

CENTER FOR TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH

0-7048 Analysis of Pedestrian Crashes at Texas Intersections & Mid-blocks

Intro

- Pedestrian crash rates (as recorded by US police, per vehicle miles traveled [VMT]) are stable, but ped deaths are rising: +53% in US & +86% in Texas (2010-2019).
- Texas' intersection crashes (with pedestrians) doubled between 2010 & 2019 while midblock counts rose 30%. City of Austin is working to achieve Vision Zero goals.
- **City of Austin, TX** has **5%** of Texas' ped. crash reports + better variables.
- This work analyzes Texas' 5.6M crashes in CRIS crash 2010-2019 dataset, and identifies factors with greatest impacts on ped-crash counts & severities, + most injurious sites, across >1 million sites. (Past studies use aggregates or small data sets for intersection details.)

Pedestrian Crash & Site Data

- **Variables** = Crash type, injury severity, location, time of day & week, road geometry, sidewalk & bus stop provision, vehicle & person attributes,...
- **Challenge #1**: No intersections defined in TxDOT's Roadway Inventory.
- Challenge #2: Extreme segment lengths, ranging from 5 feet to 44 miles!
- OpenStreetMap, Python, & PostgreSQL to derive **0.1-mile-long** segments, plus ~750K intersections.
- **Intersection signal presence** is derived from OpenStreetMap.
- Statewide Walk-miles traveled (WMT) per acre modeled off of National Household Travel Survey addon + block-level Census data.
- City of Austin economic & land use variables obtained from local MPO.







Texas' Public Roadway Network

Texas' Intersections



Natalia Zuniga-Garcia, Kenneth A. Perrine, & Kara Kockelman (kkockelm@mail.utexas.edu)

Methods

• Negative binomial (NB) count models used for ped crash count prediction across Texas & Austin sites (with more covariates added). (NB preferred over Poisson model due to over-dispersion of data: $\rho > 1$) • **Practical significance** evaluated by individually changing each *X* covariate (in each data record) up by 1 standard deviation (+1 SD) for continuous variables, or from 0 to 1 for indicator variables.

Results

TEXAS STATEWIDE

Intersection Ped Crashes

- Signalized intersections ⇒ Double # ped crashes (after controlling for # lanes, local walk mile traveled (WMT), traffic volume, sidewalks, etc.)
- +1 SD on **# Approaches** \Rightarrow +31% ped crashes
- Higher speed limits lower ped crash count. • Ped crashes rise with # lanes, lane widths, traffic volume, and truck %.
- Ped crashes fall with 1-way road type, median width, & distance to hospital.
- Transit stops increase # ped crashes.
- **Significant increase** when intersection is located in **City of Austin** (rather than elsewhere in Texas). But Austin midblocks seem safer... (see below)

Mid-block Ped Crashes

- WMT has similar effect as intersections. • +1 SD # intersections crossed per 1-mi.
- segment (2.8) \Rightarrow +29% ped crashes
- **Transit stops** increase ped crash counts • **One-way** roadways ⇒ **Safer** (significant
- ped crash reduction) • Significant decrease in ped crashes if midblock segment is in City of Austin (rather than all of Texas in general).







Thanks to RTI project manager Shelley Pridgen, project monitoring committee Carol Campa, Khalid Jamil, Noah Heath, Sharlotte Teague, Solomon Thomas, and Mark Dickerman, Larbi Hanni, & CRIS Support Team

- Signalized intersections ⇒ less sensitive than Texas model
- +1 SD population density \Rightarrow +15% ped crashes
- **household income** \Rightarrow -32% ped crashes • Transit stop concern is less in Austin than
- Central business district (CBD) ⇒ critical

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS - AT AUSTIN

CITY OF AUSTIN

Intersection Ped Crashes

- Equity concern: +\$41K in average
- in the rest of Texas.

Mid-block Ped Crashes

- +1 SD **pop. density** \Rightarrow +21% # ped crashes
- +\$41K in the avg. household income
- \Rightarrow –39% ped crashes
- Ped crashes fall as lane width decreases,
- & median width increases
- **CBD** \Rightarrow critical (+240%)







Summary & Conclusions

- Pedestrian crash counts predicted for more than 1 million Texas intersections & midblock segments over 10 years.
- Model results help prioritize treatment locations (by identifying high-risk settings) & identify several types of **cost-effective crash-reducing countermeasures**, like speed reduction, signal timing changes, ped (mid-block) beacon sites, rechannelization, traffic calming, etc.
- Negative binomial model results suggest that **ped crash counts are much higher** at signalized intersections, along arterial roads, with more & wider lanes, narrow or missing medians – ceteris paribus (like annual average daily traffic [AADT]).
- Midblock segments are more vulnerable than intersections.
- Austin results suggest CBD locations probably critical for both intersection & mid-block, with midblock # rising dramatically (240%).
- Related findings: Bernhardt et al.'s (2020) county-level work finds homelessness to be serious factor, & Zhao et al. (2021) & Rahman et al. (2021) show how light-duty trucks do more harm, along w/proximity to schools & transit stops having higher ped crash counts.