TECHNICAL REPORT STANDARD TITLE PAGE

	2. Government Accession	1 No. 3.	Recipient's Cotalog N	0,
FHWA/TX-81/33+187-7	·			
4. Title and Subtitle		5.	Report Date	
Follow up Report on Demon	stration Project	1-10-76-526	June 1981	
"Evaluation of Overflex P	avement Test Sec	tions"(53mo.) <sup>6</sup>	Performing Organizatio	n Code
. Author(s)	97449 <del>9 </del>	8.	Performing Organizatio	n Report No.
Kenneth D. Hankins			Report 187-7	
P. Performing Organization Name and Addres	15	10.	Work Unit No.	
Texas State Department of	Highways and		Contract or Gront No.	
Public Transportation		''.	1-10-77-187	
Box 5051 Austin, Texas 78763		13.	Type of Report and P	eriad Covered
2. Sponsaring Agency Name and Address				
Same as 9.			Interim	
		14.	Spansoring Agency Co	de
5. Supplementary Notes				
Study Title: "Demonstrat	tion and Field Te	st Support"		
work done in cooperation	with DOT, FHWA.	Original study	performed und	ler
<u>agreement no. DOT-FH-15-1</u>	94.	······	•	
5. Abstract This report describes the	- E2 month obcom	ations of sover	al hindon typ	as used in
penetration or chip seal	cost type constr	uction Origin	ally it was d	es used in
place and observe a tire	rubbon-asphalt s	action but othe	ially it was u	
place and observe a the				P AISO
included After 53 month	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	e also n and verv
included. After 53 month	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be observation period.	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be	ns several areas	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be observation period.	is several areas observed between	are in need of	rehabilitatio	n and very
included. After 53 month little difference can be observation period.	is several areas observed between	are in need of binder types.	rehabilitatio This will be	n and very
<pre>included. After 53 month little difference can be observation period. // Key Words Tire-Rubber Asphalt</pre>	is several areas observed between	are in need of binder types.	rehabilitatio This will be	n and very the last
included. After 53 month little difference can be observation period.	ns several areas observed between	Distribution Statement No restrictio Report availa Technical Inf	ns ble from the Mormation Servi	n and very the last
included. After 53 month little difference can be observation period. 7. Key Words Tire-Rubber Asphalt Asphaltic Binders	ns several areas observed between	Distribution Statement No restrictio Report availa	ns ble from the Mormation Servi	n and very the last ational
included. After 53 month little difference can be observation period. 7. Key Words Tire-Rubber Asphalt Asphaltic Binders in Seal Coats Penetration or Chip Seal	ns several areas observed between	are in need of binder types. Distribution Statement No restrictio Report availa Technical Inf Springfield,	ns ble from the Mormation Servi	n and very the last ational
included. After 53 month little difference can be observation period. 7. Key Words Tire-Rubber Asphalt Asphaltic Binders in Seal Coats	ns several areas observed between 18. S	are in need of binder types. Distribution Statement No restrictio Report availa Technical Inf Springfield,	ns ble from the M ormation Servi VA 22161	n and very the last ational ce,

. . . . .

\*

-

. 464,

¥

.

....

i

FOLLOW UP REPORT ON DEMONSTRATION PROJECT 1-10-76-526 (DOT-FH-15-194) "EVALUATION OF OVERFLEX PAVEMENT TEST SECTIONS" (The Fifty-Three Month Observation)

by

Kenneth D. Hankins

Research Report 187-7

Research Study 1-10-77-187

Demonstration and Field Test Support

Conducted By

Transportation Planning Division Research Section State Department of Highways and Public Transportation

In Cooperation With The

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

June, 1978

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

The United States Government and the State Department of Highways and Public Transportation do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturer's names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

### Acknowledgements

The research reported herein was conducted under the supervision of Mr. John F. Nixon, Engineer of Research, and the general supervision of Mr. Phillip L. Wilson, State Planning Engineer, Transportation.

Acknowledgement is given to:

Mr. Brad Hubbard and Mr. James Wyatt for the technical support received during this study.

#### METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

	Approximate Co	onversions to Metric	: Measures		33 73 73		Approximate Conver	sions from Me	tric Moosuras	
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol	6 	Symbol	When You Knew	Mujtiply by	To Find	Symbol
. '		• • • •						LENGTH		•
		ITNOTH								
		LENGTH				mm	millimeters	0.04	inches	in
						Cm	centimaters	0.4	inches	in
ia	inches	*2.5	centimeters	cm	-∹ ≞ 、	m	meters	3.3	feet	te yd
tt	feet	30	centimeters	cm	18	m km	meters kilometers	1,1 0,6	yards miles	yu mi
γđ	yards	0,9	meters	m		<b>R</b> FE1	RIIGHRELEFS	0.0	111103	
mi	miles	1.6	kilometers	km						
								AREA		
		AREA								
					• <u> </u>	cm <sup>2</sup>	square centimeters	0.18	square inches	in <sup>2</sup>
in <sup>2</sup>	square inches	6.5	square centimeters	. cm²		m²	square meters	1.2	square yards	yd <sup>2</sup>
# <sup>2</sup>	square feet	0,09	square meters	m²		km <sup>2</sup>	square kilometers	0.4	square miles	mi <sup>2</sup>
yd <sup>2</sup> mi <sup>2</sup>	square yards	0,9	square meters	m <sup>2</sup>		ha	hectares (10,000 m <sup>2</sup> )	2.5	acres	
mi <sup>2</sup>	square miles	2.6	square kilometers	km <sup>2</sup>						
	acres	0.4	hectares	ha						>
					9         9           11,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1         9           12,1,1,1,1,1,1,1         10           12,1,1,1,1,1,1         10           12,1,1,1,1,1         10           12,1,1,1,1         11           12,1,1,1,1         11		M	ASS (weight)		
		MASS (weight)	1							
						9	Grains	0.035	ounces	92
02	000685	28	grams	g		kg	kilograms	2.2	pound s	ib
ib	pounds	0.45	kilograms	kg		τ.	tonnes (1000 kg)	1,1	short tons	
	short tons (2000 lb)	0.9	tonnes	t						
	(2000 10)							1101 11 18 C		
		VOLUME						VOLUME		
tsp	teaspoon s	5	milliliters	mi		mi	militers	0.03	fluid ounces	fl oz
Tosp	tablespoons	15	milliliters	mi		1	liters	2.1	pints	pt
ti oz	fluid ounces	30	milititers	ml	<u>ه ج</u>	1	liters	1.06	quarts	41
c	Cups	0.24	liters	1		<u>ر</u>	liters	0.26	gailons	gal ft <sup>3</sup>
pt	pints	0.47	liters	i		m <sup>3</sup>	cubic meters	35	cubic feet	11-
qt	Quarts	0.95	liters	ł.		m <sup>3</sup>	cubic metals	1.3	cubic yards	yd <sup>3</sup>
gai	gallons	3,8	liters	۱,						
# <sup>3</sup>	cubic feet	0.03	cubic meters	m <sup>3</sup>			TEMP	ERATURE (exa	ct)	
¥d <sup>3</sup>	cubic yards	0.76	cubic meters	m <sup>3</sup>	N 0		1	CARTONE (SAR		
	TEN	PERATURE (exact)				°c	Celsius	9/5 (then	Fahrenheit	°E
							temperature	add 32)	temperature	
۴F	Fahrenheit	5. 9 latter	Celsius	*c	~ <u>~</u> ~					
	temperature	subtracting 32)	temperature				°F 32 -40 0 40 +	98-6 80   12( 20   40 37	23 25 160 200   	2
					<u> </u>		°C	37	······································	

· · ·

ч э

+

.

# LIST OF TABLES

Ι.	Evaluation Summary of Overflex Pavement Test Sections and Other Binder Types
II.	Rating Scores of Materials and Locations

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

-

w

-

٠

-

٠

·...

	Disclaimer	iii
	Acknowledgements	iv
	Metric Conversion Table	V
	List of Tables	vi
	Implementation	viii
	Background	1
	Objective	1
	Data Collection	1
	Analysis and Results	1
	Conclusions	2
Apper	ndix - Example of Visual Inspection	10

#### IMPLEMENTATION

The results of this study suggest that for Central and East Texas it is not practical to use seal coat binders which are more costly than the normal AC or emulsion type asphalts. New and improved binders should be tested before extended use in seal coat construction.

#### FOLLOW UP REPORT ON DEMONSTRATION PROJECT 1-10-76-526 (DOT-FH-15-194) "EVALUATION OF OVERFLEX PAVEMENT TEST SECTIONS" (THE FIFTY-THREE MONTH OBSERVATION)

#### Background

In 1976 a Demonstration Project was initiated to study "Discarded Tires in Highway Construction." The project used several binder types as a chip or penetration seal coat type construction. Tire-rubber asphalt or a Sahuaro Petroleum and Asphalt Company material called Overflex was included as a binder.

Three locations were selected to place the various binders with one location in District 9 (Waco) and two locations in District 19 (Atlanta). The materials were placed in the summer of 1976. Testing and observations were initiated before placement and continued for a two-year period. The object was to observe the performance of each material with particular emphasis placed on observing the development of reflective cracking. Construction, cost and other information may be found in Reports 526-1 and 526-2F.

#### Objective

The object of this report is to present the results of visual inspection data obtained about four and one-half years after placement.

#### Data Collection

The forms and examples of data collected may be found in Appendices A, B, and C. The data has been summarized in Table I and further reduced and shown in Table II.

#### Analysis and Results

At the present time all sections are receiving maintenance and those with the poorer subgrade will probably receive some type of rehabilitation in the near future. This will be the last observation to be reported.

Throughout the observation time period there has been little difference in the performance of the binder types. The Overflex material flushed initially causing a reduction in skid numbers. However, after a few months of traffic the skid numbers were considerably higher and the appearance of the Overflex sections had improved.

There were mistakes in the initial placement generally caused by using borrowed or unfamiliar equipment. These sections proved to have poorer ratings initially and this trend remains at present.

Crack sealing prior to applying a seal coat appears to aid in the reduction of reflective cracking (at least in increasing the time before reflection). The additional asphalt appears to migrate or flush to the surface along the crack length. This excess asphalt or "fat" mix near the crack either slows cracking through the seal or provides "healing" under traffic. At one location, when the excess asphalt reached the surface, this asphalt was tracked along the roadway. The tracked asphalt gave the surface a flushed appearance and probably reduced the skid number slightly.

Reflective cracking began to appear first on the sections having larger crack widths or the locations with larger distances between cracks. The smaller crack widths, generally those associated with alligator cracks, reflected through later. However, soon after the first reflective cracking occurred, the alligator type cracking was reflected through at certain spots or locations. Also, additional or new alligator cracking was observed. These spots proved to be locations with very weak subgrade or base failure areas. Probably no binder, when used in a seal coat operation, would prevent reflection cracking or failure in these locations. Attempts were made to eliminate these spots from analysis and observe reflective cracking at only the locations with relatively strong pavement structures. This stratification of spots became increasingly difficult as time passed because the spots grew into larger areas.

At the present time there is little difference between sections using different binders. Within reason, all binder types indicate about the same amount of reflective cracking. At any one location the appearance, aggregate degradation, and aggregate retention are essentially the same considering the above comments.

#### Conclusions

It is concluded that when good construction techniques are used, there is no difference in the service life of the binders used in this project.

## Project 1-10-76-526

### Observed January 13, 1981

4 1/2 Year Observation

4

.

#### TABLE I EVALUATION SUMMARY OF OVERFLEX PAVEMENT TEST SECTIONS AND OTHER BINDER TYPES

.

н ,

Reviewer	Location	Binder Type	Visual Inspection	Aggregate Degradation	Aggregate Retention	Bleeding	Emb.	Score
ВН	Dist.9, SH-22	Overflex	7	9	9	8	<u>85</u> 65	33
КН	Hill Co, Placed 7/76	11	8	9	9	7	80 60	33
RLM <sup>C</sup>	11	11	7	9	7	6	<u>95</u> 85	29
							AVG	32
ВН	11	AC-5	6	9	6	6	<u>60</u> 40	27
КН	11	u	6	9	7	9		31
REMC	U.	H	5	9	5	8	<u>75</u> 55	27
							AVG	<u>28</u>
BH	£1	Eastabond	6	7	7	7	85 65 75 65 85 65	27
КН	Ħ	11	7	7	9	8	$\frac{75}{65}$	31
RLM <sup>C</sup>	11	11	7	9	8	7	85 65 AVG	31 <u>30</u>
BH	11	AC-3	8	8	8	8	<u>65</u> 50	32
КН	11	8	7	7	8	8	<u>65</u> 55	30
RLM <sup>C</sup>	11	u	8	9	7	8	<u>80</u> 65	32
							AVG	31

¢

1

### 4 1/2 Year Observation

# TABLE I

#### EVALUATION SUMMARY OF OVERFLEX PAVEMENT TEST SECTIONS AND OTHER BINDER TYPES (Continued)

Reviewer	Location	Binder Type	Visual Inspection	Aggregate Degradation	Aggregate Retention	Bleeding	Emb.	Score
BH	Dist.9, SH-22	EA-HVRS (Emulsion)	6	7	7	3	90 70	23
КН	Hill Co,	"	7	7	8	7	<u>80</u> 60	29
RLM <sup>C</sup>	Placed 7/76	**	7	9	8	8	<u>85</u> 65	32
					-		AVG	<u>28</u>
BH	н	Emulsion	5	7	7	4	<u>80</u> 65	23
КН	н	and Latex	7	7	8	8	70 55	30
RLM <sup>C</sup>	11	FI	8	9	8	8	<u>80</u> 65	33
							AVG	<u>29</u>

Estimate all sections greater than 50% reflective cracked. On some sections quite a bit of new cracking probably due to weak subgrade. Evidence of pumping around cracks. Eastbound and the two emulsion sections probably have less reflective cracking.

.

,

•

### Observed January 13, 1981

4 1/2 Year Observation

\* \*

Э

#### TABLE I EVALUATION SUMMARY OF OVERFLEX PAVEMENT TEST SECTIONS AND OTHER BINDER TYPES

.

• i

	Reviewer	Location	Binder Type	Visual Inspection	Aggregate Degradation	Aggregate Retention	Bleeding	Emb.	Score
	BH	Dist. 19,	EA-HVRS	9	10	10	9	$\frac{40}{30}$	38
	КН	US-80, Gregg Co., Placed 8/76	(Emulsion) "	9	9	9	9	40 30 55 50 AVG	36 <u>37</u>
	BH	а	EA-HVRS	9	10	10	10	<u>50</u> 40	39
	КН	11	+ Latex "	9	9	8	9	55 50 AVG	35 <u>37</u>
n	вн	48	AC-3	6	7	5	6	70 50	24
	КН	81	+ Latex "	7	9	7	6	70 50 75 60 AVG	29 <u>27</u>
	вн	н	AC-10	9	8	9	9		35
	КН	11	11	8	9	8	9	60 50 55 50 AVG	34 <u>35</u>
	BH	н	Eastabond	6	8	7	7	<u>65</u> 55	28
	КН	11	11	9	9	9	9	55 50 AVG	36 <u>32</u>
	вн	н	Overflex	7	7	7	5	<u>80</u> 65	26
	КН	н	Ħ	7	7	9	6	80 65 AVG	29 <u>28</u>
								2 C 9 CM	<u> </u>

### 4 1/2 Year Observation

#### TABLE I EVALUATION SUMMARY OF OVERFLEX PAVEMENT TEST SECTIONS AND OTHER BINDER TYPES (Continued)

.

Reviewed	Location	Binder Type	Visual Inspection	Aggregate Degradation	Aggregate Retention	Bleeding	Emb.	Score
BH	Dist. 19, US-80,	Eastabond	8	9	8	8	<u>75</u> 60	33
KH	Gregg Co., Placed 8/76	11	8	8	7	7	<u>70</u> 55 AVG	30 <u>32</u>
ВН	11	AC-10	9	9	9	8	<u>65</u> 55	35
КН	n	П	8	9	8	8	<u>60</u> 50 AVG	33 <u>34</u>
ВН	11	Overflex	7	9	9	6	<u>85</u> 65	31
КН	18	11	8	8	8	8	85 65 80 70 AVG	32 <u>32</u>

The overall appearance of all sections is good. Overflex shows some flushing. Estimate 75 to 100 percent of cracks have reflected through on inside lane. Much smaller percentage of reflective cracking on travel lanes because crack have healed. The above cracking information true for all binder types. One doesn't show to be any better than another binder. Cracking is relatively large block (long & trans.) cracking.

.

r

### Observed January 13, 1981

4 1/2 Year Observation

2

.

7

### TABLE I EVALUATION SUMMARY OF OVERFLEX PAVEMENT TEST SECTIONS AND OTHER BINDER TYPES

.

Reviewer	Location	Binder Type	Visual Inspection	Aggregate Degradation	Aggregate Retention	Bleeding.	Emb.	Score
вн	Dist. 19,	AC-10	10	10	10	10	<u>50</u> 40 55 50	40
КН	SH-43, Marion Co., Placed 8/76	11	9	9	9	9	<u>55</u> 50 AVG	36 <u>38</u>
BH	n	Overflex	8	9	9	8	$\frac{70}{50}$	34
КН	**	H	8	8	9	9	<u>60</u> 50	34
	11	Eastabond	0	0	0	7	AVG 60	<u>34</u>
BH		Edstabonu	8	9	9	7	45	33
КН	N	88	8	9	9	8	60 45 55 50	34
							AVG	<u>34</u>
ВН	11	AC-3	7	9	8	5	$\frac{50}{40}$	29
КН	11	+ Latex	7	8	8	6	<u>60</u> 50	29
							AVG	<u>29</u>
BH	н	EA-HVRS	7	9	8	8	50 40 55 50	32
KH	u	(Emulsion)	8	8	9	8	5 <u>5</u> 50	33
							AVG	<u>33</u>

Project 1-10-76-526

A

۲

4 1/2 Year Observation

#### TABLE I EVALUATION SUMMARY OF OVERFLEX PAVEMENT TEST SECTIONS AND OTHER BINDER TYPES (Continued)

Reviewer	Location	Binder Type	Visual Inspection	Aggregate Degradation	Aggregate Retention	Bleeding	Emb.	Score
ВН	Dist. 19,	EA-HVRS	8	9	9	9	<u>50</u> 40	35
КН	SH-43, Marion Co.,	+ Latex	7	8	7	7	<u>60</u> 50	29
	Placed 8/76						AVG	32

The general appearance of these sections are good. The pavement is beginning to require maintenance and there are a few patches evident some as long as 150 feet in length. Close inspection shows a significant amount of reflective cracking which seems to become worse on the South end of the experiment. Extent of reflective cracking seems to be subject more to subgrade conditions rather than binder type.

2

r

ω

## TABLE II RATING SCORES OF MATERIALS AND LOCATIONS

Material	SH-22 District 9	US <u>~</u> 80 District 19	SH-43 District 19
Overflex	32	30*	34
AC-5	28		
Eastabond	30	32*	34
AC-3	31		
Emulsion	28	37	33
Emulsion + Latex	33	37	32
AC-3 + Latex	29	27	29
AC-10		35*	38

.

\* Average of two sections

.

٠

\*

.

APPENDIX

EXAMPLE OF VISUAL INSPECTION DATA

Everthex Soutien

Α.	JOB IDENTIFICATION	
	District No Highway No.	54-22 County Hill Co.
	Control No. 121 Section No.	<u>02</u> Job No
	miles NSEW of	incorest town);
•**	Mile Post to Mile Post	······································
	Trial Field Section No401-	- 402_ Date Sealed_6/76
8.	MATERIALS AND DESIGN	
	Aggregate source Synthetic - Super T	Aggregate Quantity 1/52
	Asphalt Source Suchard Potroleum	Asphalt Quantity 0.64 (gal./sq. yd.)
	Length of Section Evaluated	
С	EVALUATION	
	I VISUAL INSPECTION	3. AGGREGATE RETENTION
	0 2 4 6 8 10 Very Poor Fair Good Very Poor Good	0 2 4 6 8 10 Entire Aggregate Some Slight Aggregate Loss Aggregate Aggregate Loss In Wheel Loss In Loss Path Wheel Poth
	2. <u>AGGREGATE_DEGRADATION</u> O 2 4 6 8 10 Excessive Moderate None Heavy Slight	4 <u>BLEEDING</u> O 2 4 6 7 8 10 Excessive Bleeding Slight Slight and in Bleeding Discolor-
	5. AGGREGATE EMBEDMENT	Extensive Wheel in ation Bleeding Path Wheel Path in Wheel Path
	Outer Wheel Path 95% Between Wheel Path 85%	Wheel Point
	TOTAL SCORE 29	
	COMMENTS:	
	Vielable men rate	( Conall w. ath )

\*'

.

8 4

Shight on the set present of