

CENTER FOR TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH

THE UNIVERSITY OF Developing Assessment Criteria for State DOTs' Standard Specifications on Contractor Schedules TF Byung Gi Han, Graduate Student; Junghye Son, Ph.D., P.E; Nabeel Khwaja, P.E.; William J. O'Brien, Ph.D., P.E. - AT AUSTIN

BACKGROUND

Motivation

DOT's highway construction project has a significant impact on **public safety and** convenience, and construction schedule plays a vital role in ensuring on-time project delivery. DOT's **Standard specifications** serve as the basis for project schedule control, establishing minimum requirements for the contractor schedule quality, and allowing DOTs to effectively communicate and manage project schedule performance. However, contractor schedule requirements stipulated in the Standard specifications vary across DOTs causing inconsistent contractor schedule quality.

Purpose

To assess **DOT's contractor schedule requirements** stipulated in their Standard specifications and provide a **structured reference table** to benchmark their Standard specifications.

Objectives

- 1. Extract key schedule management criteria
- 2. Develop schedule assessment criteria table
- 3. Assess 18 Western Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (WASHTO) DOTs' Standard Specifications





Schedule provisions under 18 WASHTO DOTs' Standard specifications were obtained and analyzed.



FINDINGS

Schedule Assessment Criteria Table

- **1.** General Requirements
- 1.1. Meetings
- **1.2.** Contract Time Computation
- **1.3.** Schedule Level Classifications
- **2.** Preconstruction Schedule Submission Requirements
- 2.1. Schedule Type
- 2.2. Schedule Format

3. Construction Schedule Submission Requirements

- 3.1. Schedule Type
- 3.2. Schedule Format
- **3.3.** Supplemental Submittal
- **3.4.** Progress Schedule Submission Frequency
- 3.5. Unsatisfactory Progress
- 3.6. Time Impact Analysis

4. Schedule Technical Requirements

- 4.1. Scheduling Software Designation
- 4.2. Schedule Development Guidelines
- 4.3. Schedule Setting Instructions

Inconsistent Schedule Type (Example from 2.1. Schedule Type)

State		Initial Schedul
	DOT A	
	DOT B	
	DOT C	
	DOT D	
Actual Terms	DOT E	Prelir (90 d
	DOT F	Prelir (For the fi
	DOT G	In i (60 d
	DOT H	In i (60 d



Λ	*	^
Х	Х	Progress Schedule
Х	Initial Schedule	Progress Schedule
Х	Initial Progress Schedule	Progress Schedule
nary Schedule ⁄s look-ahead)	Baseline Schedule	Progress Schedule
nary Schedule t 60 calendar days)	Baseline Schedule	Schedule Update
al Schedule vs look-ahead)	x	Detailed Schedule
al Schedule /s look-ahead)	Х	X

the literature.

Contributions

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research has been published in the ASCE's Construction Research Congress 2022 conference paper. Han, B. G., Son, J., Khwaja, N., and O'Brien, W. J. 2022. "Developing Assessment Criteria for State DOTs' Standard Specifications on Contractor Schedules." In Proc., Construction Research Congress 2022, Arlington, VA: ASCE, 403-413. Paper Contact

Name Byung Gi, Han Email han221@utexas.edu Phone: 737-263-6364

• Analyzed current WASHTO DOT's Standard Specifications and identified gaps among their stipulation with the key schedule requirements extracted from

• Developed 14 schedule assessment criteria under the schedule review process and 48 items from the DOT's schedule specifications were itemized. • Provided DOTs with a reference to benchmark their current schedule provision for improvement.

collaborate. innovate. educate.