

Technical Report 151

Video Data Analytics for Safer and More Efficient Mobility

Research Supervisor: Natalia Ruiz Juri Center for Transportation Research

October 2020

Data-Supported Transportation Operations & Planning Center (D-STOP)

A Tier 1 USDOT University Transportation Center at The University of Texas at Austin





Wireless Networking & Communications Group

D-STOP is a collaborative initiative by researchers at the Center for Transportation Research and the Wireless Networking and Communications Group at The University of Texas at Austin.

1. Report No.					
	2. Government	t Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.		
D-STOP/2020/151					
4. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date		
Video Data Analytics for Safe	er and More Effi	cient Mobility	October 2020		
			6. Performing Organization	Code	
7. Author(s)			8. Performing Organization	Report No.	
Natalia Ruiz Juri			Report 151		
9. Performing Organization Name an	d Address		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)		
Data-Supported Transportation		Planning Center (D			
STOP)	I	e (
The University of Texas at Au	ıstin		11. Contract or Grant No.		
School of Architecture			DTRT13-G-UTC58		
310 Inner Campus Drive, B75	:00				
	000				
Austin, TX 78712				10 1	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Addr United States Department of 7			13. Type of Report and Perio	od Covered	
United States Department of T	-		14.0		
University Transportation Cen			14. Sponsoring Agency Code	e	
1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE					
Washington, DC 20590					
Supported by a grant from the Program.	_	_			
	tify pedestrian a ered by existing puting hardward which is used e illustrate the fic cameras that lestrian safety co llowing two ma con device inst ities can be fur HB installation Rundberg locatio Bus stop usage	activities on roadwa traffic cameras usi e and algorithms. To to visualize locat: use of a scalable to can allow agencies oncerns with two us jor goals: 1) evalua- allation; and 2) ass ther grouped into at Anderson location; Task 3: Recordi- e inferences at Payt	ys. Our approach automatic ng a semi-automated proces The method also extracts a ions where pedestrians are cool for the automated ana to leverage existing infrast e cases. ation of pedestrian activitie sessment of pedestrian activitie four tasks: Task 1: Pedest ion; Task 2: Recording an ng and analysis of pedestria on location. In this report,	cally analyzes ssing pipeline a background e present and alysis of data tructure in the es before and vities at new trian crossing d analysis of an crossing a	
Program. 16. Abstract In this project, we refined an cameras to automatically iden the content of video data gath powered by state-of-art com image at analyzed locations, display their trajectories. We collected from monocular trafa analysis and mitigation of pec This work focuses on the for after a pedestrian hybrid bea locations. The specific active detection before and after P pedestrian crossing event at F Payton location; and, Task 4: our efforts, findings, challeng	tify pedestrian a ered by existing puting hardward which is used e illustrate the fic cameras that lestrian safety co llowing two ma con device inst ities can be fur HB installation Rundberg locatio Bus stop usage	activities on roadwa traffic cameras usi e and algorithms. To to visualize locat: use of a scalable to can allow agencies oncerns with two us jor goals: 1) evalua- allation; and 2) ass ther grouped into at Anderson location; Task 3: Recordi- e inferences at Payt	ys. Our approach automatic ng a semi-automated proces The method also extracts a ions where pedestrians are cool for the automated ana to leverage existing infrast e cases. ation of pedestrian activitie sessment of pedestrian activitie four tasks: Task 1: Pedest ion; Task 2: Recording an ng and analysis of pedestria on location. In this report,	cally analyzed ssing pipeling a background e present and alysis of data tructure in the es before and tvities at new trian crossing d analysis o an crossing a	
Program. 16. Abstract In this project, we refined an cameras to automatically ident the content of video data gath powered by state-of-art com- image at analyzed locations, display their trajectories. We collected from monocular trafa analysis and mitigation of pec- This work focuses on the for- after a pedestrian hybrid bear locations. The specific active detection before and after P- pedestrian crossing event at F- Payton location; and, Task 4: our efforts, findings, challeng 17. Key Words	tify pedestrian a ered by existing puting hardward which is used e illustrate the m fic cameras that lestrian safety co llowing two ma con device inst ities can be fur HB installation Rundberg location Bus stop usage	activities on roadwa traffic cameras usi e and algorithms. T to visualize locat: use of a scalable t can allow agencies oncerns with two us jor goals: 1) evalua allation; and 2) ass ther grouped into at Anderson location; Task 3: Recordi e inferences at Payt nents in this project	ys. Our approach automatic ng a semi-automated proces The method also extracts a ions where pedestrians are cool for the automated ana to leverage existing infrast e cases. ation of pedestrian activitie sessment of pedestrian activitie four tasks: Task 1: Pedest ion; Task 2: Recording an ng and analysis of pedestria on location. In this report,	cally analyze ssing pipelin a background e present and alysis of data tructure in the es before and vities at new trian crossing d analysis of an crossing a we documen	
Program. 16. Abstract In this project, we refined an cameras to automatically ident the content of video data gath powered by state-of-art com- image at analyzed locations, display their trajectories. We collected from monocular trafa analysis and mitigation of peo- This work focuses on the fo- after a pedestrian hybrid bea- locations. The specific active detection before and after P- pedestrian crossing event at F- Payton location; and, Task 4: our efforts, findings, challeng 17. Key Words Pedestrian activity, data analy	tify pedestrian a ered by existing puting hardward which is used e illustrate the m fic cameras that lestrian safety co llowing two ma con device inst ities can be fur HB installation Rundberg location Bus stop usage	activities on roadwa traffic cameras usi e and algorithms. The to visualize locat: use of a scalable to can allow agencies oncerns with two us jor goals: 1) evaluation; and 2) ass ther grouped into at Anderson location; Task 3: Recording e inferences at Payt nents in this project 18. Distribution Statem No restrictions. T	ys. Our approach automatic ng a semi-automated proces The method also extracts a ions where pedestrians are cool for the automated and to leverage existing infrast e cases. ation of pedestrian activitie sessment of pedestrian activitie four tasks: Task 1: Pedest ion; Task 2: Recording an ng and analysis of pedestria on location. In this report,	cally analyze ssing pipelin a background e present and alysis of data tructure in the es before and vities at new trian crossing d analysis of an crossing a we documen	
Program. 16. Abstract In this project, we refined an cameras to automatically ident the content of video data gath powered by state-of-art com- image at analyzed locations, display their trajectories. We collected from monocular trafa analysis and mitigation of pec- This work focuses on the for- after a pedestrian hybrid bear locations. The specific active detection before and after P- pedestrian crossing event at F- Payton location; and, Task 4: our efforts, findings, challeng 17. Key Words	tify pedestrian a ered by existing puting hardward which is used e illustrate the m fic cameras that lestrian safety co llowing two ma con device inst ities can be fur HB installation Rundberg location Bus stop usage	activities on roadwa traffic cameras usi e and algorithms. To to visualize locat: use of a scalable to can allow agencies oncerns with two us jor goals: 1) evalue allation; and 2) ass ther grouped into at Anderson location; Task 3: Recording inferences at Payt nents in this project 18. Distribution Statem No restrictions. To through NTIS (htt	ys. Our approach automatic ng a semi-automated proces The method also extracts a ions where pedestrians are cool for the automated ana to leverage existing infrast e cases. ation of pedestrian activitie sessment of pedestrian activitie four tasks: Task 1: Pedest ion; Task 2: Recording an ng and analysis of pedestria on location. In this report,	cally analyze ssing pipelin a background e present and alysis of data tructure in the es before and vities at new trian crossing d analysis of an crossing a we documen	
Program. 16. Abstract In this project, we refined an cameras to automatically ident the content of video data gath powered by state-of-art com- image at analyzed locations, display their trajectories. We collected from monocular trafa analysis and mitigation of peo- This work focuses on the fo- after a pedestrian hybrid bea- locations. The specific active detection before and after P- pedestrian crossing event at F- Payton location; and, Task 4: our efforts, findings, challeng 17. Key Words Pedestrian activity, data analy	tify pedestrian a ered by existing puting hardward which is used e illustrate the m fic cameras that lestrian safety co llowing two ma con device inst ities can be fur HB installation Rundberg location Bus stop usage	activities on roadwa traffic cameras usi e and algorithms. To to visualize locat: use of a scalable to can allow agencies oncerns with two us jor goals: 1) evalue allation; and 2) ass ther grouped into at Anderson location; Task 3: Recording inferences at Payt nents in this project 18. Distribution Statem No restrictions. To through NTIS (htt	ys. Our approach automatic ng a semi-automated proces The method also extracts a ions where pedestrians are cool for the automated and to leverage existing infrast e cases. ation of pedestrian activitie sessment of pedestrian activitie four tasks: Task 1: Pedest ion; Task 2: Recording an ng and analysis of pedestria on location. In this report,	cally analyze ssing pipelin a background e present and alysis of data tructure in the es before and vities at new trian crossing d analysis of an crossing a we documen	
Program. 16. Abstract In this project, we refined an cameras to automatically ident the content of video data gath powered by state-of-art com- image at analyzed locations, display their trajectories. We collected from monocular trafa analysis and mitigation of peo- This work focuses on the fo- after a pedestrian hybrid bea- locations. The specific active detection before and after P- pedestrian crossing event at F- Payton location; and, Task 4: our efforts, findings, challeng 17. Key Words Pedestrian activity, data analy	tify pedestrian a ered by existing puting hardward which is used e illustrate the m fic cameras that lestrian safety co llowing two ma con device inst ities can be fur HB installation Rundberg location Bus stop usage	activities on roadwa traffic cameras usi e and algorithms. To to visualize locat: use of a scalable to can allow agencies oncerns with two us jor goals: 1) evalue allation; and 2) ass ther grouped into at Anderson location; Task 3: Recording inferences at Payt nents in this project 18. Distribution Statem No restrictions. To through NTIS (htt	ys. Our approach automatic ng a semi-automated process The method also extracts a ions where pedestrians are cool for the automated anal to leverage existing infrast e cases. ation of pedestrian activities sessment of pedestrian activities four tasks: Task 1: Pedest ion; Task 2: Recording an ng and analysis of pedestriat on location. In this report, ment his document is available to tp://www.ntis.gov): nical Information Service	cally analyze ssing pipelin a background e present and alysis of data tructure in the es before and vities at new trian crossing d analysis of an crossing a we documen	
Program. 16. Abstract In this project, we refined an cameras to automatically ident the content of video data gath powered by state-of-art com- image at analyzed locations, display their trajectories. We collected from monocular trafa analysis and mitigation of peo- This work focuses on the fo- after a pedestrian hybrid bea- locations. The specific active detection before and after P- pedestrian crossing event at F- Payton location; and, Task 4: our efforts, findings, challeng 17. Key Words Pedestrian activity, data analy	tify pedestrian a ered by existing puting hardward which is used e illustrate the m fic cameras that lestrian safety co llowing two ma con device inst ities can be fur HB installation Rundberg location Bus stop usage	activities on roadwa traffic cameras usi e and algorithms. T to visualize locat: use of a scalable t can allow agencies oncerns with two us jor goals: 1) evalua allation; and 2) ass ther grouped into at Anderson location; Task 3: Recordi e inferences at Payt nents in this project 18. Distribution Statem No restrictions. T through NTIS (htt National Tech 5285 Port Roy	ys. Our approach automatic ng a semi-automated process The method also extracts a ions where pedestrians are cool for the automated and to leverage existing infrast e cases. ation of pedestrian activities sessment of pedestrian activities four tasks: Task 1: Pedest ion; Task 2: Recording an ng and analysis of pedestria on location. In this report, this document is available to tp://www.ntis.gov): nical Information Service /al Road	cally analyze ssing pipeling a background e present and alysis of data tructure in the es before and vities at new trian crossing d analysis o an crossing a we documen	
Program. 16. Abstract In this project, we refined an cameras to automatically ident the content of video data gath powered by state-of-art com- image at analyzed locations, display their trajectories. We collected from monocular trafa analysis and mitigation of peo- This work focuses on the fo- after a pedestrian hybrid bea- locations. The specific active detection before and after P- pedestrian crossing event at F- Payton location; and, Task 4: our efforts, findings, challeng 17. Key Words Pedestrian activity, data analy	tify pedestrian a ered by existing puting hardward which is used e illustrate the fic cameras that lestrian safety co llowing two ma con device inst ities can be fur HB installation Rundberg location Bus stop usage es, and achieven	activities on roadwa traffic cameras usi e and algorithms. T to visualize locat: use of a scalable t can allow agencies oncerns with two us jor goals: 1) evalua allation; and 2) ass ther grouped into at Anderson location; Task 3: Recordi e inferences at Payt nents in this project 18. Distribution Statem No restrictions. T through NTIS (ht National Tech	ys. Our approach automatic ng a semi-automated process The method also extracts a ions where pedestrians are cool for the automated and to leverage existing infrast e cases. ation of pedestrian activities sessment of pedestrian activities four tasks: Task 1: Pedest ion; Task 2: Recording an ng and analysis of pedestria on location. In this report, this document is available to tp://www.ntis.gov): nical Information Service /al Road	cally analyze ssing pipelin a background e present and alysis of data tructure in the es before and vities at new trian crossing d analysis of an crossing a we documen	

Disclaimer

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the information presented herein. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation's University Transportation Centers Program, in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

Acknowledgements

The authors recognize that support for this research was provided by a grant from the U.S. Department of Transportation, University Transportation Centers.

Task 2019-17 Video Analytics for Vision Zero 3

FINAL REPORT

Prepared by the Center for Transportation Research at The University of Texas at Austin for the City of Austin Transportation Department

> COA/CTR Interlocal Agreement UTA16-000016, Task 2019-17

> > October 2020



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

3925 W. Braker Lane • Suite 4.11080 • Austin, TX 78759 • (512) 232-3100 • ctr.utexas.edu

Table of Contents

Execu	tive Summary
1.	Introduction 4
2.	Tasks Performed
2.1	Task 1: Pedestrian crossing detection before and after PHB installation at Anderson location
2.2	Task 2: Recording and analysis of pedestrian crossing event at Rundberg location
2.3	Task 3: Recording and analysis of pedestrian crossing at Payton location11
2.4	Task 4: Bus stop usage at Payton location
3.	Challenges and Achievements
3.1	COVID-19 impact
3.2	Achievements
4.	Findings, summary, and discussion
Refer	ences



Executive Summary

In Video Analytics for Vision Zero 3, we have continued to refine an approach to use data collected by the City of Austin's traffic monitoring cameras to automatically identify pedestrian activities on roadways. Our approach automatically analyzes the content of video data gathered by existing traffic cameras using a semi-automated processing pipeline powered by state-of-art computing hardware and algorithms. The method also extracts a background image at analyzed locations, which is used to visualize locations where pedestrians are present and display their trajectories. We illustrate the use of a scalable tool for the automated analysis of data collected from monocular traffic cameras that can allow agencies to leverage existing infrastructure in the analysis and mitigation of pedestrian safety concerns with two use cases.

Our work has been focused on the following two major goals: 1) evaluation of pedestrian activities before and after a pedestrian hybrid beacon device installation; and 2) assessment of pedestrian activities at new locations. For the first goal, we have explored additional algorithms for the pedestrian tracking code, namely SORT, and refined our pedestrian detection by further limiting regions of interest. We have evaluated the SORT algorithm at Anderson Lane and Burnet Road (hereafter "Anderson location"). For the second goal, we have evaluated two additional locations, Lamar and Rundberg (hereafter "Rundberg location") and Lamar Boulevard and Payton Gin Road (hereafter "Payton location"). The specific activities can be further grouped into four tasks: Task 1: Pedestrian crossing detection before and after PHB installation at Anderson location; Task 2: Recording and analysis of pedestrian crossing at Payton location; and, Task 4: Bus stop usage inferences at Payton location. In this report, we document our efforts, findings, challenges, and achievements for the below work tasks.



1. Introduction

The rise in pedestrian fatalities in the US over the past few years has led many transportation agencies to refocus efforts on implementing proven safety countermeasures to improve pedestrian safety. As approximately 75 percent of pedestrian fatalities occur at mid-block locations, much focus is placed on strategies to facilitate safer pedestrian crossings. One such treatment, the pedestrian hybrid beacon (PHB), has been shown to reduce serious injury and fatal crashes by 15 percent and pedestrian crashes by nearly 70 percent [1]. Over the past decade the City of Austin has installed more than seventy-five PHBs at locations characterized by documented pedestrian demand, crash history, and long distances between safe crossing opportunities, among other factors. When studying potential PHB locations, the City has traditionally relied on manual observations to quantify pedestrian movements, such as the number of crossings over a given time period. However, manual observations provide information only for limited time periods, making it challenging to quantify the evolution of pedestrian behavior over time or in response to a treatment such as a PHB.

The collection and analysis of video data at critical locations provides an opportunity to analyze pedestrian movements and to provide a verifiable account of road user behavior. The former reduces the need to rely on ad hoc decision making [2]. However, if analyses are conducted by human observers, there is a limit to the number of locations and analysis periods that may be considered. Automated approaches to effectively recognize, analyze, and store pedestrian activities over time are needed. The technical challenges associated with pedestrian activity analysis using traffic monitoring video data are different from those faced when conducting traffic flow analyses. Regular roadside cameras have wide and deep fields of view. Pedestrian activities occupy only a small portion of the field, and at many locations are only present sporadically. Further, pedestrians appear smaller in size than cars and are more frequently subject to obstruction from other objects within the scene.

Incorporating Internet of Things (IoT) and smart devices within an intelligent transportation system (ITS) usually comes with substantial up-front costs for installation and deployment. At the same time, advances in algorithm development and software design bring new opportunities to increase utilization of existing transportation infrastructure. To address these challenges, we have continued developing a video processing pipeline [3] to improve pedestrian detection and tracking specifically. We have implemented additional features, including select areas of interest from the video frames, reporting additional measures of object detections, incorporating heuristics based on best practices, additional visualization summarization, and manual video curation utilities.

Beginning in Section 2, we describe the work according to task. Task 1: Pedestrian crossing detection before and after PHB installation at Anderson location; Task 2: Recording and analysis of pedestrian crossing event at Rundberg location; Task 3: Recording and analysis of pedestrian crossing at Payton location; and, Task 4: Bus stop usage inferences at Payton location. In Section 3, we describe other challenges and achievements during the past year of work. We conclude and discuss main findings in Section 4.



2. Tasks Performed

2.1 Task 1: Pedestrian crossing detection before and after PHB installation at Anderson location

At the Anderson location, analyses were conducted before and after the activation of a PHB device that facilitates safe pedestrian crossings in the area, many of which are related to an active bus stop. We have applied our approach to compare pedestrian street-crossing patterns before and after at the intersection of Anderson Lane and Burnet Road (hereafter "Anderson location") during the previous year. The aim for this year is to explore additional algorithms in order to improve the quality of pedestrian crossing detection.

	Anderson & Burnet			
Date Time range	Sept. 19-21 (WedFri);	Feb 12–15 (Tue.–Fri.);		
	Sept. 29–Oct. 2 (Sat.–Tue.)	Feb 23–25 (Sat.–Mon.)		
Average size per video file	~300MB	~300MB		
Total size	1300GB	1400GB		
Average durations	15 mins	15mins		

Table 1 Data collection summary for Anderson location

The time range and size of video recordings selected for computational analysis are summarized in Table 1. This task is a continuation from the prior year.







In addition to computational detection of pedestrian crossing events, we have asked students to manually curate and count pedestrian crossing events. The purpose of student curation is to create ground truth data for evaluation of algorithm prediction and detections. There are 44 hours videos that have been curated: September 19, 2019; September 29, 2019; February 13, 2020; and, February 23, 2020 (partially finished). For each 15-minute video, the curation takes on average 0.57 hours to finish. There are five categories of activities: walking, standing, crossing, cycling, and waiting. There is also an "other category" for all other activities. Figure 1 shows the percentage of different types of activities curated by students.

To further understand the effectiveness of our approach, we explored an alternative algorithm approach, "Single Online and Real time Tracking" (SORT) [14]. The SORT algorithm identifies tracks in three steps as follows:

- Step 1: Track initialization. Pedestrians are assigned tracks at the start of the video or as new pedestrians enter the frame.
- Step 2: Track estimation: A forecast algorithm (Kalman filter) is used to predict the location of pedestrian in future frames based on velocity (requires a "burn-in" of a few frames).
- Step 3: Track association. Cost optimization (Hungarian algorithm) is used to associate pedestrians into tracks across frames based on the velocity forecast and overlap of bounding boxes.



Figure 2 Visualization of tracks identified through SORT algorithm before and after PHB installation.

The basic idea of SORT algorithm is similar to our current approach for pedestrian tracking with two key differences. First, we used a customized heuristic algorithm instead of Kalman filtering for track position estimation. Second, our tracking algorithm only considers the center of the bounding box rather than the entire bounding box. Figure 2 shows a summarized view of tracks identified by SORT before and after PHB installations.





Figure 3 Comparisons of Tracks predicted by SORT and student curated results by hourly average.

We compared the direct output of SORT algorithm and student curated results over the same videos. The results show a significant overcounting of crossing events. This is similar to the observation we have with our tracking algorithm - there are a number of short tracks among tracks identified. The short tracks are caused by group crossing and/or frames with obstruction views of pedestrians. To address this issue, we have also applied two filters: "length-based filter" and "probability-based filter." The length-based filter is based on the length of the track and duration of the tracks. The probability-based filter will filter length based on the distribution of all predicted tracks and remove any tracks with a probability of observation less than a predefined threshold. As a result, predicted tracks can be greatly reduced due to removal of short or rarely expected tracks. Figure 4 shows the results after applying value filters.



Figure 4 Visualization of tracks identified through SORT algorithm before and after PHB installation. The tracks are filtered based on distance (>100 pixels) and duration (150 frames minimum).



We also compared filtered SORT results with student curated results. Figure 5 shows comparisons of using length-based filter and probability-based filter respectively. In both figures, the blue bar is for "Student_count_total" which is the number of people the student curators identified. The red bar shows the "Student_count_events" which is the number of distinct crossing events student curators identified. The green bar is the "SORT_count" which is the number of trajectories identified by the SORT algorithm after filters. The two filters shows very similar performances. In both results, we noticed for some hours, the predicted results are very close to actual counts, such as hours 7, 14, 15, and 19. There is significant undercounting during afternoon hours, such as hours 17 and 18. There is significant overcounting during the morning hours such as hours 8 and 9.



Figure 5 Top: Comparisons of predicted crossing tracks and curated tracks. Crossing tracks are filtered by distance (>100 pixels) and duration (150 frames minimum). Bottom: Comparisons of predicted crossing tracks and curated tracks. Crossing tracks are filtered by the probability of observations.



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

2.2 Task 2: Recording and analysis of pedestrian crossing event at Rundberg location

We started video collection at the Rundberg location in March 2019. We have continued our video collection through November 2019. The Rundberg location analyses are focused on volume and location of pedestrian frequently crossing the street. This is an important step toward understanding the impact of measures designed to promote street crossing on designated safe areas, such as crosswalks. We have automated video captures in 15 minute intervals at each location during the period from November 07, 2019, to December 17, 2019. Since the camera angle and direction can be dynamically adjusted by staff at City of Austin for other purposes, video recordings that capture activity away from the location of interest to the case studies are discarded. There are 38 days with good recordings except 11/17/2019, 12/10/2019, and 12/15/2019. Additionally, there are several days during which we do not have full recordings, including 11/9, 11/26, 11/29, 12/12, and 12/14/2019. The rough time range and size of video recordings selected for computational analysis are summarized in Table 2.

	Lamar & Rundberg	
Date Time range	Nov. 07–Dec. 17 2019	
Average size per video file	~600MB	
Total size	~912GB	
Average durations	15 mins	

Table 2 Recording summary at Rundberg location

The pedestrian activities for each day during the selected periods are summarized in Figure 6 at the Rundberg location. Each blue point shows the maximum number of pedestrians detected in any single frame in a one-minute slice of a video (where one minute is inferred from frame numbers given a 30fps framerate). The red line is a 30-minute rolling average over those maximum pedestrian counts. Pedestrians outside of the road are excluded from these counts. Figure 7 shows the combined view for each hour over all days.





Figure 6 Summary of Pedestrian activities at Rundberg Location





Figure 7 Pedestrian detection per 30-minute window for all days, color-coded by weekend or week days.

2.3 Task 3: Recording and analysis of pedestrian crossing at Payton location

The Payton location is another location selected for a focused study on pedestrian crossing detections. We have accumulated roughly a month-long recording from 3/7/2020 to 4/8/2020. Most recordings were deemed usable between 10am to 8pm. The raw recording is about 40~50 GB per day, with a total of ~1.4TB total recorded video.



Figure 8 Visual summary results at Payton location during week days (03/09~03/13 and 03/16~03/19). The activities are colored for four time periods of the day: Yellow: 7-10 am; Green: 10:00-13:00; Blue: 13:00~16:00; Red: 16:00~19:00.



Pedestrian detections are then processed to derive tracks of crossing events as illustrated in Figure 8 (week days) and Figure 9 (weekends). The activities are colored for four time periods of the day: Yellow: 7:00-10:00; Green: 10:00-13:00; Blue: 13:00~16:00; Red: 16:00~19:00. The Yellow and Red groups correspond to peak traffic hours while Blue and Green groups are for off-peak hours.



Figure 9 Visual summary results at Payton location during Weekends (03/07~03/08 and 03/14~03/15) The activities are colored for four time periods of the day: Yellow: 7:00-10:00; Green: 10:00-13:00; Blue: 13:00~16:00; Red: 16:00~19:00.

Figure 10 shows our initial crossing event prediction over all recordings (left) as well as separated by directions of travel (right). In Figure 11, average hourly detections are shown (left) as well as separated by directions of travel.



Figure 10 Daily crossing prediction summary (left) and separated by directions (right).





Figure 11 Hourly crossing prediction summary (left) and separated by directions (right).

We suspect there is an over estimate of the number of crossing events shown in both Figure 10 and 11. To address the issue, we have developed a new strategy to identify a crossing event by only considering pedestrian detected in the median of the road. Figure 12 shows a visual summary of tracks detected in the region confined to the middle of the road.



Figure 12 Visual summary results at Payton location during week days (03/09~03/13 and 03/16~03/19). The activities are colored for four time periods of the day: Yellow: 7:00-10:00; Green: 10:00-13:00; Blue: 13:00~16:00; Red: 16:00~19:00.





Figure 13 Number of hourly crossing detections after only consider median of the road.

Figure 13 shows the number of hourly crossing detections after only consider median of the road. The overall results are similar with previous prediction results with total hourly crossing over all days are between 10~30. In comparison, results from Figure 10 and 11 shows 20~50 percent higher detections.

2.4 Task 4: Bus stop usage at Payton location



Figure 14 Locations of two bus stops from camera view and Google map views.



There are also two bus stops visible from the camera views at the Payton location. In this task, our goal is to infer bus stop usage from the camera (i.e., how many people are waiting for buses throughout the day). Comparing to crossing event detection, there is a major difference that is the pedestrians are relatively motionless while waiting for buses. Hence, we adapt our crossing event detection algorithm from detecting tracks of movement to identifying "time blocks" of non-movement for the same pedestrian as follows:

- Step 1. Define two area of interests and count pedestrian detection and time periods.
- Step 2. Identify all person detection in the selected area.
- Step 3. Identify non-continuous time blocks with person identification. Multiple blocks within 5 seconds are considered as one time block.
- Step 4. Identify number of persons within each time block.
- Step 5. Filter by length of time block. All time blocks whose length is less than 5 seconds are discarded.

	SB (Left)	NB (Right)		
	Week days	Weekends	Week days	Weekends
1. Number of days	9	4	9	4
· ·				
2. Total person detection from all frames	386,057	77,010	69,252	26,015
3. Number of time blocks with person detection	1440	581	1289	378
4. Number of times with more than one person	126	36	68	37
5. Number of time blocks longer than 5s	387	108	288	110
6. Number of time blocks longer than 5s with more than one person	103	28	53	18
total unique person inferred	504	139	342	131

Table 3 Results summary of detecting bus wait for each processing steps.

Table 3 shows the results of a number of detections for each step of detection algorithms. The final detection results for weekend and week days are shown in Figures 16 and 15 respectively.





Figure 15 Visual summary results of waiting for bus at Payton location during week days (03/09~03/13 and 03/16~03/19) The activities are colored for four time periods of the day: Yellow: 7:00-10:00; Green: 10:00-13:00; Blue: 13:00~16:00; Red: 16:00~19:00.



Figure 16 Visual summary results of waiting for bus at Payton location during weekends (03/07~03/08 and 03/14~03/15) The activities are colored for four time periods of the day: Yellow: 7:00-10:00; Green: 10:00-13:00; Blue: 13:00~16:00; Red: 16:00~19:00.



 THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

 CENTER FOR TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH

 3925 W. Braker Lane • Suite 4.11080 • Austin, TX 78759 • (512) 232-3100 • ctr.utexas.edu

We obtained data from Capital Metro of bus onboarding statistics in the previous year (Figure 17). Note that our detection algorithm cannot effectively distinguish onboarding and alighting. The total number of people detected and the hourly trend seems in-line with Capital Metro statistics. Our inference seems slightly higher. There are more person detections at the southbound stop (on the left). This may be because it's closer and more visible from the camera so that additional persons (drivers, cyclists) may be more easily detected.



Figure 17 Bus stop usage statistics provided by Capital Metro.



Figure 18 Detection results on number of people waiting for bus per hour.



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

3. Challenges and Achievements

3.1 COVID-19 impact

Our work progress has been directly impacted by COVID-19 in the following way: Our video recording pipeline was disrupted due to a change of network access policy and heightened security requirements on both the UT side (TACC and CTR) and at the City of Austin. As a result, we were unable to record over a longer period of time since May.

We were planning to finish the student curation on all videos at the Anderson location. However, due to school closures, the Vislab is no longer accessible to students. Therefore, the student curation was suspended. As a mitigation plan, we are working to move the curation process to be completed online.

Both computing resources utilizations and personnel priority have been affected by prioritized COVID-19 related work. This has caused delays in processing video recordings, data management as well software and website development since March.

3.2 Achievements

We have published two papers during the past year:

- Weijia Xu, Ruiz, N., K. Pierce., Huang, R., Meyer, J, Duthie, J. (2019). "Detecting Pedestrian Crossing Events in Large Video Data from Traffic Monitoring Cameras." 2019 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), Los Angeles, CA, USA, 2019, pp. 3824-3831, doi: 10.1109/BigData47090.2019.9005655.
- 2. Weijia Xu, Ruiz, N., Huang, R., Duthie, J., Meyer, J., Clary, J., (2019). "Deep learning methods to leverage traffic monitoring cameras for pedestrian data applications." 26th ITS World Congress, Oct. 21-25, 2019, Singapore (Best Technical Paper Awards)

The paper presented at ITS World Congress was recognized as the best technical paper from North America.





4. Findings, summary, and discussion

Our work has been focused on the following two major goals: 1) evaluation of pedestrian activities before and after a pedestrian hybrid beacon device installation; and 2) assessment of pedestrian activities at new locations. For the first goal, we have explored additional algorithms for pedestrian tracking code, namely SORT, and refined our pedestrian detection by further limiting regions of interest. We have evaluated the SORT algorithm at Anderson Lane and Burnet Road. For the second goal, we have evaluated two additional locations, Lamar Boulevard and Rundberg Lane and Lamar Boulevard and Payton Gin Road. The use case presented in this work illustrates the potential of implementing deep-learning methods to the study of pedestrian street-crossing patterns. We also propose several metrics of pedestrian activity to support the systematic analysis of before/after scenarios and the quantification of the benefits of pedestrian safety treatments. While preliminary, our results suggest that meaningful metrics may be automatically derived from data recorded by traffic monitoring cameras, which would enable agencies to conduct more thorough analyses on a larger number of locations.

Artificial intelligence technologies can greatly reduce the effort involved in analyzing video data, and frameworks such as the one presented here can facilitate research traditionally based on manual video data analysis and promote further work on video data applications and integration. A unique advantage of our framework is to convert video recordings into query-able information, which can accommodate multiple subsequent use cases without re-processing [3]. While the framework and specific applications are still under development, we have demonstrated their potential to support useful analyses with minimal effort when compared to manual processing.



References

- 1. FHWA. Proven Safety Countermeasures: Pedestrian Hybrid Beacons. https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/provencountermeasures/ped_hybrid_beacon/.
- 2. Sayed, T., M. H. Zaki, J. Autey (2013). Automated safety diagnosis of vehicle–bicycle interactions using computer vision analysis, *Safety Science*, vol. 59, pp. 163–172.
- 3. Xu, W., N. Ruiz Juri, R. Huang, J. Duthie, J. Clary (2018). Automated pedestrian safety analysis using data from traffic monitoring cameras. In Proceedings *1st ACM/EIGSCC Symposium On Smart Cities and Communities*, Portland, OR. ACM (New York, USA).
- 4. Kastrinaki, V., M. Zervakis, K. Kalaitzakis (2003). A survey of video processing techniques for traffic applications, *Image and Vision Computing*, vol. 21, issue 4, pp. 359–381.
- 5. Kuciemba, S., K. Swindler (2016). Transportation Management Center Video Recording and Archiving Best General Practices. Federal Highway Administration report no. FHWA-HOP-16-033.
- 6. Zangenehpour, S., L. F. Miranda-Moreno, N. Saunier (2015). Automated classification based on video data at intersections with heavy pedestrian and bicycle traffic: methodology and application. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, vol. 56, pp. 161–176.
- 7. Hu, W., X. Xiao, D. Xie, T. Tan, S. Maybank (2004). Traffic accident prediction using 3-D model-based vehicle tracking. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 53, issue 3, pp. 677–694.
- 8. St. Aubin, P., L. Miranda-Moreno, N. Saunier (2013). An automated surrogate safety analysis at protected highway ramps using cross-sectional and before-after video data. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, vol. 36, pp. 284–295.
- 9. St. Aubin, P., N. Saunier, L. Miranda-Moreno (2015). Large-scale automated proactive road safety analysis using video data. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, vol. 58, part B, pp. 363–379.
- Morris B. T., M. M. Trivedi (2008). A survey of vision-based trajectory learning and analysis for surveillance. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, vol. 18, issue 8, pp. 1114–1127.
- 11. Morris B. T., C. Tran, G. Scora, M. M. Trivedi, M. J. Barth (2012). Real-time video-based traffic measurement and visualization system for energy/emissions. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 13, issue 4, pp. 1667–1678.
- 12. Huang, L., W. Xu, S. Liu, V. Pandey, N. Ruiz Juri (2017). Enabling versatile analysis of large scale traffic video data with deep learning and HiveQL. In Proceedings 2017 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), Boston, MA.
- Redmon, J, S. Divvala, R. Girshick, A. Farhadi (2016). You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection. In Proceedings *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Las Vegas, NV.
- Bewley, Alex, Zongyuan Ge, Lionel Ott, Fabio Ramos, and Ben Upcroft. "Simple online and realtime tracking." In 2016 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), pp. 3464-3468. IEEE, 2016.

