L			L			1	1	1							8		1
L	L	L	L	1	L	T_	1	Γ	L	Ľ	Γ	L		Ľ	Ľ	L		Γ		9	Lagion	~
Ľ	Ε.	Γ.	[	Γ	Γ	Ε.	[	Γ	Γ	Γ.	E	Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	50	Deston	744
	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	-	1		Γ			<b>—</b>		<b></b>				5 1	Organ/	4
F	r	r	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	r	t	F	Ł	F	t	٢	1	r	r	6 2	Sustem	
-	-	+	+-	-	$\uparrow$	1	+	1	1	+	+	+	1	+	<u> </u>	t	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	t	K 7	ATS	
	-†	+	+-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+-	+	+	+	t	t	t	<u> </u>		K.	Body	
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	t	F	F	F	F	F	۲	-	ŀ	F	ľ	Basia	7
$\vdash$		+	+	-+	+	+	+-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	╋	+	<del> </del>	<u>+</u>	<u> </u>	60	<u>negion</u>	n
F	F	Ի	F	F	F	┢	F	$\vdash$	F	F	ł	F	F	┢	F	F	F	F	┢	P-6	Aspect	r.
-	-+	+	+		+	-	+	+		<u>+</u>	+	+	+	+		<b></b>	<b> </b>	<b> </b>	<b> </b>	<u>р</u> 2	}	ry
+	+	╞	+	F	F	F	F	F	+	+	-	╞	F	+	F	F	F	F	┝	66	Lesion	1
	-		+	<u> </u>				1	<b> </b>	<b> </b>	Ļ	1	1	1	+		L		L	ي کا	1	
F	F	1	1	F	F	-	F	<b></b>	F	F		h-			F	F	L		⊢	6.0	Organ/	es
	-		+	+	-	-		+		L	-	+		<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b> </b>	L	<b></b>	<b> </b>	61	<u>System</u>	
		1	1		1		1	1	L	L	1	1		1	1	L		L	l	62	AIS	
							1		L											6	Overall AIS	
							<b></b>	1	1	1	1			L	L	1		[	Ľ	6 4	Tee	
F	r	t	t	T	L	F	T	F	Γ	Γ	F	F	T	Γ	Γ	Г	ſ	ſ	Г	6.5	100	
	+	1	+	+	-1-	-†	1	1	1	T	1	1	1	1	1	t	<u> </u>	1		6	#total injuni	es
	-		-	+		+	+	+	<u>†</u>		1	+	+	+	1	T.	1	t	•		Disposition	
	+	+-	-+-	-+	+	+-	+	+	+	+	+	+-	+	+	+	<u>†                                    </u>	t	t	<b></b>		Davia in	
	F	ł	1	F	+	F	F	F	F	$\vdash$	F	F	$\vdash$	F	F	F	F	t	F	م ا	buys in	
F	-+-	·+	+	+	-+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<b>R</b>	nospitut	
F	F	F	F	F	┢	+	ł	$\mathbf{F}$	F	Ł	F	-	┣-	+	F	F	F	F	┝	K'	# code inium	, i
┢	-	+	-+		+-	- <b> </b>	-+	+	+	+	+	<b></b>	+	+	+	+	<b> </b> -	<u> </u>	<b> </b>	R.		
	-	-	-		1_	_		+	┣_	+	4	-	+	+	-	-	Ļ	L	L	R		
L	1	1						L			1							1	L	23	1	
Γ		T	Г		Γ		T													24	Mat-1	
	Γ		Τ		T		$\Box$				Γ		Γ		[	[				2:	Intal	
Γ	T		T	T	T	T	T	T	Γ	1	T	T	T	T		1	1			6.	COST	
		1	-		-	-		+	1	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	<del>†</del>		<u>├</u>	- ती	of	
r		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1	+-	+	+		+		<u>†</u>	<u>†</u>	t	<b>F</b>	injury	
	+	-	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+-	+-	+	+	+	+	-	†	t	1		
	-+-		-+-	-+	-+		-+	+	+	+	+	+	+-	-+	+	+	+	ł	<u>+</u>	16		

# MEDICAL INFORMATION CODING PORM

#### TEXAS MOTORCYCLE ACCIDENT STUDY

# CODING INSTRUCTIONS: MEDICAL INFORMATION

#### 1. Card Layout

<u>Colur</u>	mns	Description	Remarks
	1	County Prefix	D - Dallas
2 -	5 6	Register Number for Accident Seat Position	0001 Numbered Consecutively 1 = Rider (R) (See Attachment A) 2 = Passenger (P)
8 -	7 9 10	Injury Code Rider Age Sex	<pre>3 = Rider &amp; Passenger (R&amp;P) (See Attachment A) Computer Derived 1 = Male Rider 2 = Female Rider 3 = Rider and Passenger - Male 4 = Rider and Passenger - Female</pre>
	11	Hospital Code	5 = R - Male P - Female 6 = R - Female P - Male 0 = Unknown (See Attachment A)
12 -	13	Accident Month	(See Attachment B)
14 -	15	Accident Day	(See Attachment B)
16 -	17	Accident Year	(See Attachment B)
18 -	19	Body Region of Injury # 1	(Most Severe)
20 -	21	Aspect of Injury # 1	(See Attachment C)
22 -	23	Lesion Type of Injury # 1	(See Attachment C)
24 -	25	Organ/Syetem of Injury # 1	(See Attachment C)
	26	Abbreviated Injury Scale of Injury # 1	(See Attachment C)
27 -	28	Body Region of Injury # 2	(More Severe)
29 -	30	Aspect of Injury # 2	(See Attachment C)
31 -	32	Lesion Type of Injury # 2	(See Attachment C)
33 -	34	Organ/System of Injury # 2	(See Attachment C)
	35	Abbreviated Injury Scale of Injury # 2	(See Attachment C)
36 -	37	Body Region of Injury # 3	(Severe)
38 -	39	Aspect of Injury # 3	(See Attachment C)
40 -	41	Lesion Type of Injury # 3	(See Attachment C)
42 -	43	Organ/System of Injury #3	(See Attachment C)
	44	Abbreviated Injury Scale of Injury # 3	(See Attachment C)
45 -	46	Body Region of Injury # 4	(Less Severe)
47 -	48	Aspect of Injury # 4	(See Attachment C)
49 -	50	Lesion Type of Injury # 4	(See Attachment C)
51 -	52	Organ/System of Injury # 4	(See Attachment C)
	53	Abbreviated Injury Scale of Injury # 4	(See Attachment C)

.

54 - 55 56 - 57 58 - 59 60 - 61 62 63	Body Region of Injury # 5 Aspect of Injury # 5 Lesion Type of Injury # 5 Organ/System of Injury # 5 Abbreviated Injury Scale of Injury # 5 Overall Abbreviated Injury Scale	(Least Severe (See Attachme (See Attachme (See Attachme (See Attachme (Total effect on Body)
64 - 65 66	Injury Severity Scale Total Number of Injuries Reported on	(See Attachme
	Medical Records	Self_explanat
67	Disposition of This Victim	1 = Treated a
		2 = Admitted
		3 = Dead on A
		4 = Died With
68 - 69	Days Hospitalized	Self explanat
70	Number of Coded Injuries	(Maximum = 5)
71	Data Availability	0 = Data on c
	-	usshla

72 - 80 Total Cost of Injury

:) ent C) ent C) ent C) ent C) t of all injuries ent B) tory and Released to Hospital Arrival

- hin 30 Days
- tory
- card present and usable
- 1 = No medical treatment reported 2 = Medical records not obtained

Recapitulation of Injury Codes

<u>Card Code</u>	Description	Remarks
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Body Region of Injuries 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5	Section II Specific Codes
20 - 21 29 - 30 38 - 39 47 - 48 56 - 57	Aspect of Injuries 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5	Section II Specific Codes
22 - 23 31 - 32 40 - 41 49 - 50 58 - 59	Lesion of Injuries 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5	Section II Specific Codes
24 - 25 33 - 34 42 - 43 51 - 52 60 - 61	Organ/System of Injuries 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5	Section II Specific Codes
26 35 44 53 62	Abbreviated Injury 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5	Section II Specific Codes





Harris County

- 1. Ben Taub Hospital
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 0. Any Other Harris County Hospital

#### Dallas County

- 1. Parkland Hospital
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 0. Any Other Dallas County Hospital

#### ATTACHMENT B

#### ACCIDENT MONTH

01	January	07	July
02	February	08	August
03	March	09	September
04	April	10	October
05	May	11	November
06	June	12	December

#### ACCIDENT DAY OF WEEK

1	Sunday	5	Thursday
2	Monday	6	Friday
3	Tuesday	7	Saturday
4	Wednesday		

#### YEAR OF ACCIDENT

76	If	left	digit	of	accident	number	is	6.
77	If	left	digit	of	accident	number	is	7.
78	Ιf	left	digit	of	accident	number	is	8.

#### INJURY SEVERITY SCALE

Severity will be measured in terms of dollar damage to vehicle and property as well as injury cost estimates. A weighted formula will be employed to derive a quantitative index of accident severity. The formula is:

$$S = \frac{TPD}{N_v} + \frac{6(N_i)}{N_p} + 25(N_f)$$

Where:

.

S is severity of accident TPD is total property damage  $N_v$  is number of vehicles involved  $N_i$  is total injuries  $N_p$  is number of persons involved  $N_f$  is number of fatalities

.

#### ATTACHMENT C

.

Body Region

01	Head, Skull
02	Face, Eye, Ear, Jaw
03*	Head/Face
04	Neck, Throat, Cervical Spine
05	Shoulder, Clavicle
06	Chest, Thoracic Organs, Thoracic Spine
07	Abdominal/Pelvic Organs, Lumbar Spine
08	Pelvic Girdle, Hip
09*	Upper Extremities
10	Upper Arm
11	Elbow
12	Forearm
13	Wrist/Hand
14*	Lower Extremities
15	Thigh
16	Knee
17	Lower Leg, Calf
18	Ankle, Foot, Toes
19*	Upper One-Half of Body
20*	Upper Extremities and Trunk
21*	Lower Extremities and Trunk
22*	Trunk (incl. Chest/Back, Shoulder, Pelvic Area)
23*	Upper and Lower Extremities
24*	Upper and Lower Extremities and Trunk
25*	Head and Lower Extremities
26*	Face and Upper and Lower Extremities
27*	Whole Body
00	Unknown

Region marked with an \* are applicable to general external injuries only.

#### Aspect

01	Proximal	08	Posterior (Dorsal)
02	Distal	09	Superior (Upper)
03	Right	10	Inferior (Lower)
04	Left	11	Whole Region
05	Bilateral	12	Not Applicable (for Major Organs)
06	Central (Medial)	13	Unknown
07	Anterior (Ventral)		

# Attachment C (continued)

#### Lesion

01	Abrasion	11	Fracture/Rupture
02	Amputation	12	Head Trauma
03	Asphyxia	13	Hemorrhage
04	Avulsion	14	Laceration
05	Burn	15	Pain
06	Concussion	16	Spine
07	Contusion/Bruise	17	Sprain
08	Crushing	18	Other
09	Dislocation	19	Unknown
10	Edema		

# System/Organ

01	All Systems in Region	11	Liver
02	Arteries	12	Muscles
03	Brain	13	Nervous System
04	Digestive	14	Pulmonary, Lungs
05	Eyes, Ears (Organs and	15	Respiratory
	Innervation to)	16	Skeletal
06	General External Body Surface	17	Spinal Cord
07	Heart	18	Spleen
08	Integumentary	19	Urogenital
09	Joints	20	Vertebrae
10	Kidneys	21	Unknown

Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS)

0	No Injury
1	Minor Injury
2	Moderate Injury
3	Severe (not life-threatening)
4	Serious (life-threatening, survival probable)
5	Critical (survival uncertain)
6	Maximum (currently Untreatable)
9	Unknown

# ANATOMICAL DIRECTIONS



# TRAFFIC COUNT FOR FEBRUARY 6 - 10, 1978 AT TEXAS AVENUE

# AND JERSEY STREET IN COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS

	- <u></u>				DRI	VER	PASSE	NGER
		WEATHER	TOTAL #	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL # W/O	TOTAL	TOTAL # W/O
AM TI	MES	CONDITIONS	VEHICLES	# M/C	W/HELMETS	HELMETS	W/HELMETS	HELMETS
7:30	Mon.	Dry Clear Cold	2,233	9	9	0	0	0
	Tues.	Wet Cloudy Cold	2,158	2	1	1	0	0
Till	Wed.	Wet Cloudy Cold	2,106	5	4	Ī	0	0
	Thurs.	Wet Cloudy Cold	2,162	3	3	0	0	0
8:30	Fri.	Dry Cloudy Cold	2,097	3	2	1	0	0
Daily	Total:		10,756	22	19	3	0	0
Averag	e/week		2,151.2	4.4	3.8	0.6	0.0	0.0
AM-PM								
11:30	Mon.	Dry Clear Cool	4,914	43	39	4	2	1
	Tues.	Wet Cloudy Cold	4,357	4	4	0	0	0
Till	Wed.	Dry Cloudy Cold	4,550	17	15	2	0	1
	Thurs.	Wet Cloudy Cold	4,527	6	6	0	0	0
1:30	Fri.	Dry Cloudy Cool	5,620	37	31	6	0	1
Daily	Total:		23,968	107	95	12	2	3
Averan	e/week:		4,793.6	21.4	19.0	2.4	0.4	0.6
PM								
4:30	Mon.	Dry Clear Cool	3,150	34	29	5	0	1
	Tues.	Wet Cloudy Cold	2,884	1	1	0	0	1
Till	Wed.	Wet Cloudy Cold	3,358	10	10	0	0	0
1	Thurs.	Dry Cloudy Cold	3,154	8	8	0	0	0
5:30	Fri.	Dry Cloudy Cold	3,468	12	8	4	2	1
Daily	Total:		16,014	65	56	9	2	3
Averag	e/week:		3,202.8	13.0	11.2	1.8	0.4	0.6

Grand Totals	······································					
for the Week:	50,738	194	170	24	4	6

# TRAFFIC COUNT FOR FEBRUARY 13 - 17, 1978 AT TEXAS AVENUE

# AND VILLA MARIA IN BRYAN, TEXAS

					DRI	VER	PASSE	NGER
		WEATHER	TOTAL #	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL # W/O	TOTAL	TOTAL # W/C
AM TI	MES	CONDITIONS	VEHICLES	# M/C	W/HELMETS	HELMETS	W/HELMETS	HELMETS
7:30	Mon.	Dry Clear Cool	1,956	7	7	0	0	0
	Tues.	Dry Clear Cool	2,122	3	3	0	0	0
Till	Wed.	Dry Cloudy Cool	2,102	7	6	1	0	0
	Thurs.	Dry Clear Cool	2,080	4	4	0	0	0
8:30	Fri.	Wet Cloudy Cool	2,080	4	4	0	0	0
Daily	Total:		10,340	25	24	1	0	0 .
Averag	e/week		2,068	5.0	4.8	0.2	0.0	0.0
AM-PM								
11:30	Mon.	Dry Clear Cool	6,173	35	21	14	2	3
	Tues.	Dry Clear Cool	5,886	22	19	3	0	0
Till	Wed.	Wet Cloudy Cold	4,773	0	0	0	0	0
	Thurs.	Dry Clear Warm	5,265	15	14	1	0	2
1:30	Fri.	Wet Cloudy Cool	5,199	5	4	1	0	0
Daily	Total:		27,296	77	58	19	2	5
Averac	e/week:		5,459.2	15.4	11.6	3.8	0.4	1.0
PM								
4:30	Mon.	Dry Clear Cool	3,308	18	12	6	1	0
	Tues.	Dry Clear Cool	3,330	15	15	0	0	0
Till	Wed.	Dry Cloudy Cold	2,946	5	3	2	0	2
}	Thurs.	Dry Clear Warm	3,266	19	15	4	1	1
5:30	Fri.	Dry Clear Cold	3,469	6	6	0	0	1
Daily	Total:		16,319	63	51	12	2	4
Averag	e/week:		3,263.8	12.6	10.2	2.4	0.4	0.8

Grand Totals						
for the Week:	53,955	_165	133	32	4	9

#### TRAFFIC COUNT FOR APRIL 17 - 21, 1978 AT TEXAS AVENUE

#### AND JERSEY STREET IN COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS

					DRI	VER	PASSE	NGER
[		WEATHER	TOTAL #	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL # W/O	TOTAL	TOTAL # W/O
AM TI	MES	CONDITIONS	VEHICLES	# M/C	W/HELMETS	HELMETS	W/HELMETS	HELMETS
7:30	Mon.	Wet Cloudy Warm	2,066	6	5	1	1	0
	Tues.	Dry Sunny Warm	2,181	24	16	8	0	0
Till	Wed.	Dry Sunny Cool	2,221	12	9	3	1	1
	Thurs.	Dry Sunny Cool	2,169	19	14	5	0	0
8:30	Fri.	Dry Sunny Cool	2,027	9	6	3	0	1
Daily	Total:		10,664	70	50	20	2	2
Averag	e/week		2,132.8	14.0	10.0	4.0	0.4	0.4
AM-PM	<u></u>	•			<b></b>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11:30	Mon.	Wet Cloudy Warm	5,155	30	21	9	0	0
ļ	Tues.	Dry Sunny Hot	4,705	72	37	35	4	11
Till	Wed.	Dry Sunny Warm	4,877	73	44	29	2	7
	Thurs.	Dry Sunny Cool	5,206	50	41	9	2	1
1:30	Fri.	Dry Sunny Hot	6,382	66	38	28	2	8
Daily	Total:		26,325	291	181	110	10	27
Averac	e/week:		5,265.0	58,2	36.2	22.0	2.0	5,4
PM		<u></u>		<u></u>		······	······	
4:30	Mon.	Wet Cloudy Warm	3,048	19	17	2	2	0
	Tues.	Dry Sunny Hot	3,054	47	32	15	1	8
Till	Wed.	Dry Sunny Warm	3,273	32	21	11	2	3
	Thurs.	Dry Sunny Cool	3,243	42	27	15	0	2
5:30	Fri.	Dry Sunny Hot	3,571	53	37	16	0	6
Daily	Total:		16,189	193	134	59	5	19
Averag	je/week:		3,237.8	38.6	26.8	11.8	1.0	3.8

Grand Totals						
for the Week:	53,178	554	365	189	17	48

# TRAFFIC COUNT FOR MAY 1 - 5, 1978 AT TEXAS AVENUE

# AND VILLA MARIA IN BRYAN, TEXAS

					DRI	VER	PASSE	NGER
		WEATHER	TOTAL #	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL # W/O	TOTAL	TOTAL # W/O
AM TI	MES	CONDITIONS	VEHICLES	# M/C	W/HELMETS	HELMETS	W/HELMETS	HELMETS
7:30	Mon.	Dry Cloudy Warm	1,949	10	8	2	0	0
	Tues.	Dry Cloudy Cool	2,072	4	4	0	0	0
Till	Wed.	Wet Cloudy Cool	1,760	2	2	0	0	0
	Thurs.	Dry Sunny Cool	1,953	12	11	1	0	0
8:30	<u>Fri.</u>	Dry Cloudy Cool	1,966	11	8	3	0	0
Daily	Total:		9,700	39	33	6	0	0
Averag	le/week		1,940.0	7.8	6.6	1.2	0.0	0.0
AM-PM								
11:30	Mon.	Dry Cloudy Warm	5,628	31	12	19	1	1
	Tues.	Dry Cloudy Cool	5,746	34	20	14	1	1
Till	Wed.	Dry Cloudy Cool	5,836	18	10	8	1	1
	Thurs.	Dry Sunny Cool	5,882	36	27	9	1	3
1:30	Fri.	Dry Sunny Warm	6,345	37	25	12	3	2
Daily	Total:		29,437	156	94	62	7	8
Averan	<u>e/week:</u>		5,887.4	31.2	18.8	12.4	1.4	1.6
PM								
4:30	Mon.	Dry Cloudy Warm	2,261	24	11	13	1	1
	Tues.	Dry Cloudy Cool	3,177	17	14	3	2	3
Till	Wed.	Dry Sunny Cool	3,167	15	11	4	0	1
	Thurs.	Dry Sunny Cool	3,289	17	14	3	0	1
5:30	Fri.	Dry Sunny Warm	3,665	33	20	13	3	2
Daily	Total:		15,559	106	70	36	6	8
Averag	e/week:		3,111.8	21.2	14.0	7.2	1.2	1.6

Grand Totals					1	
for the Week:	54,696	301	197	104	13	16

# TRAFFIC COUNT FOR SEPTEMBER 16 AND 23 AND NOVEMBER 15, 1977 at

I - 35 NORTHBOUND AND THEO AVENUE IN SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

				DRI	VER	PASSE	NGER
TIMES AM	WEATHER CONDITIONS	TOTAL # VEHICLES	TOTAL # M/C	TOTAL # W/HELMETS	TOTAL #W/O HELMETS	TOTAL # W/HELMETS	TOTAL #W/O HELMETS
9-16-787:50-8:15	Cloudy, Dry	1,550	2	2	0	0	0

PM

9-23-782:05-2:30	Cloudy, Dry	641	5	4	1	0	0

	AM			······································				•	
11/15/78	7:20-7:55	Clear, Sunny,	Dry	2,118	11	10	1	0	0
11/15/78	7:55-8:10	Clear, Sunny,	Dry	800	1	1	0	0	0
11/15/78	9:20-9:50	Clear,	Dry	1,159	4	4	0	0	0
ТОТ	AL			4,077	_16	15	1	Q	0

#### TRAFFIC COUNT FOR NOVEMBER 17, 1977 AT

I - 10 EASTBOUND AND I - 37 IN SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

	AM								
11-17-77	7:40-8:00	Clear.	Drv	1,281	6	6	0	0	0
			, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i						

A19

#### TRAFFIC COUNT FOR FEBRUARY 16, 1978 AT CALHOUN,

WHEELER, AND M. L. KING BLVD. IN HOUSTON, TEXAS

					DRIV	ER	PASSE	NGER
TIM	ES	WEATHER CONDITIONS	TOTAL # VEHICLES	TOTAL # M/C	TOTAL # W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/O HELMETS	TOTAL # W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/O HELMETS
ΔΜ	7:00-8:00	Cloudy, Dry	3,226	2	2	0	0	0
Thurs-	8:00-9:00	Cloudy, Dry	2,522	1	1	0	0	0
day <sub>pm</sub>	1:00-2:00	Sunny	2,099	4	4	0	0	0
r ri	2:00-3:00	Sunny	2,421	8	8	0	0	0
2/16/78	3:30-4:30	Sunny	2,876	7	3	4	2	1
_, _ , _ ,	4:30-5:30	Sunny	3,635	3	2	1	0	0
То	tal		16,779	25	20	5	2	1

# TRAFFIC COUNT FOR FEBRUARY 17, 23, AND 24, 1978 AT CALHOUN,

# WHEELER, AND M. L. KING BLVD. IN HOUSTON TEXAS

					DRIV	'ER	PASSE	NGER
TI	MES <sub>AM</sub>	WEATHER CONDITIONS	TOTAL # VEHICLES	TOTAL # M/C	TOTAL # W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/O HELMETS	TOTAL # W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/O
Fri.	7:00-8:00	Cloudy, Dry	3,325	2	2	0	0	0
2/17/78	8:00-9:00	Cloudy, Dry	2,549	2	2	0	0	0
То	tal		5,874	4	4	0	0	0
ſ <del></del>	РМ	······			T		T	<u> </u>
Thurs.	3:30-4:30	Sunny, Dry	2,937	2	2	0	0	0
2/23/78	4:30-5:30	Sunny, Dry	3,598	12	9	3	0	0
То	tal		6,535	14	11	3	0	0
	PM							
Fri.	1:00-2:00	Partly Cloudy, Drv	2,576	7	4	3	0	1
2/24/78	2:00-3:00	Partly Cloudy, Dry	2,692	4	4	0	1	0
То	tal	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	5,268	11	8	3	1	1

# TRAFFIC COUNT FOR MARCH 1, 1978

AT I - 610 AND 18th STREET, HOUSTON, TEXAS

				•	DRI	VER	PASSEN	IGER
т	IMES PM	WEATHER CONDITIONS	TOTAL ± VEHICLES	TOTAL # M/C	TOTAL = W/HELMETS	TOTAL ≓ W/O HELMETS	TOTAL W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/O HELMETS
Wednes.	1:00-2:00	Cloudy, Dry	8,210	4	3	1	0	0
2/1/70	2:00-3:00	Cloudy, Dry	8,880	15	10	5	1	4
3/1//8	3:00-4:00	Cloudy, Dry	10,250	15	11	4	0	1
Tot	al:	27,340 34 24 10 1		1	5			

# TRAFFIC COUNT FOR MARCH 13 - 16, 1978 AT US 59

#### AND WESLAYAN IN HOUSTON, TEXAS

INBOUND					DRI	VER	PASSEN	GER
TIMES	AM	WEATHER CONDITIONS	TOTAL # VEHICLES	TOTAL # M/C	TOTAL W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/O HELMETS	TOTAL W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/O HELMETS
6:3	30-7:00	Clear, Dry	3,158	5	4	1	0	0
Monday 7:0	00-7:30	Clear, Dry	3,927	3	0	3	1	0
7:3	30-8:00	Clear, Dry	4,205	5	5	0	0	0
3/13/78 8:0	00-8:30	Clear, Dry	3,568	1	1	0	0	.0
8:3	30-9:0Q	Clear, Dry	4,040	6	5	1	0	Q
Total			18,898	20	15	5	1	0
3:3	0-4:00	Clear, Dry	2,662	6	4	2	0	0
Monday 4.0	0-4:00	<u>Clean</u> Dry	2,002	b	4	2	0	0
10110ay 4.00	$0 - 4 \cdot 30$	Clear Dry	3,947	5	5			
3/13/785:0	0-5:30	Clear, Dry	3,190	1	1	0	0	0
5:30	0-6:00	Clear, Dry	3,138	4	2	2	0	0
Total:			16,442	21	17	4	1 .	0
	PM							

3:30-4:00	Clear, Dry	4,175	6	2	4	0	2
Tuesday 4:00-4:30	Clear, Dry	3,979	6	3	3	1	0
4:30-5:00	Clear, Dry	3,461	9	7	2	0	0
3/14/78 5:00-5:30	Clear, Dry	3,152	6	3	3	1	1
5:30-6:00	Clear, Dry	3,333	6	1	5	1	0
Total:		18,100	33	16	17	3	3

# TRAFFIC COUNT FOR MARCH 13 - 16, 1978 AT US 59

#### AND WESLAYAN IN HOUSTON, TEXAS

INBOUN	D				DRI	VER	PASSEN	GER
TI	MES AM	WEATHER CONDITIONS	TOTAL # VEHICLES	TOTAL # M/C	TOTAL W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/O HELMETS	TOTAL W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/O HELMETS
Wednes-	6:30-7:00	Clear. Dry	4,207	11	9	2	0	0
[	7:00-7:30	Clear, Dry	4,229	11	7	4	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>
day	7:30-8:00	Clear, Dry	4.379	5	4	1	0	0
-	8:00-8:30	Clear, Dry	4,578	5	4	1	0	<u> </u>
3/15/78	8:30-9:00	Clear. Drv	4.328	7	5	2	1	0
To	tal:		21,721	39	29	10	2	<u> </u>

#### INBOUND

-

INBOUN	D AM							
Thurs-	6:30-7:00	Clear, Dry	3,689	17	15	2	0	0
	7:00-7:30	Clear, Dry	4,057	7	5	2	0	Ō
day	7:30-8:00	Clear, Dry	4,297	6	4	2	0	0
	8:00-8:30	Clear, Dry	4,393	3	3	0	0	0
3/16/78	8:30-9:00	Clear, Dry	4,269	6	6	0	0	0
To	otal:		20,705	39	33	6	0 .	0

#### OUTBOUND

OUTBOU	ND PM							
Thurs-	3:30-4:00	Clear, Dry	3,865	- 8	6	2	0	0
	4:00-4:30	Clear, Dry	3,218	5	2	3	Ŏ	Ō
dav	4:30-5:00	Clear, Dry	3,100	3	1	2	0	0
	5:00-5:30	Clear, Dry	2.534	5	4	1	0	1
3/16/78	5:30-6:00	Clear, Dry	2,654	2	2	0	0	0
To	otal:		15,371	23	15	8	0	1

# TRAFFIC COUNT FOR MARCH 27, 1978 AT I - 610 EAST BOUND

# AND WEST BOUND AT WEST T. C. JESTER IN HOUSTON, TEXAS

_					DRI	VER	PASSEN	IGER	
TIMES PM		WEATHER CONDITIONS	TOTAL # VEHICLES	TOTAL # M/C	TOTAL # W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/O HELMETS	TOTAL W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/O HELMETS	
	1:30-2:00	Clear, Dry	4,100	9	7 2		1	0	
Mon.	2:00-3:00	Clear, Dry	8,860	30	20	10	2	3	
3/27/78	3:00-4:00	Clear, Dry	10,230	28	15	13	2	4	
	4:00-4:15	Clear, Dry	2,650	8	4	4	1	1	
To	tal:		25,840	75	46	29	6	8	

#### TRAFFIC COUNT FOR MARCH 29 - 30, 1978 AT I - 610

#### SOUTHBOUND AT SHIPCHANNEL IN HOUSTON, TEXAS

					DRI	VER	PASSEN	IGER
TIMES		WEATHER CONDITIONS	TOTAL # VEHICLES	TOTAL # M∕C	TOTAL # TOTAL # W/HELMETS HELMET		TOTAL W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/C HELMETS
AM	6:30-8:15	Clear, Dry	6,440	5	2	3	NA	NA
AM	10:00- 12:00	Clear, Dry	4,600	13	6	7	NA	NA
PM	1:15-3:00	Clear, Dry	4,720	18	10	8	NA	NA
PM	4:15-6:00	Clear, Dry	10,560	10	3	7	NA	NA
To	tal:		26,320	46	21	25	NA	NA

# TRAFFIC COUNT FOR MAY 23, 1978 AT I - 10 WESTBOUND

# NEAR I - 410 INTERCHANGE IN SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

					DRI	VER	PASSEN	GER
TIMES AM		WEATHER CONDITIONS	TOTAL ≠ VEHICLES	TOTAL ≓ M∕C	TOTAL # W/HELMETS	TOTAL ≠ W/O HELMETS	TOTAL W/HELMETS	TOTAL # W/O HELMETS
May	9:23-9:43	Dry, Clear	513	0	0	0	0	0
23,	9:48-9:58	Dry, Clear	492		3	0	0	0
1978	10:29- 11:00	Dry, Clear	1,042	3	2	1	0	0
тот	ALS		2,047	6	5	1	0	0

.

#### TRAFFIC COUNT FOR JUNE 6, 1978 AT I - 30

EASTBOUND IN DALLAS, TEXAS

					DRI	VER	PASSEN	IGER
TIMES		WEATHER CONDITIONS	TOTAL # VEHICLES	TOTAL # M/C	TOTAL # TOTAL # W W/HELMETS HELMETS		TOTAL # W/HELMETS	TOTAL #W/O HELMETS
AM	11:30- 11:45	Dry, Cloudy	161	1	1	0	0	0
0/0//8 PM	1:42-1:52	Dry, Clear	100	0	_0	0	0	0
TOTAL:			261	1	1	0	0	0

#### TRAFFIC COUNT FOR JUNE 7, 1978 AT I - 35E

#### NORTHBOUND IN DALLAS, TEXAS

AM						
$\begin{bmatrix} 6-7-78 \end{bmatrix}^{10:47}$ 10:47 Dry, Clear	1.199	6	2	4	0	0
TOTAL:	1,199	6	2	4	0	0

TRAFFIC COUNT FOR JUNE 8, 1978 at U. S. 75 SOUTHBOUND - NORTH

CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY NEAR CAMBELL ROAD IN DALLAS, TEXAS

AM 10:13 10:45 6-8-78 Dry, Cloudy 877 0 0 0 0 0 TOTAL: 877 0 0 0 0 0

.

#### MOTORCYCLE INVOLVED ACCIDENT DATA FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS, HARRIS AND DALLAS COUNTIES

	Statew	ide	Dallas Co	ounty	Harris Co	ounty
Month	Frequency	% age	Frequency	% age	Frequency	% age
January	375	3.7	34	2.8	59	4.0*
February	602	5.9	65	5.5	85	5.8
March	736	7.3	72	6.0	119	8.2*
April	928	9.2	120	10.1*	103	7.1
May	1,218	12.0	169	14.2*	171	11.7
June	1,194	11.8	171	14.4*	162	11.1
July	1,222	12.1	130	10.9	171	11.7
August	1,157	11.4	125	10.5	159	10.9
September	978	9.7	115	9.7	151	10.3*
October	745	7.4	77	6.5	128	8.8*
November	513	5.1	63	5.3*	76	5.2*
December	448	4.4	49	4.1	76	5.2*
Totals	10,116	100.0	1,190	100.0	1,460	100.0

Table 1 Accident Frequency and Percentage by Months for 8/29/76 - 8/28/77

\* indicates that figure exceeds state average.

	Statew	ide	Dallas Co	ounty	Harris Co	ounty
Month	Frequency	% age	Frequency	% age	Frequency	% age
January February March April May June July August September	283 399 771 1,054 1,138 1,141 1,043 1,114 1,192	2.6 3.7 7.2 9.9 10.7 10.7 9.8 10.5 11.2	23 29 92 148 136 158 148 148 146 134	1.8 2.3 7.3* 11.7* 10.7 12.5* 11.7* 11.5* 10.6	42 61 119 110 146 151 140 160 161	2.8* 4.1* 8.1* 7.5 9.9 10.3 9.5 10.9* 10.9
October November December	1,062 766 688	10.0 7.2 6.5	113 76 63	8.9 6.0 5.0	1/7 110 95	12.0* 7.5* 6.5
Totals	10,651	100.0	1,266	100.0	1,472	100.0

Table	1a	Accident	Frequency	and	Percentage	bу	Months	for	8/29/77	-	8/28/7	78
-------	----	----------	-----------	-----	------------	----	--------	-----	---------	---	--------	----

\* indicates that figure exceeds state average.

	Statew	Statewide		County	Harris	County
Day of Week	Frequency	% age	Frequency	% age	Frequency	% age
Sunday	1,594	15.8	169	14.2	225	15.4
Monday	1,278	12.6	146	12.3	187	12.8*
Tuesday	1,347	13.3	178	15.0*	201	13.8*
Wednesday	1,343	13.3	175	14.7*	180	12.3
Thursday	1,318	13.0	148	12.4	187	12.8
Friday	1,548	15.3	179	15.0	258	17.7*
Saturday	1,688	16.7	195	16.4	222	15.2
Totals	10,116	100.0	1,190	100.0	1,460	100.0

Table 2 Accident Frequency and Percentage by Day of Week for 8/29/76 - 8/28/77

\* indicates that figure exceeds state average.

Table 2a Accident Frequency and Percentage by Day of Week for 8/29/77-8/28/78

	Statew	ide	Dallas Co	ounty	Harris Co	ounty
Day of Week	Frequency	% age	Frequency	% age	Frequency	% age
Sunday Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday	1,677 1,336 1,208 1,295 1,449 1,746 1,940	15.8 12.5 11.3 12.2 13.6 16.4 18.3	164 161 149 170 191 210 221	12.9 12.7* 11.8* 13.4* 15.1* 16.6* 17.5	217 185 157 181 211 248 273	14.7 12.6* 10.7 12.3* 14.3* 16.8* 18.6*
Totals	10,651	100.0	1,266	100.0	1,472	100.0

\* indicates that figure exceeds state average.

	Statew	ide	Dallas Co	ounty	Harris Co	ounty
Time of Day	Frequency	% age	Frequency	% age	Frequency	% age
M to 1 am	256	<u>с с</u>	27		21	
1  am + 0 2  am	200	2.5	27	2.3	31	2.1
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ m \\ to \\ 2 \\ m \\ m \\ to \\ 2 \\ m \\ m$	100	2.2	20	2.4^	30	2.1
2  am = 10  J  am	199	2.0	24	2.0	28	1.9
$A = \frac{1}{2} + $	29	0.0	4	0.3	3	0.2
4 dill LU 5 dill	20	0.3	2	0.2	6	0.4^
5 dill LO 5 dill	29	0.3	14	0.2	4	0.3
	93	0.9	14	1.2*	21	1.9^
7 am to 8 am	2//	2.7	35	3.0*	54	3./*
8 am to 9 am	222	2.2	24	2.0	32	2.2
9 am to 10 am	194	1.9	29	2.4*	18	1.2
10 am to 11 am	25/	2.5	21	1.8	40	2./*
11 am to N	425	4.2	42	3.5	66	4.5*
N to 1 pm	583	5.8	/1	6.0*	67	4.6
1 pm to 2 pm	561	5.6	68	5.7*	61	4.2
2 pm to 3 pm	627	6.2	73	6.1	82	5.6
3 pm to 4 pm	839	8.3	122	10.3*	113	7.7
4 pm to 5 pm	960	9.5	113	9.5	141	9.7*
5 pm to 6 pm	1,097	10.8	142	11.9*	179	12.3*
6 pm to 7 pm	835	8.2	89	7.5	135	9.2*
7 pm to 8 pm	701	6.9	82	6.9	97	6.6
8 pm to 9 pm	572	5.6	67	5.6	73	5.0
9 pm to 10 pm	432	4.3	41	3.4	68	4.7*
10 pm to 11 pm	341	3.4	41	3.4	55	3.8*
11 pm to M	310	3.1	28	2.4	50	3.4*
Totals	10,116	100.0	1,190	100.0	1,460	100.0

Table 3 Accident Frequency and Percentage by Time of Day for 8/29/76 - 8/28/77

.

\* indicates that figure exceeds state average.

	Statewide		Dallas Co	ounty	Harris County	
Time of Day	Frequency	% age	Frequency	% age	Frequency	% age
Time of Day           M to 1 am           1 am to 2 am           2 am to 3 am           3 am to 4 am           4 am to 5 am           5 am to 6 am           6 am to 7 am           7 am to 8 am           8 am to 9 am           9 am to 10 am           10 am to 11 am           11 am to N           N to 1 pm           1 pm to 2 pm           2 pm to 3 pm           3 pm to 4 pm           4 pm to 5 pm           5 pm to 6 pm           6 pm to 7 pm	Frequency 328 228 253 73 43 30 116 306 242 184 275 398 564 548 560 797 946 1,118 935	% age 3.1 2.1 2.4 0.7 0.4 0.3 1.1 2.9 2.3 1.7 2.6 3.7 5.3 5.1 5.2 7.5 8.9 10.5 8.8	Frequency 33 28 24 9 5 2 12 47 31 25 26 55 64 58 52 94 117 141 116	% age 2.6 2.2* 1.9 0.7 0.4 0.9 3.7* 2.5* 2.0* 2.1 4.3* 5.1 4.6 4.1 7.4 9.2* 11.1* 9.2*	Frequency 50 28 34 13 6 2 31 66 33 24 41 50 68 78 81 115 136 156 119	% age 3.4* 1.9 2.3 0.9* 0.4 0.1 2.1* 4.5* 2.3 1.6 2.8* 3.4 4.6 5.3* 5.5* 7.8* 9.2* 10.6* 8.1
7 pm to 8 pm 8 pm to 9 pm	762 574	7.1 5.4	79 66	6.2 5.2	104 72	7.1 4.9
9 pm to 10 pm 10 pm to 11 pm 11 pm to M	511 422 438	4.8 4.0 4.1	62 69 51	4.9* 5.5* 4.0	56 50 59	3.8 3.4 4.0
Totals	10,651	100.0	1,266	100.0	1,472	100.0

Table 3a Accident Frequency and Percentage by Time of Day for 8/29/77 - 8/28/78

\* indicates that figure exceeds state average.

.

	Statewide		Dallas	County	Harris County	
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
< 18 18-25 25-35 35-50 <u>&gt;</u> 50	1,295 (17.8) 3,622 (49.7) 1,760 (24.2) 482 (6.6) 126 (1.7)	35 (18.1) 81 (42.0) 54 (28.0) 17 (8.8) 6 (3.1)	168 (19.9)* 371 (44.1) 244 (29.0)* 47 (5.6) 12 (1.4)	2 (12.5) 10 (62.5)* 2 (12.5) 1 (6.3) 1 (6.2)*	172 (16.4) 497 (47.2) 297 (28.2)* 73 (6.9)* 14 (1.3)	4 (17.4) 8 (34.8) 8 (34.8)* 2 (8.7) 1 (4.3)*
Total	7,285(100.0)	193(100.0)	842(100.0)	16(100.0)	1,053(100.0)	23(100.0)

Table 4 Age and Sex of Driver (Vehicle #1) for period 8/29/76 - 8/28/77

Table 4a Age and Sex of Driver (Vehicle #1) for period 8/29/77 - 8/28/78

<b></b>	Statewide		Dallas County		Harris County	
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
< 18 18-25 25-35 35-50 <u>&gt;</u> 50	1,765 (22.2) 3,794 (47.7) 1,824 (23.0) 465 (5.9) 99 (1.2)	51 (22.9) 102 (45.7) 51 (22.9) 19 (8.5) 0 (0.0)	221 (22.9)* 427 (44.3) 244 (25.3)* 65 (6.8)* 7 (0.7)	5 (23.8)* 8 (38.1) 6 (28.6)* 2 (9.5)* 0 (0.0)	218 (20.0) 513 (47.0) 281 (25.7)* 65 (6.0)* 14 (1.3)*	8 (32.3)* 9 (37.5) 3 (12.5) 4 (16.7)* 0 (0.0)
Total	7,947(100.0)	223(100.0)	964(100.0)	21(100.0)	1,091(100.0)	24(100.0)

	Statew	ide	Dallas	County	Harris County		
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
< 18 18-25 25-35 35-50 250	545 (24.8) 956 (43.5) 494 (22.5) 154 (7.0) 47 (2.2)	31 (40.8) 25 (32.9) 17 (22.4) 2 (2.6) 1 (1.3)	66 (23.3) 101 (35.7) 85 (30.0)* 26 (9.2)* 5 (1.8)	2 (28.6) 3 (42.9)* 2 (28.5)* 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	52 (20.5) 114 (45.1)* 66 (26.1)* 20 (7.9)* 1 (0.4)	2 (22.2) 6 (66.7)* 1 (11.1) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	
Total	2,196(100.0)	76(100.0)	283(100.0)	7(100.0)	253(100.0)	9(100.0)	

Table 5 Age and Sex of Driver (Vehicle #2) for period 8/29/76 - 8/28/77

Table 5a Age and Sex of Driver (Vehicle #2) for period 8/29/77 - 8/28/78

	Statewide		Dallas	County	Harris County		
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
< 18 18-25 25-35 35-50 <u>&gt;</u> 50	646 (30.7) 894 (42.5) 403 (19.2) 136 (6.5) 24 (1.1)	18 (30.5) 24 (40.7) 15 (25.4) 1 (1.7) 1 (1.7)	61 (25.4) 102 (42.5) 54 (22.5)* 20 (8.3)* 3 (1.3)*	1 (10.0) 4 (40.0) 4 (40.0)* 0 (0.0) 1 (10.0)*	59 (23.7) 102 (41.0) 62 (24.9)* 23 (9.2)* 3 (1.2)*	2 (28.6) 3 (42.8)* 2 (28.6)* 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	
Total	2,103(100.0)	59(100.0)	240(100.0)	10(100.0)	249(100.0)	7(100.0)	

and an	Statewide		Dallas	County	Harris County	
Injury	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	Helmeted	Unhelmeted
Fatal Incap. NIncap. Pos. Inj.	117 (2.3) 1,376 (27.0) 2,892 (56.7) 717 (14.0)	14 (5.5) 80 (31.5) 137 (53.9) 23 (9.1)	13 (2.1) 160 (25.1) 383 (60.1)* 81 (12.7)	0 (0.0) 5 (31.3) 9 (56.2)* 2 (12.5)*	14 (2.0) 213 (31.3)* 354 (52.0) 100 (14.7)*	1 (0.0) 10 (30.3) 18 (54.6)* 4 (12.1)*
Total	5,102(100.0)	254(100.0)	637(100.0)	16(100.0)	681(100.0)	33(100.0)

Table 6 Injury Severity when Helmeted or Unhelmeted (Vehicle #1) for 8/29/76 - 8/28/77

Table 6a	Injury	Severity when	Helmeted d	or Unhelmeted (	Vehicle #1	) for	8/29/77	- 8/28/78
----------	--------	---------------	------------	-----------------	------------	-------	---------	-----------

	State	ewide	Dallas	County	Harris County		
Injury	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	
Fatal Incap. NIncap. Pos. Inj.	64 (2.1) 776 (25.9) 1,714 (57.1) 448 (14.9)	140 (4.1) 1,109 (32.2) 1,811 (52.5) 388 (11.2)	8 (2.1) 80 (20.8) 242 (63.0)* 54 (14.1)	19 (4.2)* 112 (24.9) 279 (62.0)* 40 (8.9)	9 (2.4)* 122 (32.2)* 196 (51.7) 52 (13.7)	30 (6.4)* 177 (37.8)* 199 (42.5) 62 (13.3)*	
Total	3,002(100.0)	3,448(100.0)	384(100.0)	450(100.0)	379(100.0)	468(100.0)	

	State	wide	Dallas	County	Harris County		
Injury	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	
Fatal Incap. NIncap. Pos. Inj.	45 (3.2) 365 (26.3) 743 (53.4) 238 (17.1)	5 (4.8) 41 (39.4) 40 (38.5) 18 (17.3)	3 (1.4) 32 (15.3) 134 (64.1)* 40 (19.2)*	0 (0.0) 5 (41.7)* 6 (50.0)* 1 (8.3)	2 (1.3) 41 (26.3) 83 (53.2) 30 (19.2)*	0 (0.0) 2 (40.0)* 3 (60.0)* 0 (0.0)	
Total	1,391(100.0)	104(100.0)	209(100.0)	12(100.0)	156(100.0)	5(100.0)	

Table 7 Injury Severity when Helmeted or Unhelmeted (Vehicle #2) for 8/29/76 - 8/28/77

	Statewide		Dallas	County	Harris County		
Injury	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	
Fatal Incap. NIncap. Pos. Inj.	24 (2.8) 248 (28.8) 428 (49.8) 160 (18.6)	37 (5.1) 232 (32.1) 350 (48.5) 103 (14.3)	1 (1.0) 21 (21.4) 53 (54.1)* 23 (23.5)*	3 (3.7) 17 (20.7) 48 (58.5)* 14 (17.1)*	2 (1.9) 33 (31.1)* 51 (48.1) 20 (18.9)*	3 (4.1) 26 (35.1)* 34 (45.9) 11 (14.9)*	
Total	860(100.0)	722(100.0)	98(100.0)	82(100.0)	106(100.0)	74(100.0)	

Table 7a Injury Severity when Helmeted or Unhelmeted (Vehicle #2) for 8/29/77 - 8/28/78

Color of	Statewide		Dallas County		Harris County	
Up. Gar.	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness
White Yellow Blue Brown Black Green Red Other	$\begin{array}{c} 612 & (18.9) \\ 140 & (4.3) \\ 1,034 & (31.9) \\ 242 & (7.5) \\ 141 & (4.3) \\ 247 & (7.6) \\ 171 & (5.3) \\ 656 & (20.2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	87 (20.3)* 18 (4.2) 158 (36.9)* 26 (6.1) 23 (5.4)* 27 (6.3) 18 (4.2) 71 (16.6)	37 (24.3)* 3 (2.0) 61 (40.1)* 7 (4.6) 12 (7.9)* 4 (2.6) 6 (4.0)* 22 (14.5)	76 (18.0) 20 (4.7)* 141 (33.4)* 39 (9.2)* 21 (5.0)* 24 (5.7) 18 (4.3) 83 (19.7)	22 (12.2) 6 (3.3) 64 (35.4)* 20 (11.0)* 15 (8.3)* 10 (5.5) 10 (5.5)* 34 (18.8)
Total	3,243(100.0)	1,333(100.0)	428(100.0)	152(100.0)	422(100.0)	181(100.0)

Table 8 Color of Upper Garment by Light Condition (Vehicle #1) for 8/29/76 - 8/28/77

Table 8a Color of Upper Garment by Light Condition (Vehicle #1) for 8/29/77 - 8/28/78

Color of Up. Gar.	Statewide		Dallas County		Harris County		
	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness	
White Yellow Blue Brown Black Green Red Other	$\begin{array}{c} 669 & (18.8) \\ 137 & (3.8) \\ 1,150 & (32.2) \\ 290 & (8.1) \\ 204 & (5.7) \\ 226 & (6.4) \\ 168 & (4.7) \\ 724 & (20.3) \end{array}$	265 (14.6) 65 (3.6) 610 (33.7) 186 (10.3) 125 (6.9) 134 (7.4) 79 (4.4) 345 (19.1)	98 (20.7)* 19 (4.0)* 148 (31.2) 35 (7.4) 29 (6.1)* 24 (5.1) 19 (4.0) 102 (21.5)*	34 (13.9) 10 (4.1)* 89 (36.3)* 32 (13.1)* 18 (7.3)* 12 (4.9) 9 (3.7) 41 (16.7)	93 (19.6)* 17 (3.6) 158 (33.3)* 37 (7.8) 41 (8.6)* 23 (4.8) 21 (4.4) 85 (17.9)	38 (17.0)* 4 (1.8) 73 (32.6) 30 (13.4)* 15 (6.7) 11 (4.9) 11 (4.9)* 42 (18.7)	
Total	3,568(100.0)	1,809(100.0)	474(100.0)	245(100.0)	475(100.0)	224(100.0)	
Color of	State	ewide	Dallas	County	Harris County		
--	--	--	--	---	--	---	--
Low. Gar.	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness	
White Yellow Blue Brown Black Green Red Other	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 12 & (0.8) \\ 2 & (0.1) \\ 1,203 & (84.7) \\ 78 & (5.5) \\ 30 & (2.1) \\ 41 & (2.9) \\ 3 & (0.2) \\ 52 & (3.7) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & (1.9)^{*} \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 142 & (88.7)^{*} \\ 7 & (4.4) \\ 3 & (1.9) \\ 2 & (1.2) \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 3 & (1.9) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 6 & (1.3) \\ 2 & (0.5)* \\ 359 & (80.5) \\ 33 & (7.4)* \\ 6 & (1.3)* \\ 11 & (2.5) \\ 2 & (0.4) \\ 27 & (6.1)* \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
Total	3,455(100.0)	1,421(100.0)	453(100.0)	160(100.0)	446(100.0)	193(100.0)	

Table 9 Color of Lower Garment by Light Condition (Vehicle #1) for 8/29/76 - 8/28/77

\* percentage exceeds statewide average.

Table 9a	Color of l	Lower Garment	by Light	Condition	(Vehicle #1	) for 8/29/77	- 8/28/78
----------	------------	---------------	----------	-----------	-------------	---------------	-----------

Color of	Sta	atewide	Dallas	County	Harris	County
Low. Gar.	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness
White Yellow Blue Brown Black Green Red Other	$\begin{array}{cccc} 61 & (1.6) \\ 9 & (0.2) \\ 3,282 & (84.2) \\ 188 & (4.8) \\ 52 & (1.3) \\ 104 & (2.7) \\ 19 & (0.5) \\ 184 & (4.7) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 17 & (0.8) \\ 5 & (0.3) \\ 1,718 & (86.2) \\ 89 & (4.5) \\ 35 & (1.8) \\ 54 & (2.7) \\ 4 & (0.2) \\ 70 & (3.5) \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 12 & (2.3)^{*} \\ 2 & (0.4)^{*} \\ 415 & (81.5) \\ 36 & (7.1)^{*} \\ 6 & (1.2) \\ 9 & (1.8) \\ 1 & (0.2) \\ 28 & (5.5)^{*} \end{array}$	2 (0.8) 0 (0.0) 203 (83.2) 16 (6.5)* 6 (2.5)* 7 (2.9)* 0 (0.0) 10 (4.1)*
Total	3,899(100.0)	1,992(100.0)	513(100.0)	269(100.0)	509(100.0)	244(100.0)

\* percentage exceeds statewide average.

Color of	Sta	tewide	Dallas County		Harris County	
Up. Gar.	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness
White Yellow Blue Brown Black Green Red Other	$\begin{array}{c} 194 \ (19.1) \\ 38 \ (3.8) \\ 330 \ (32.5) \\ 70 \ (6.9) \\ 34 \ (3.4) \\ 64 \ (6.3) \\ 54 \ (5.3) \\ 230 \ (22.7) \end{array}$	48 (16.5) 15 (5.2) 104 (35.9) 28 (9.6) 17 (5.9) 24 (8.3) 7 (2.4) 47 (16.2)	32 (19.9)* 4 (2.5) 53 (32.9)* 11 (6.8) 5 (3.1) 12 (7.5)* 7 (4.3) 37 (23.0)*	5 (15.1)  2 (6.1)*  17 (51.5)*  3 (9.1)  1 (3.0)  3 (9.1)*  0 (0.0)  2 (6.1)	24 (23.8)* 5 (4.9)* 33 (32.7)* 8 (7.9)* 3 (3.0) 3 (3.0) 5 (4.9) 20 (19.8)	6 (15.8) 4 (10.5)* 9 (23.7) 3 (7.9) 4 (10.5)* 1 (2.6) 1 (2.6)* 10 (26.4)*
Total	1,014(100.0)	290(100.0)	161(100.0)	33(100.0)	101(100.0)	38(100.0)

Table 10 Color of Upper Garment by Light Condition (Vehicle #2) for 8/29/76 - 8/28/77

\* percentage exceeds statewide average.

Table 10a	Color of l	Jpper Garment	by Light	Condition	(Vehicle #2	2) for	8/29/77	- 8/28/78
-----------	------------	---------------	----------	-----------	-------------	--------	---------	-----------

Color of	State	ewide	Dallas County		Harris County	
Up. Gar.	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness
White Yellow Blue Brown Black Green Red Other	$\begin{array}{c} 175 \ (17.2) \\ 48 \ (4.7) \\ 333 \ (32.8) \\ 97 \ (9.6) \\ 49 \ (4.8) \\ 64 \ (6.3) \\ 36 \ (3.5) \\ 214 \ (21.1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 57 & (16.6) \\ 17 & (5.0) \\ 117 & (34.1) \\ 38 & (11.1) \\ 25 & (7.3) \\ 23 & (6.7) \\ 12 & (3.5) \\ 54 & (15.7) \end{array}$	22 (20.2)* 3 (2.8) 43 (39.4)* 9 (8.3) 8 (7.3)* 4 (3.7) 2 (1.8) 18 (16.5)	5 (12.5) 1 (2.5) 13 (32.5) 6 (15.0)* 5 (12.5)* 2 (5.0) 1 (2.5) 7 (17.5)*	$\begin{array}{cccc} 23 & (20.5)^{*} \\ 6 & (5.4)^{*} \\ 38 & (33.9)^{*} \\ 8 & (7.1) \\ 6 & (5.4)^{*} \\ 6 & (5.4) \\ 1 & (0.9) \\ 24 & (21.4)^{*} \end{array}$	7 (14.6) 3 (6.2)* 13 (27.1) 5 (10.4) 3 (6.2) 2 (4.2) 6 (12.5)* 9 (18.8)*
Total	1,016(100.0)	343(100.0)	109(100.0)	40(100.0)	112(100.0)	48(100.0)

\* percentage exceeds statewide average.

Color of	State	ewide	Dallas County		Harris County		
Low. Gar.	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness	
White Yellow Blue Brown Black Green Red Other	$\begin{array}{c} 9 & (0.8) \\ 4 & (0.4) \\ 876 & (81.9) \\ 66 & (6.2) \\ 9 & (0.8) \\ 33 & (3.1) \\ 6 & (0.6) \\ 66 & (6.2) \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 4 & (2.3)^{*} \\ 1 & (0.6)^{*} \\ 153 & (87.9)^{*} \\ 7 & (4.0) \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 3 & (1.7) \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 6 & (3.5) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & (0.0) \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 31 & (88.7)^{*} \\ 1 & (2.8) \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 2 & (5.7)^{*} \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 1 & (2.8) \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & (0.0) \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 37 & (92.5)^* \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 1 & (2.5)^* \\ 1 & (2.5) \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 1 & (2.5) \end{array}$	
Total	1,069(100.0)	311(100.0)	174(100.0)	35(100.0)	109(100.0)	40(100.0)	

Table 11 Color of Lower Garment by Light Condition (Vehicle #2) for 8/29/76 - 8/28/77

\* percentage exceeds statewide average.

Table 11a	Color of Lo	ower Garment by	Light Condition	(Vehicle #2)	for 8/29/77	- 8/28/78
-----------	-------------	-----------------	-----------------	--------------	-------------	-----------

Color of	State	ewide	Dallas County		Harris County		
Low. Gar.	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness	Daylight	Darkness	
White Yellow Blue Brown Black Green Red Other	$\begin{array}{cccc} 16 & (1.5) \\ 1 & (0.1) \\ 912 & (83.2) \\ 56 & (5.1) \\ 9 & (0.8) \\ 27 & (2.5) \\ 5 & (0.4) \\ 70 & (6.4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & (0.8) \\ 1 & (0.3) \\ 316 & (85.0) \\ 20 & (5.4) \\ 6 & (1.6) \\ 9 & (2.4) \\ 2 & (0.5) \\ 15 & (4.0) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & (2.5)^{\star} \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 104 & (85.2)^{\star} \\ 2 & (1.6) \\ 1 & (0.8) \\ 1 & (0.8) \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 11 & (9.0)^{\star} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & (0.0) \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 42 & (91.3)^{*} \\ 1 & (2.2) \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 2 & (4.3)^{*} \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 1 & (2.2) \end{array}$	5 (4.3)*  0 (0.0)  91 (77.8)  9 (7.7)*  1 (0.9)*  1 (0.8)  0 (0.0)  10 $(8.5)*$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & (2.0)^{*} \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 41 & (83.7) \\ 5 & (10.2)^{*} \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 0 & (0.0) \\ 2 & (4.1)^{*} \end{array}$	
Total	1,096(100.0)	372(100.0)	122(100.0)	46(100.0)	117(100.0)	49(100.0)	

\* percentage exceeds statewide average.

## Table 12\*

Comparison of 1976 Dusk and Dark Survey of Pedestrian by Color

of Clothing with 1976-1977 Motorcycle Involved

Color	Number observed	Percent- age	Number vehicle #1	Percent- age	Fatality chances	Number vehicle #2	Percent- age	Fatality chances
White	798	45.0	29	7.8	-83%	4	6.2	-86%
Yellow	273	15.4	7	1.9	-88%	1	1.6	-90%
Brown	177	10.0	167	45.1	+351%	30	46.9	+369%
Black	153	8.6	65	17.6	+105%	10	15.6	+81%
Green	205	11.6	95	25.7	+122%	17	26.6	+129%
Red	166	9.4	7	1.9	-80%	2	3.1	-67%

Accidents by Color of Clothing of the Riders

\*Corder-Bolz, C. R. and G. Potter. A Pilot Study of the Effects of Color of Clothing Upon Pedestrian - Vehicle Accident Probabilities. Austin, Texas: Southwest Educational Development Laboratory, May, 1978.

IUDIC IU	Ta	p.	1	е	13
----------	----	----	---	---	----

Statewide Helmet Usage for Motorcycle Involved Accidents Occurring in Urban Areas from August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977

	Vehic	cle 1	Vehicle 2		
Helmet Usage	<pre># Accident</pre>	Percentage	<pre># Accident</pre>	Percentage	
Helmeted Unhelmeted Unknown	3,262 104 1,441	67.8 2.2 30.0	934 39 550	61.3 2.6 36.1	
Total	4,807	100.0	1,523	100.0	

## Table 13a

Statewide Helmet Usage for Motorcycle Involved Accidents Occurring in Urban Areas from August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978

	Vehic	le 1	Vehio	cle 2	
Helmet Usage	<pre># Accident</pre>	Percentage	<pre># Accident</pre>	Percentage	
Helmeted Unhelmeted Unknown	1,890 2,130 1,190	36.3 40.9 22.8	538 430 423	38.7 30.9 30.4	
Total	5,210	100.0	1,391	100.0	

Statewide Helmet Usage for Motorcycle Involved Accidents Occurring in Rural Areas from August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977

	Vehi	cle 1	Vehi	cle 2	
Helmet Usage	<pre># Accident</pre>	Percentage	# Accident	Percentage	
Helmeted Unhelmeted Unknown	1,840 150 910	63.4 5.2 31.4	457 65 338	53.1 7.6 39.3	
Total	2,900	100.0	860	100.0	

#### Table 14a

Statewide Helmet Usage for Motorcycle Involved Accidents Occurring in Rural Areas from August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978

	Vehi	cle 1	Vehi	Vehicle 2			
Helmet Usage	<pre># Accident</pre>	Percentage	# Accident	Percentage			
Helmeted Unhelmeted Unknown	1,112 1,318 757	34.9 41.4 23.7	322 292 247	37.4 33.9 28.7			
Total	3,187	100.0	861	100.0			

<u></u>		Dallas	County*	Harris	County**
	Item Descriptor	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
1.	Total number accidents in county	1,150	1,227	1,175	1,323
2.	Total number cases available	110	156	82	90
3.	Seat position: Rider Passenger	90 20	126 30	67 15	59 31
4.	Age group: less than 18 18 to 25 25 to 35 35 to 50 50 or over	15 51 35 8 1	22 92 34 8 0	21 36 18 5 2	20 40 23 7 0
5.	Total number of injuries per accident: 1 injury 2 injuries 3 injuries 4 injuries 5 injuries 6 injuries 7 injuries 8 injuries 9 injuries Undeterminable	16 34 28 16 2 5 3 0 3 3 3	46 47 32 6 11 4 4 5 1 10	25 27 16 5 3 1 0 2 0	19 28 22 10 5 3 1 2 0 0
6.	Injury severity index: less than 10 10 to < 20 20 to < 30 30 to < 40 40 to < 50 50 to < 60 60 to < 70 70 to < 80 80 to < 90	56 30 4 7 8 0 4 0 1	85 40 1 7 16 5 2 0 0	37 25 5 7 0 3 4 1 0	56 4 5 14 11 0 0 0 0

## HOSPITAL DATA FOR MOTORCYCLE ACCIDENTS WHICH OCCURRED DURING 8/28/76 through 8/28/78

\*Parkland Hospital - county owned

\*\*Memorial Hospital System - 3 non county owned

#### Table 15 continued

	Dallas	County	Harris	County
Item Descriptor	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
7a. Hospital disposition:				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Received treatment and released Hospitalized Dead on arrival Dead within 30 days Unaccounted	46 45 7 9 3	68 57 20 10 1	59 8 13 2 0	36 24 30 0
7b. Duration of hospitalization:				
less than 5 days 5 days but < 10 days 10 days but <15 days 15 days but < 20 days 20 days but < 25 days 25 days but < 30 days 30 days or more	79 12 2 5 2 3 7	118 13 10 6 2 2 5	76 2 0 0 0 2	77 6 2 1 1 1 2
8. Cost of accident*				
<pre>\$ 520 \$ 2,190 \$ 4,350 \$ 8,055 \$ 86,955 \$ 192,240 Undeterminable</pre>	1 32 28 25 2 0 22	0 39 50 28 4 2 33	0 33 25 8 0 0 16	0 21 25 9 3 0 32

#### HOSPITAL DATA FOR MOTORCYCLE ACCIDENTS WHICH OCCURRED DURING 8/28/76 through 8/28/78

\* costs were taken from Table 1. Societal Costs, Summary, 1975(Dollars), National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, <u>1975 Societal Costs of</u> <u>Motor Vehicle Accidents</u>, December, 1976.

											1
Location of		Number of Injuries Sustained per Accident*									
Most Severe Injury	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	Mean
Head Neck Chest Abdomen Lower extremities General body	- - 3 4 6 3	2 - 5 6 10 11	8 - 7 1 7 5	3 2 4 4 2 1	2 - - - -	2 - 2 - 1	1 - 1 - 1 -		3 - - - -	21 2 22 15 27 20	4.57 4.00 3.14 2.33 2.52 2.20
Total	16	34	28	16	2	5	3	_	3	107	2.99

Table 16 Location of the Body Which Sustained the Most Severe Injury from Motorcycle Accidents during August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

\* no determination was possible for three cases.

Table 16a Location of the Body Which Sustained the Most Severe Injury from Motorcycle Accidents during August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

Location of		Number of Injuries Sustained per Accident									
Most Severe Injury	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	Mean
Head	3	12	9	2	5	1	2	3	-	37	3.54
Neck Chest	11	- 11	6	-3	2	1	1	1	-	36	2.61
Abdomen	4	3 10	2 9	1 -	1 1	-	-	- -	1 -	12 30	2.83
General body	18	11	5	-	-	1	-	-	-	35	1.74
Total	46	47	32	6	11	4	4	5	1	156	2.67

Location of			Numb	er of	Inju	ries	Susta	ined	per Ac	ccident	Waightod
Most Severe Injury	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	Mean
Head Neck Chest Abdomen Lower extremities General body	2 - 4 5 8 6	4 - 5 3 12 3	4 1 4 1 4 2	2 - 1 - 2 -	3 - - - -	1 1 1 - -	- 1 - -		- 1 - 1	16 2 17 9 26 12	3.19 4.50 3.06 1.56 2.00 2.25
Total	25	27	16	5	3	3	1	-	2	82	2.50

Table 17 Location of the Body Which Sustained the Most Severe Injury from Motorcycle Accidents during August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

Table 17a Location of the Body Which Sustained the Most Severe Injury from Motorcycle Accidents during August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

Location of Most Severe			Numt	per of	Inju	ries	Susta	ined	per A	ccident	Weighted
Injury	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	Mean
Head	4	6	5	4	3	2	1		-	25	3.24
Neck	-	1	3	-		-	-	-	-	4	2.75
Chest	5	6	6	5	2	1	-	1	-	26	3.04
Abdomen	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2.00
Lower extremities	2	8	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	2.25
General body	8	6	2	1	-	-	-	1	-	18	2.11
Total	19	28	22	10	5	3	1	2		90	2.74

#### Duration of Hospitalization for Motorcycle Accident Injury Referrals during August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

#### 46 were treated and released - 7 were dead on arrival

Hospital		D	uration of H	ospitalizati	on (in days)	*	
Disposition	< 5	5 to < 10	10 to < 15	15 to <20	20 to < 25	25 to < 30	30 or more
Hospitalized Hospitalized and Died	19 (82.6)+ 4 (17.4)+	9 (75.0)+ 3 (25.0)+	2(100.0)+	5(100.0)+ -	2(100.0)+ -	2 (66.7)+ 1 (33.3)+	6 (85.7)+ 1 (14.3)+
Total	23(100.0)+	12(100.0)+	2(100.0)+	5(100.0)+	2(100.0)+	3(100.0)+	7(100.0)+

\* no determination was possible for three cases.

+ (percentage)

#### Table 18a

#### Duration of Hospitalization for Motorcycle Accident Injury Referrals during August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

#### 68 were treated and released - 20 were dead on arrival

Hospital	Participation of the American State and Strategy of the State of Theorem	D	Juration of H	lospitalizati	on (in days)	*	
Disposition	< 5	5 to < 10	10 to < 15	15 to < 20	20 to < 25	25 to < 30	30 or more
Hospitalized Hospitalized and Died	26 (83.9)+ 5 (16.1)+	10 (83.3)+ 2 (16.7)+	8 (88.9)+ 1 (11.1)+	5 (83.3)+ 1 (16.7)+	2(100.0)+	2(100.0)+	4 (80.0)+ 1 (20.0)+
Total	31(100.0)+	12(100.0)+	9(100.0)+	6(100.0)+	2(100.0)+	2(100.0)+	5(100.0)+

\* no determination was possible for one case.

#### Duration of Hospitalization for Motorcycle Accident Injury Referrals during August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

59 were treated and released - 13 were dead on arrival											
Hospital		D	Juration of H	lospitalizat	ion (in days)	)					
Disposition	< 5	5 to<10	10 to < 15	15 to < 20	20 to < 25	25 to < 30	30 or more				
Hospitalized	4 (80.0)+	1(50.0)+	2(100.0)+	-	-	-	1(100.0)+				
Hospitalized and Died	1 (20.0)+	1 (50.0)+	-	-	-						
Total	5(100.0)+	2(100.0)+	2(100.0)+	-	-	-	1(100.0)+				

+ (percentage)

#### Table 19a

#### Duration of Hospitalization for Motorcycle Accident Injury Referrals during August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

36 were treated and released - 30 were dead on arrival

Hospital			Duration of H	lospitalizati	on (in days)	)	
Disposition	< 5	5 to < 10	10 to < 15	15 to < 20	20 to<25	25 to < 30	30 or more
Hospitalized Hospitalized and Died	12(100.0)+	6(100.0)+ -	2(100.0)+ -	1(100.0)+	1(100.0)+	1(100.0)+	1(100.0)+ -
Total	12(100.0)+	6(100.0)+	2(100.0)+	1(100.0)+	1(100.0)+	1(100.0)+	1(100.0)+

## Injury Severity by Age of Motorcyclist for Accidents Occurring during August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

Injury		Aqe o	f Motorcyclist		
Severity	< 18	18 < 25	25 < 35	35 < 50	50 or more
< 10 10 < 20 20 < 30 30 < 40 40 < 50 50 < 60 60 < 70 70 < 80 80 < 90	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23 (65.7)+ * 8 (22.8)+ 1 (2.9)+ 1 (2.9)+ 1 (2.9)+ - 1 (2.8)+ - -	5 (62.5)+ 1 (12.5)+ 2 (25.0)+ - - - -	- - - 1(100.0)+ - - -
Total	15(100.0)+	51(100.0)+	35(100.0)+	8(100.0)+	1(100.0)+

+ (percentage)

#### Table 20a

Injury Severity by Age of Motorcyclist for Accidents Occurring during August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

Injury		Age o	f Motorcyclist		
Severity	< 18	18 < 25	25 < 35	35 < 50	50 or more
< 10 10 < 20 20 < 30 30 < 40 40 < 50 50 < 60 60 < 70 70 < 80 80 < 90	$ \begin{array}{r} 14 & (63.7)+\\ 1 & (4.5)+\\ 1 & (4.5)+\\ 2 & (9.1)+\\ 2 & (9.1)+\\ 2 & (9.1)+\\ 2 & (9.1)+\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\end{array} $	53 (57.6)+ 25 (27.2)+ - 4 (4.3)+ 8 (8.7)+ 2 (2.2)+ - - -	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 (37.5)+ 3 (37.5)+ - 2 (25.0)+ - - -	
Total	22(100.0)+	92(100.0)+	34(100.0)+	8(100.0)+	

·····								
Injury	Age of Motorcyclist							
Severity	< 18	18 < 25	25 < 35	<b>35</b> < 50	50 or more			
< 10 10 < 20 20 < 30 30 < 40 40 < 50 50 < 60 60 < 70 70 < 80 80 < 90	9 $(42.8)+$ 7 $(33.3)+$ 2 $(9.5)+$ 1 $(4.8)+$ - 1 $(4.8)+$ 1 $(4.8)+$ - - -	$\begin{array}{c} 17 & (47.2)+\\ 9 & (25.0)+\\ 2 & (5.6)+\\ 4 & (11.1)+\\ -\\ 1 & (2.8)+\\ 3 & (8.3)+\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\end{array}$	9 (50.0)+ 6 (33.3)+ 1 (5.6)+ 1 (5.6)+ - 1 (5.5)+ - - -	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & (20.0)+\\ 2 & (40.0)+\\ 1 & (20.0)+\\ \\ -\\ 1 & (20.0)+\\ \\ -\\ 1 & (20.0)+\\ \end{array}$	1 (50.0)+ 1 (50.0)+ - - - - - - - - -			
Total	21(100.0)+	36(100.0)+	18(100.0)+	5(100.0)+	2(100.0)+			

#### Injury Severity by Age of Motorcyclist for Accidents Occurring during August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

+ (percentage)

## Table 21a

Injury Severity by Age of Motorcyclist for Accidents Occurring during August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

Injury		Age o	f Motorcyclist		
Severity	< 18	18 < 25	25 < 35	35 < 50	50 or more
< 10 10 < 20 20 < 30 30 < 40 40 < 50 50 < 60 60 < 70 70 < 80	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 24 & (60.0)+\\ 2 & (5.0)+\\ 4 & (10.0)+\\ 4 & (10.0)+\\ 6 & (15.0)+\\ & -\\ & -\\ & -\\ & -\\ & -\\ & -\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 15 & (65.2)+\\ \hline 1 & (4.4)+\\ 7 & (30.4)+\\ \hline -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 (28.6)+\\ 1 (14.3)+\\ -\\ 1 (14.3)+\\ 3 (42.8)+\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\end{array}$	- - - - - - -
80 < 90	-	-	-	-	-
Total	20(100.0)+	40(100.0)+	23(100.0)+	7(100.0)+	

#### Extrapolated Cost by Injury Severity Sustained in Motorcycle Accident during August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

Injury	Extrapolated Cost Categories*							
Severity	\$520	\$2,190	\$4,350	\$8,055	\$86,955	\$192,240	Unk.	
< 10 10 < 20 20 < 30 30 < 40 40 < 50 50 < 60 60 < 70 70 < 80 80 < 90	- 1(100.0)+ - - - - - - - - -	26 (81.3)+ 6 (18.7)+ - - - - - - - - - - -	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 & (39.3)+\\ 15 & (53.5)+\\ 1 & (3.6)+\\ 1 & (3.6)+\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 15 & (60.0) + \\ 7 & (28.0) + \\ 1 & (4.0) + \\ 1 & (4.0) + \\ 1 & (4.0) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\$	1 (50.0)+ 1 (50.0)+ - - - -		$\begin{array}{c} 3 (13.6)+\\ 1 (4.6)+\\ 1 (4.6)+\\ 5 (22.7)+\\ 7 (31.8)+\\ -\\ 4 (18.2)+\\ -\\ 1 (4.5)+ \end{array}$	
Total	1(100.0)+	32(100.0)+	28(100.0)+	25(100.0)+	2(100.0)+	-	22(100.0)+	

\* average cost per accident was \$6,451.

+ (percentage)

#### Table 22a

#### Extrapolated Cost by Injury Severity Sustained in Motorcycle Accident during August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

Injury	Extrapolated Cost Categories*								
Severity	\$520	\$2,190	\$4,350	\$8,055	\$86,955	\$192,240	Unk.		
< 10	-	31 (79.5)+	31 (62.0)+	17 (60.7)+	1 (25.0)+	1 (50.0)+	4 (12.1)+		
10 < 20	-	8 (20.5)+	19(38.0)+	10 (35.7)+	3 (75.0)+	-	-		
20 < 30	-	`- ´	-	_	· - /	1 (50.0)+	_		
30 < 40	-	-	-	-	-	-	7 (21.2)+		
40 < 50	-	-	-	1 (3.6)+	-	-	15 (45.5)+		
50 < 60	-	-	-	***	-	-	5 (15.1)+		
60 < 70	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 (6.1)+		
70 < 80	-	-	-	-	-		-		
80 < 90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Total	-	39(100.0)+	50(100.0)+	28(100.0)+	4(100.0)+	2(100.0)+	33(100.0)+		

\* average cost per accident was \$10,250.

## Extrapolated Cost by Injury Severity Sustained in Motorcycle Accidents during August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

Iniurv			Extrapola	ted Cost Cate	egories*						
Severity	\$520	\$2,190	\$4,350	\$8,055	\$86,955	\$192,240	Unk.				
< 10	-	23 (69.7)+	11 (44.0)+	2 (25.0)+	-	-	1 (6.3)+				
10 < 20	-	9 (27.3)+	12 (48.0)+	4 (50.0)+	-	-	-				
20 < 30	-	1 (3.0)+	2 (8.0)+	2 (25.0)+	-	-	-				
30 < 40	-	-	-	-	-	-	7 (43.7)+				
40 < 50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
50 < 60	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 (18.7)+				
60 < 70	-	-	-	_	-	-	4 (25.0)+				
70 < 80	-	-	-	-	-	-	1(6.3)+				
80 < 90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Total	-	33(100.0)+	25(100.0)+	8(100.0)+	-	-	16(100.0)+				

\* average cost per accident was \$3,719.

+ (percentage)

#### Table 23a

## Extrapolated Cost by Injury Severity Sustained in Motorcycle Accident during August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

Iniury			Extrapola	ted Cost Cat	egories*		
Severity	\$520	\$2,190	\$4,350	\$8,055	\$86,955	\$192,240	Unk.
< 10	-	20 (95.2)+	23 (92.0)+	9(100.0)+	2 (66.7)+	-	2 (6.2)+
10 < 20	-	1 (4.8)+	2 (8.0)+	-	1 (33.3)+	-	-
20 < 30	-	-	-	-	-	-	5 (15.6)+
30 < 40	-	-	-	-	-	-	14 (43.8)+
40 < 50	-	-	-	-	-	-	11 (34.4)+
50 < 60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
60 < 70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
70 < 80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
80 < <b>9</b> 0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	-	21(100.0)+	25(100.0)+	9(100.0)+	3(100.0)+	<del></del>	32(100.0)+

\*average cost per accident was \$8,416.

#### Frequency of Injuries by Body Region for Motorcycle Accidents Occurring August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

	Frequency of Injuries by Severity Categories							
Body Region	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe			
Head Neck Chest Abdomen Lower extremities General body	21 (19.1)+ 2 (1.8)+ 23 (20.9)+ 16 (14.6)+ 27 (24.5)+ 21 (19.1)+	5 (5.4)+ 5 (5.4)+ 21 (22.9)+ 5 (5.4)+ 26 (28.3)+ 30 (32.6)+	$\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & (5.4)+\\ 1 & (1.8)+\\ 21 & (37.5)+\\ 4 & (7.1)+\\ 7 & (12.5)+\\ 20 & (35.7)+ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$			
Total	110(100.0)+	92(100.0)+	56(100.0)+	29(100.0)+	13(100.0)+			

+ (percentage)

#### Table 24a

Frequency of Injuries by Body Region for Motorcycle Accidents Occurring August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

	Frequency of Injuries by Severity Categories								
Body Region	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe				
Head Neck Chest Abdomen Lower extremities General body	37 (23.7)+ 6 (3.9)+ 36 (23.1)+ 12 (7.7)+ 30 (19.2)+ 35 (22.4)+	7 $(6.4)+$ 5 $(4.6)+$ 28 $(25.7)+$ 19 $(17.4)+$ 19 $(17.4)+$ 31 $(28.5)+$	6 (9.5)+ 2 (3.2)+ 15 (23.8)+ 11 (17.5)+ 12 (19.0)+ 17 (27.0)+	$\begin{array}{cccc} 2 & (6.5)+\\ 2 & (6.5)+\\ 14 & (45.1)+\\ 2 & (6.5)+\\ 1 & (3.2)+\\ 10 & (32.2)+ \end{array}$	2 (8.3)+ 1 (4.2)+ 5 (20.8)+ 3 (12.5)+ 1 (4.2)+ 12 (50.0)+				
Total	156(100.0)+	109(100.0)+	63(100.0)+	31(100.0)+	24(100.0)+				

#### Frequency of Injuries by Body Region for Motorcycle Accidents Occurring August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

	Frequency of Injuries by Severity Categories							
Body Region	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe			
Head Neck Chest Abdomen Lower extremities General body	16 (19.5)+ 2 (2.5)+ 17 (20.7)+ 9 (11.0)+ 26 (31.7)+ 12 (14.6)+	8 (14.0)+ 3 (5.3)+ 20 (35.1)+ 5 (8.8)+ 11 (19.3)+ 10 (17.5)+	5 (16.7)+ $-$ $6 (20.0)+$ $3 (10.0)+$ $10 (33.3)+$ $6 (20.0)+$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1  (7.1)+\\ 4  (28.6)+\\ 2  (14.3)+\\ 3  (21.4)+\\ 4  (28.6)+\\ \end{array} $	1 (11.1)+ $1 (11.1)+$ $5 (55.6)+$ $2 (22.2)+$			
Total	82(100.0)+	57(100.0)+	30(100.0)+	14(100.0)+	9(100.0)+			

+ (percentage)

#### Table 25a

Frequency of Injuries by Body Region for Motorcycle Accidents Occurring August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

	Fr	rity Categories	S		
Body Region	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe
Head Neck Chest Abdomen Lower extremities General body	25 (27.8)+ 4 (4.4)+ 26 (28.9)+ 1 (1.1)+ 16 (17.8)+ 18 (70.0)+	17 (23.9)+ 1 (1.4)+ 13 (18.3)+ 9 (12.7)+ 17 (24.0)+ 14 (19.7)+	7 (16.3)+ 3 (7.0)+ 8 (18.6)+ 4 (9.3)+ 11 (25.6)+ 10 (23.2)+	4 (19.0)+ 2 (9.5)+ 2 (9.5)+ 4 (19.1)+ 9 (42.9)+	5 (45.4)+3 (27.3)+3 (27.3)+
Total	90(100.0)+	71(100.0)+	43(100.0)+	21(100.0)+	11(100.0)+

Frequency of Injury by Body Aspect for Motorcycle Accidents
during August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977
(Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

······································	Frequency of Injuries by Severity Categories					
Body Aspect	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe	
Proximal Distal Right side Left side Bilateral Central Anterior Posterior Superior Inferior Whole body Not applicable Unknown	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 27 (24.6) + \\ 33 (30.0) + \\ 2 (1.8) + \\ 3 (2.7) + \\ 1 (0.9) + \\ 1 (0.9) + \\ 5 (4.6) + \\ 4 (3.6) + \\ 33 (30.0) + \\ 1 (0.9) + \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 30 & (32.6) + \\ 22 & (23.9) + \\ 1 & (1.1) + \\ - \\ 4 & (4.4) + \\ 1 & (1.1) + \\ 4 & (4.3) + \\ 2 & (2.2) + \\ 28 & (30.4) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 11 & (19.6) + \\ 19 & (33.9) + \\ 1 & (1.8) + \\ 2 & (3.6) + \\ 3 & (5.3) + \\ 3 & (5.4) + \\ 2 & (3.6) + \\ - \\ 15 & (26.8) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 4 & (13.8) + \\ 9 & (31.0) + \\ 1 & (3.5) + \\ 2 & (6.9) + \\ - \\ - \\ 1 & (3.4) + \\ 12 & (41.4) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\$	4 (30.8)+ 4 (30.8)+ - - - 5 (38.4)+ -	
Total	110(100.0)+	92(100.0)+	56(100.0)+	29(100.0)+	13(100.0)+	

+(percentage)

## Table 26a

Frequency of Injury by Body Aspect for Motorcycle Accidents Occurring August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

	Frequency of Injuries by Severity Categories				
Body Aspect	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe
Proximal Distal Right side Left side Bilateral Central Anterior Posterior Superior Inferior Whole body	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & (0.6)+\\ 1 & (0.6)+\\ 51 & (32.7)+\\ 36 & (23.1)+\\ 10 & (6.4)+\\ 3 & (2.0)+\\ 7 & (4.5)+\\ 8 & (5.1)+\\ 1 & (0.6)+\\ 1 & (0.6)+\\ 23 & (14.8)+\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 25 (22.9) + \\ 37 (33.9) + \\ 9 (8.3) + \\ 1 (0.9) + \\ 7 (6.4) + \\ 4 (3.7) + \\ - \\ 11 (10.1) + \\ 11 (10.1) + \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & (1.6)+\\ 16 & (25.4)+\\ 21 & (33.3)+\\ 5 & (7.9)+\\ 1 & (1.6)+\\ 3 & (4.8)+\\ -\\ 7 & (11.1)+\\ 21 & (12.7)+\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 5 & (16.1) + \\ 4 & (12.9) + \\ 3 & (9.7) + \\ - \\ 3 & (9.7) + \\ 2 & (6.5) + \\ - \\ 5 & (16.1) + \\ 7 & (22.6) + \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 6 & (25.0) + \\ 4 & (16.7) + \\ 3 & (12.5) + \\ 1 & (4.2) + \\ - \\ - \\ 6 & (25.0) + \\ \end{array}$
Not applicable Unknown	8 (5.1)+ 6 (3.9)+	$\begin{array}{c} 11 \ (10.1)+\\ 4 \ (3.7)+\end{array}$	8 (12.7)+ 1 (1.6)+	7 (22.6)+ 2 (6.4)+	2 (8.3)+ 2 (8.3)+
Total	156(100.0)+	109(100.0)+	63(100.0)+	31(100.0)+	24(100.0)+

Frequency of Injuries by Body Aspect for Motorcycle Accidents Occurring August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

	F1	Frequency of Injuries by Severity Categories				
Body Aspect	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe	
Proximal Distal Right side Left side Bilateral Central Anterior Posterior Superior Inferior Whole body Not applicable Unknown	$ \begin{array}{c} - \\ 15 (18.3)+ \\ 26 (31.7)+ \\ 7 (8.6)+ \\ 2 (2.4)+ \\ - \\ 4 (4.9)+ \\ 2 (2.4)+ \\ 2 (2.4)+ \\ 2 (31.7)+ \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ -$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 14 & (24.6) + \\ 16 & (28.1) + \\ 5 & (8.8) + \\ 3 & (5.3) + \\ 2 & (3.5) + \\ 2 & (3.5) + \\ 1 & (1.7) + \\ 1 & (1.7) + \\ 13 & (22.8) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 6 & (20.0) + \\ 7 & (23.3) + \\ 1 & (3.3) + \\ - \\ 2 & (6.7) + \\ 3 & (10.0) + \\ 1 & (3.3) + \\ 1 & (3.3) + \\ 9 & (30.1) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 5 & (35.7) + \\ 2 & (14.3) + \\ 1 & (7.1) + \\ 2 & (14.3) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 4 & (28.6) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 3 & (33.3) + \\ 3 & (33.3) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 3 & (33.4) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\$	
Total	82(100.0)+	57(100.0)+	30(100.0)+	14(100.0)+	9(100.0)+	

+ (percentage)

#### Table 27a

Frequency of Injuries by Body Aspect for Motorcycle Accidents Occurring August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

	Frequency of Injuries by Severity Categories				
Body Aspect	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe
Proximal Distal Right side Left side Bilateral Central Anterior Posterior Superior Inferior Whole body Not applicable Unknown	$\begin{array}{c} & - \\ 21 & (23.3) + \\ 23 & (25.6) + \\ 5 & (5.6) + \\ & - \\ 3 & (3.3) + \\ 2 & (2.2) + \\ 1 & (1.1) + \\ & - \\ 30 & (33.3) + \\ & - \\ & 5 & (5.6) + \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 18 & (25.4) + \\ 21 & (29.6) + \\ 5 & (7.0) + \\ - \\ 2 & (2.8) + \\ 1 & (1.4) + \\ 1 & (1.4) + \\ 17 & (23.9) + \\ - \\ 6 & (8.5) + \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c}     - \\     12 (27.9)+ \\     10 (23.3)+ \\     4 (9.3)+ \\     - \\     3 (7.0)+ \\     - \\     13 (30.2)+ \\     1 (2.3)+ \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 4 & (19.1) + \\ 2 & (9.5) + \\ 3 & (14.3) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\$	$\begin{array}{c} & & - \\ 3 & (27.3) + \\ 2 & (18.2) + \\ 3 & (27.3) + \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & 2 & (18.2) + \\ 1 & (9.0) + \end{array}$
Total	90(100.0)+	71(100.0)+	43(100.0)+	21(100.0)+	11(100.0)+

.

Frequency of Injuries by Body Lesion for Motorcycle Accidents Occurring August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

	Frequency of Injuries by Severity Categories					
Lesion	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe	
Abrasion	17 (15.5)+	20 (21.7)+	18 (32.1)+	11 (37.9)+	4 (30.8)+	
Amputation	2 (1.8)+	1(1.1)+	-	-	-	
Asphyxia	-	-	-	-	-	
Avulsion	3 (2.7)+	4 (4.3)+	2 (3.6)+	-	1 (7.7)+	
Burn	1 (0.9)+	1 (1.1)+	2 (3.6)+	-	-	
Concusion	-	-	-	-	-	
Contusion/bruise	8 (7.3)+	11 (11.9)+	4 (7.1)+	2 (6.9)+	1 (7.7)+	
Crushing	5 (4.5)+	3 (3.3)+	-	-	-	
Dislocation	2 (1.8)+	4 (4.3)+	2 (3.6)+	1 (3.5)+	-	
Edema	-	3 (3.3)+	-	-	-	
Fracture/rupture	49 (44.6)+	24 (26.1)+	10 (17.9)+	9 (31.0)+	4 (30.7)+	
Head trauma	8 (7.3)+	(1.1)+	1 (1.8)+	-	1 (/./)+	
Hemorrhage	3 (2.7)+	(1.1)+	2(3.6)+	- - (17 0);		
Laceration	$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & (5.5)^{\dagger} \\ 1 & (0.0)^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$	$\cdot$ 13 (14.1)+	9(10.0)+	5(1/.2)+	2 (15.4)+	
Pain	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & (0.9)^{-1} \\ 1 & (0.9)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$	-3(3.3)+	2 (3.6)+	1 (3.5)+	-	
Spine	$1 (0.9)^{-1}$	$-1(1.1)^{+}$	- 1 (1 0)+	-	-	
Sprain	$1 (0.9)^{-1}$	- 2 (2.2)+	$1 (1.0)^+$ $3 (5.3)_+$	-	_	
Uther			5 (5.5)*	-	-	
UNKNOWN	L (0.9)	-	-	-		
Total	110(100.0)+	92(100.0)+	56(100.0)+	29(100.0)+	13(100.0)+	

## Table 28a

## Frequency of Injuries by Body Lesion for Motorcycle Accidents Occurring August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

	Frequency of Injuries by Severity Categories					
Lesion	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe	
Abrasion	25 (16.0)+	29 (26.6)+	15 (23.8)+	8 (25.8)+	6 (25.0)+	
Amputation	-	-	2 (3.2)+	-	-	
Asphyxia	-	-	-	-	-	
Avulsion	2 (1.3)+	1 (0.9)+	-	-	-	
Burn	-	-	-	1 (3.2)+	-	
Concussion	-	-	-	-	-	
Contusion/bruise	5 (3.2)+	11 (10.1)+	5 (7.9)+	1 (3.2)+	2 (8.3)+	
Crushing	-	-	-	-	-	
Dislocation	1 (0.6)+	1 (0.9)+	1 (1.6)+	-	-	
Edema	-	2 (1.8)+	2 (3.2)+	1 (3.2)+	-	
Fracture/rupture	77 (49.4)+	34 (31.2)+	15 (23.8)+	8 (25.8)+	8 (33.3)+	
Head trauma	16 (10.3)+	1 (0.9)+	-	-	1 (4.2)+	
Hemorrhage	2 (1.3)+	-	<del>.</del> .	1 (3.2)+	1 (4.2)+	
Laceration	18 (11.5)+	22 (20.2)+	20 (31.7)+	10 (32.3)+	4 (16.7)+	
Pain	2 (1.3)+	1 (0.9)+	-	-	-	
Spine	5 (3.2)+	3 (2.8)+	2 (3.2)+	1 (3.3)+	2 (8.3)+	
Sprain	2 (1.3)+	1 (0.9)+	-	-	-	
Other	-	-	-	-	-	
Unknown	1 (0.6)+	3 (2.8)+	1 (1.6)+	-	-	
Total	156(100.0)+	109(100.0)+	63(100.0)+	31(100.0)+	24(100.0)+	

Frequency of Injuries by Body Lesion for Motorcycle Accidents Occurring August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

	Fre	wency of Injur	ies by Severi	ty Categories	
Lesion	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe
Abrasion Amputation Asphyxia Avulsion Burn Concussion Contusion/bruise Crushing Dislocation Edema Fracture/rupture Head trauma Hemorrhage Laceration	$\begin{array}{c} 9 (11.0) + \\ \hline \\ 1 (1.2) + \\ 1 (1.2) + \\ 1 (1.2) + \\ 2 (2.4) + \\ 14 (17.1) + \\ 4 (4.9) + \\ \hline \\ 1 (1.2) + \\ 24 (29.3) + \\ 2 (2.4) + \\ 1 (1.2) + \\ 8 (9.8) + \\ 4 (4.9) + \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 $(30.0)+$ - - - 3 $(10.0)+$ 2 $(6.7)+$ - 8 $(26.7)+$ 1 $(3.3)+$ 1 $(3.3)+$ 3 $(10.0)+$ 2 $(6.7)+$	$\begin{array}{c} 3 (21.4)+\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\$	2 (22.2)+ - - - - - 6 (66.7)+ - 1 (11.1)+
Spine Sprain Other Unknown	$ \begin{array}{c}     4 & (4.9)^{+} \\     8 & (9.8)^{+} \\     2 & (2.4)^{+} \\     - \\   \end{array} $	1 (1.7)+	1 (3.3)+	1 (7.1)+	
Total	82(100.0)+	57(100.0)+	30(100.0)+	14(100.0)+	9(100.0)+

## Table 29a

Frequency of Injuries by Body Lesion for Motorcycle Accidents Occurring August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

	Frequency of Injuries by Severity Categories						
Lesion	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe		
Abrasion Amputation Asphyxia Avulsion Burn Concussion Contusion/bruise Crushing Dislocation Edema Fracture/rupture Head trauma Hemorrhage Laceration Pain Spine	$\begin{array}{c} 12 \ (13.4)+\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ 1 \ (1.1)+\\ 3 \ (3.3)+\\ 10 \ (11.1)+\\ 15 \ (16.7)+\\ 3 \ (3.3)+\\ 1 \ (1.1)+\\ 29 \ (32.2)+\\ 8 \ (8.9)+\\ -\\ -\\ 6 \ (6.7)+\\ -\\ 2 \ (2.2)+\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18 & (25.4) + \\ & - \\ & - \\ & 1 & (1.4) + \\ 2 & (2.8) + \\ 15 & (21.1) + \\ 5 & (7.1) + \\ 3 & (4.2) + \\ 1 & (1.4) + \\ 13 & (18.3) + \\ 1 & (1.4) + \\ 1 & (1.4) + \\ 9 & (12.7) + \\ & - \\ & - \end{array}$	7 (16.3)+ $-$ $1 (2.3)+$ $1 (2.3)+$ $1 (2.3)+$ $1 (2.3)+$ $1 (2.3)+$ $1 (2.3)+$ $1 (2.3)+$ $2 (7.0)+$ $6 (14.0)+$ $-$ $2 (4.7)+$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Less severe} \\ 6 (28.5) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 2 (9.5) + \\ - \\ - \\ 8 (38.1) + \\ 2 (9.5) + \\ 2 (9.5) + \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\$	$\begin{array}{c} - & - & - \\ - & - & - \\ - & - & - \\ - & - &$		
Sprain		2 (2.8)+	1 (2.3)+	-	-		
Other	-	-	-	$\frac{-}{(4.8)+}$	-		
UNKNOWN	-			I (4.0)+	-		
Total	90(100.0)+	71(100.0)+	43(100.0)+	21(100.0)+	11(100.0)+		

## Frequency of Injuries by Body Organ or System for Motorcycle Accident Occurring August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

Body Organ	Frequ	ency of Injur	ies by Severi	ity Categories	
or System	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe
Arteries	1 (0.9)+		-	_	-
Brain	11 (10.0)+	2 (2.2)+	2 (3.6)+	-	1 (7.7)+
Digestive	-	-	-	1 (3.5)+	-
Eyes/Ears	-	-	-	-	-
Heart	1 (0.9)+	1 (1.1)+	1(1.8)+	-	1 (7.7)+
Integumentary	17 (15.5)+	23 (25.0)+	19 (33.9)+	9 (31.0)+	4 (30.8)+
Joints	-	-	-	-	-
Kidneys	2 (1.8)+	-	1 (1.8)+	-	2 (15.4)+
Liver	-	-	-	-	-
Muscles	3 (2.7)+	7 (7.6)+	2 (3.6)+	3 (10.3)+	-
Nervous system	-	-	-		-
Pulminary/lungs	2 (1.8)+	2 (2.2)+	5 (8.9)+	-	-
Respiratory	-	. <del>-</del>	2 (3.6)+	, <del>-</del> .	-
Skeletonal	50 (45.5)+	29 (31.5)+	12 (21.4)+	9 (31.0)+	4 (30.7)+
Spinal cord	1 (0.9)+	2 (2.2)+	-	-	-
Spleen	-	1 (1.1)+	-	1 (3.5)+	-
Urogenital	5 (4.6)+	-	-	1 (3.5)+	-
Vertebrae	2 (1.8)+	-	-	-	-
General external		4 - N		- ( )	
body surface	9 (8.2)+	24 (26.0)+	11 (19.6)+	5 (17.2)+	1 (7.7)+
All systems in		( )			
region	5 (4.5)+	1 (1.1)+	1 (1.8)+	-	-
Unknown	1 (0.9)+	-	-	-	~
Total	110(100.0)+	92(100.0)+	56(100.0)+	29(100.0)+	13(100.0)+

## Table 30a

## Frequency of Injuries by Body Organ or System for Motorcycle Accident Occurring August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Parkland Hospital Data, Dallas County, Texas)

Body Organ	Frequency of Injuries by Severity Categories				
or System	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe
Arteries	<b></b>			_	-
Brain	1 (0.6)+	-	-	-	-
Digestive	-	3 (2.8)+	1 (1.6)+	-	2 (8.3)+
Eyes/Ears	-	-	1 (1.6)+	-	-
Heart	3 (2.0)+	4 (3.7)+	3 (4.8)+	2 (6.5)+	-
Integumentary	9 (5.8)+	6 (5.5)+	6 (9.5)+	2 (6.5)+	1 (4.2)+
Joints	-	-	-	-	-
Kidneys	2(1.3)+	5 (4.6)+	1 (1.6)+	-	-
Liver	2(1.3)+	4 (3.2)+	3 (4.8)+	-	-
Muscles	4 (2.6)+	6 (5.5)+	4 (6.3)+	-	2(8.3)+
Nervous system	27 (17.3)+	3 (2.8)+	2 (3.2)+	1 (3.2)+	2 (8.3)+
Pulminary/lungs	-	1 (0.9) + 1 (0	-	-	-
Respiratory	1 (0.6)+	$1 (0.9)^+$	1 (1.6)+	4(12.9)+	$2 (8.3)^+$
Skeletonal	/1 (45.5)+	30 (33.0)+	1/ (20.9)+	/ (22.6)+	8 (33.4)+
Spinal cord	-	$\frac{-}{1}$	2 // 0/+	- 2 (6 A)+	-
Spieen	-	2 (1.8) +	$3(4.0)^{+}$	2 (0.4)+	-
Vertebrao		2 (1.0)	2 (3.2)	-	_
General external	-		-	-	
body surface	35 (22.4)+	36 (33.0)+	19 (30.1)+	13 (41.9)+	7 (29.2)+
All systems in					
region	1 (0.6)+	-	-	-	-
Unknown	-	1 (0.9)+	-	-	
Total	156(100.0)+	109(100:0)+	63(100.0)+	31(100.0)+	24(100.0)+

Body Organ	Fre	quency of Inju	ries by Seven	rity Categories	5
or System	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe
Arteries	_	-	-	-	
Brain	2 (2.4)+	3 (5.3)+	1 (3.3)+	1 (7.1)+	-
Digestive	-	-	_	-	-
Eyes/ears	-	-	-	-	-
Heart	-	1 (1.7)+	-	-	-
Integumentary	9 (11.0)+	6 (10.5)+	7 (23.4)+	3 (21.5)+	2 (22.2)+
Joints	_	-	·	-	-
Kidneys	1 (1.2)+	-	~	-	-
Liver	-	1 (1.7)+	-	-	-
Muscles	5 (6.1)+	3 (5.3)+	1 (3.3)+	-	-
Nervous system	-	-		-	-
Pulminary/Lungs	4 (4.9)+	3 (5.3)+	1 (3.3)+	1 (7.1)+	-
Respiratory	-	-	-	-	-
Skeletonal	27 (33.9)+	10 (17.5)+	9 (30.1)+	4 (28.6)+	6 (66.7)+
Spinal cord	-	2 (3.5)+	1(3.3)+	-	_
Spleen	-	-	~	1 (7.1)+	-
Urogenital	2 (2.4)+	-	-	-	-
Vertebrae	1 (1.2) +	-	-	-	-
General external					
body surface	20 (24.4)+	23 (40.4)+	7 (23.3)+	2 (14.3)+	1 (11.1)+
All systems in					
region	11 (13.4)+	5 (8.8)+	3 (10.0)+	2 (14.3)+	-
Unknown	-	-	-		-
Total	82(100.0)+	57(100.0)+	30(100.0)+	14(100.0)+	9(100.0)+

Frequency of Injuries by Body Organ or System for Motorcycle Accident Occurring August 29, 1976 through August 28, 1977 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

+ (percentage)

-

## Table 31a

Frequency of Injuries by Body Organ or System for Motorcycle Accident Occurring August 29, 1977 through August 28, 1978 (Memorial Hospital System Data, Harris County, Texas)

Body Organ	Frequency of Injuries by Severity Categories					
or System	Most Severe	More Severe	Severe	Less Severe	Least Severe	
Arteries	-			-		
Brain	8 (8.9)+	3 (4.2)+	1 (2.3)+	1 (4.8)+	-	
Digestive	-	2 (2.8)+	2 (4.7)+	-	-	
Eyes/ears	1 (1.1)+	-	-	-	-	
Heart	-	-	-	1 (4.8)+	1 (9.1)+	
Integumentary	6 (6.7)+	8 (11.3)+	3 (7.0)+	1 (4.8)+	3 (27.3)+	
Joints	-	-	-	-	-	
Kidneys	-	-	1 (2.3)+	1 (4.8)+	-	
Liver	2 (2.2)+	3 (4.2)+	4 (9.3)+	3 (14.3)+	1 (9.1)+	
Muscles	1 (1.1)+	3(4.2)+	1 (2.3)+	-	-	
Nervous system	8 (8.9) + 0 (10.0)	2(2.8)+	3(7.0)+	-	-	
Pulminary/Lungs	9(10.0)+	3(4.2)+	1 (2.3)+	-	2 (18.2)+	
Respiratory	$1 (1.1)^+$	$1 (1.4)^+$	-	- (20 0)	-	
Skeletonal	29(32.2)+	$14 (19.8)^+$	10(23.3)+	8 (38.0)+	4 (30.3)+	
Spinal Coru		2 (2.0)+	2 (4.7)+	-	-	
Spreen	-	-	-	-	-	
Vortobrao		-	-	-	· -	
General external						
body surface	22(24.5)+	25 (35,3)+	13(30,2)+	6(28.5)+	-	
All systems in			10 (0012)	- (,		
region	1 (1.1)+	2 (2.8)+	1 (2.3)+	-	-	
Unknown	-	3 (4.2)+	1 (2.3)+	-	-	
Total	90(100.0)+	71(100.0)+	43(100.0)+	21(100.0)+	11(100.0)+	

## MOTORCYCLE RIDER QUESTIONNAIRE DATA

Question Item Descriptor	Dallas	County	Harris	County
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
<ol> <li>Average years of riding experience.</li></ol>	7.60	6.96	8.39	6.83
Number of riders	146	<i>171</i>	<i>145</i>	<i>155</i>
<ol> <li>Percentage riding cycles of 750<sup>+</sup>cc.</li> <li>Number of riders</li> </ol>	43.1%	42.0%	56.3%	43.4%
	<i>167</i>	<i>200</i>	<i>167</i>	182
3a. Average miles riden on trails.	2,451	2,138	1,863	2,534
Number of riders	<i>51</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>51</i>	58
3b. Average miles riden locally.	5,140	4,647	7,318	5,164
Number of riders	<i>143</i>	<i>184</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>165</i>
3c. Average miles riden cross country.	<b>4,</b> 432	<b>4,620</b>	7,844	4,418
Number of riders	<i>74</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>98</i>
3d. Average miles riden other types.	800	4,800	3,300	6,167
Number of riders	5	5	<i>10</i>	<i>6</i>
<ol> <li>Percentage riding 6<sup>+</sup> days per week.</li></ol>	39.9%	<b>49.7</b> %	50.6%	46.7%
Number of riders	163	<i>195</i>	<i>168</i>	<i>182</i>
5a. Percentage riding 50-75% during day.	54.7%	53.8%	53.7%	60.3%
Number of riders	162	<i>195</i>	159	<i>179</i>
5b. Percentage riding 25-50% during night.	60.8%	59.3%	55.5%	65 <b>.5</b> %
Number of riders	<i>143</i>	<i>177</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>165</i>
5c. Percentage riding 50-100% urban	68.9%	71.1%	71.4%	66.3%
Number of riders	148	<i>180</i>	154	<i>160</i>
5d. Percentage riding 1-50% rural <i>Number of riders</i>	66.7%	87.7%	73.1%	80.6%
	126	146	<i>130</i>	134
6a. Percentage riding anytime in all weather. Number of riders	77.5% 89	76.4% 110	85.7% 105	80.0% 105
6b. Percentage riding anytime in mild weather. <i>Number of riders</i>	42.6% 54	66.3% <i>86</i>	68.5% 54	42.4% 66
<pre>6c. Percentage riding anytime in clear weather. Number of riders</pre>	90.7%	90.0%	89.6%	91.2%
	107	150	<i>96</i>	114
7. Number of riders wearing protective gear under normal riding conditions. Boots Gloves Jacket	103 71 55	115 104 117	126 74 69	117 89 105

Que	stion Item Descriptor	Dallas Pre	County Post	Harris Pre	County Post
	Helmet Heavy pants Eye protection	139 82 129	153 96 143	150 96 123	154 84 138
8a.	Percentage wore helmet before August 29, 1977.	100%	95.8%	97.6%	96.6%
8b.	Percentage who always wore helmets. Number of riders	87.3% 145	77.3% 185	89.5% 145	74.4% 172
9.	Percentage, 18 or older, who always wear helmets. <i>Number of riders</i>	58.5% <i>83</i>	<b>44.7</b> % <i>170</i>	64.7% <i>99</i>	57.7% 156
10a.	Percentage who admit accident involve- ment. <i>Number of riders</i>	94.0% 156	96.9% <i>194</i>	98.8% 164	95.6% <i>182</i>
10b.	Rider's opinion of primary cause: Failure to yield right of way Rider error Not seen by other driver Collision from rear Poor road condition Illegal turn Vehicle failure Illegal pass Failure to maintain control Other cause	63 37 26 7 6 5 4 2 0 4	84 40 23 2 17 6 9 0 4 1	72 38 20 6 4 5 5 1 1 8	74 40 26 5 9 4 4 2 1 3
10c.	Rider's opinion of secondary cause: Not seen by other driver Failure to yield right of way Failure to maintain control Rider error Poor road condition Illegal turn Collision from rear Illegal pass Vehicle failure Other cause	37 7 6 5 3 2 1 0 1	17 19 34 4 3 2 2 0 1 0	31 12 10 10 2 2 1 0 1 4	14 13 11 5 3 3 1 0 0 0
11a.	Percentage who admit being injured. <i>Number of riders</i>	85.5% <i>130</i>	90.2% <i>194</i>	83.7% <i>139</i>	93.7% 174
116.	Number who received first aid on scene. Number who received first aid at hospital	31 . 56	73 93	65 63	82 75
11c.	Number who were hospitalized. Average number days in hospital. Average number days of convalescing. <i>Number of riders</i>	46 35 82 <i>30</i>	60 18 68 <i>32</i>	54 19 84 <i>19</i>	66 17 105 <i>29</i>

.

Quest	tion Item Descriptor	Dallas Pre	County Post	Harris Pre	County Post
12.	Number of riders wearing protective gear at the time of the accident Boots Gloves Jacket Helmet Heavy pants Eye protection	83 32 48 152 78 99	90 55 73 118 85 108	114 42 57 155 92 99	100 53 75 132 77 108
13.	Average cost of accident. Number of riders	\$3,622 <i>152</i>	\$3,796 <i>194</i>	<b>\$4,127</b> <i>159</i>	<b>\$4,475</b> <i>169</i>
14a.	Helmet wearing experience before accident. Percentage who always wore helmet. <i>Number of riders</i>	81.8% <i>154</i>	48.1% <i>189</i>	87.1% <i>163</i>	59.2% 169
14b.	Helmet wearing experience after accident. Percentage who always wear helmet. <i>Number of riders</i>	60.0% <i>90</i>	54.1% 181	<b>67.5</b> % <i>108</i>	66.9% <i>166</i>
15.	Rider opinion about wearing helmet: Percentage for Percentage against Percentage indifferent Number of riders	66.1% 6.5% 27.4% <i>168</i>	70.2% 11.1% 18.7% <i>198</i>	76.3% 8.3% 15.4% <i>169</i>	75.5% 9.8% 14.7% 184
16.	Recommend helmet usage for everyone. Against helmet usage for everyone. Number of riders	23.4% 76.6% 167	19.3% 80.7% <i>197</i>	17.9% 82.1% <i>162</i>	18.1% 81.9% <i>182</i>
17.	Percentage favoring required helmet law. Percentage against required helmet law. Number of riders	59.6% 40.4% <i>166</i>	57.5% 42.5% 200	59.4% 40.6% <i>165</i>	55.9% 44.1% <i>179</i>
Age	of riders less than 18 18 to 25 years 25 to 35 years 35 to 50 years 50 or over Unknown	34 64 42 19 4 6	43 87 51 21 2 1	35 71 38 20 7 0	46 76 40 17 6 3
Tota	l number of questionnaires	169	205	171	188
	Males Females Not indicated	154 7 8	198 5 2	168 3 0	181 4 3

#### TEXAS MOTORCYCLE DATA FOR THE PERIOD 1968-1978

Year	<pre># Registered M/C</pre>	<pre># M/C Involved Accident</pre>	Rate	<pre># M/C Injuries</pre>	Rate	<pre># M/C Fatalities</pre>	Rate
1 <b>96</b> 8	94,153					80	. 00085
1969	111,967		<del></del>			74	.00066
1970	145,766					116	.00080
1971	185,216	8,124	.044	10,268	.055	158	.00085
1972	215,333	9,232	.043	7,588	.035	174	.00081
1973	247,852	9,906	.040	8,181	.033	179	. 00072
1974	267,655	10,478	.039	8,734	.033	206	.00077
1975	272,803	10,197	.037	8,562	.031	211	.00077
1976	267,419	9,676	.036	8,543	.032	192	.00072
1977	283,000	11,143	.039	10,133	.036	274	.00097
1978	303,983+	11,646+	.038	10,642+	.035	296+	.00097

TEXAS MOTOR VEHICLE DATA FOR THE PERIOD 1968-1978

Year	<pre># Registered MV*</pre>	# MV Accidents	Rate	<pre># MV Injuries</pre>	Rate	# MV Fatalities	Rate
1 <b>96</b> 8	5,952,836	364,982	.061	108,194	.018	3,481	.00058
1969	6,219,989	384,952	.062	110,147	.018	3,551	.00057
1970	6,409,231	396,861	.062	111,621	.017	3,560	.00056
1971	6,744,653	394,166	.058	121,082	.018	3,594	.00053
1972	7,100,669	432,998	.061	128,158	.018	3,688	.00052
1973	7,480,373	464,226	.062	132,635	.018	3,692	.00049
1974	7,742,718	434,194	.056	123,611	.016	3,046	.00039
1975	8,149,748	468,596	.057	138,962	.017	3,429	.00042
1976	8,654,254	479,203	.055	145,282	.017	3,230	.00037
1977	9,143,000	504,001	.055	161,635	.018	3,698	.00040
1978	9,497,463+	519,448+	.055	167,573+	.018	3,722+	.00039

.

\* Includes Passenger, Commercial, and Exempt

+ Estimated figures

.