

0-6616: Validate Surface Performance-Graded (SPG) Specification for Surface Treatment Binders

Background

The design and selection of surface treatment binders is currently based on experience and traditional specifications that are not performance related and do not directly consider climate. A surface performance-graded (SPG) specification for these materials was developed and initially validated previously in Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) Project 0-1710. This SPG specification utilizes the equipment and format of the performance-graded (PG) binder specification for hot-mix asphalt (HMA) binders and ensures adequate surface treatment performance in service in terms of aggregate loss and bleeding by measuring binder properties at critical high and low surface pavement temperatures. In this project, the original SPG specification was revised and further validated with field performance.

What the Researchers Did

The SPG specification was revised with additional binder testing proposed since TxDOT Project 0-1710 and further validated by monitoring the field performance of 30 highway sections during their critical first year of service. Surface treatment binders included both hot applied asphalt cements and emulsion residues to capture performance in service. First, a standard emulsion residue recovery method was selected based on the evaluation of two warm oven methods developed to more closely match the field process of water evaporation without disturbance of polymer networks in modified binders. Second, the exclusive use of the dynamic shear rheometer (DSR) was investigated by comparing predicted low temperature properties from DSR testing to measured low temperature properties from bending beam rheometer (BBR) testing that requires substantial material and reheating of the material that may alter the

properties of modified binders. Third, the thresholds for both high and low temperature performancerelated properties at critical aging states were further field validated by comparing the laboratory SPG grades based on measured properties, the binder properties required by the highway section climate, and field performance in terms of aggregate loss, bleeding, and overall performance. Additional binder testing was also completed including the multiple stress creep recovery (MSCR) and the DSR frequency sweep recommended in a modified SPG specification from National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Project 14-17 to evaluate the possibility of adding criteria to the SPG specification.

What They Found

A reliable performance-related specification for surface treatment binders was developed as shown in Table 1. The Texas warm oven method (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials [AASHTO] PP 72-11 Procedure B) is recommended for use with this specification to recover emulsion residue for further testing.

The laboratory results generally identified modified binders as superior to unmodified binders. From the comparison of the laboratory and field results, the thresholds for one high temperature property $(G^*/\sin \delta)$ and one low temperature property (creep

Research Performed by: Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Research Supervisor: Amy Epps Martin, TTI

Researchers: Aishwarya Vijaykumar, TTI Edith Arambula, TTI Tom Freeman, TTI

Project Completed: 8-31-2012

stiffness S) were maintained with a tie to field performance for the majority of 30 field sections in this study and 45 from TxDOT Project 0-1710. The threshold for one intermediate temperature property (minimum percent strain at $0.8G_i^*$) was revised to 17.5 percent minimum based on field performance of more than 25 sections. The final recommendation to improve the SPG specification was to remove the m-value due to lack of a tie with field performance.

What This Means

The revised SPG specification shown in Table 1 is ready for implementation that will provide further validation with additional highway sections, corresponding climates, and a wider variety of materials. Additional statistical analysis using classification and regression trees (CART) is recommended to further validate the binder property thresholds that ensure adequate surface treatment performance in service in terms of bleeding and aggregate loss over a wide range of temperatures during the critical first year. The low temperature property (S) can likely be predicted from the DSR frequency sweep, and further research is needed to determine an additional property to specifically address bleeding. Since this SPG specification is limited to binder properties in service, it must be used in conjunction with other established specifications, quality control processes, and design and construction guidelines to ensure good performance.

The SPG grades are examples, and they can be					Performance Grade							
extended in both directions of the temperature spectrum	SPG 64			SPG 67				SPG 70				
using 3°C increments.	-13	-16	-19	-22	-13	-16	-19	-22	-13	-16	-19	-22
Average 7-day Maximum Surface Pavement Design	<64			<67				<70				
Temperature, °C												
Minimum Surface Pavement Design Temperature, °C				>-22	>-13	>-16	>-19	>-22	>-13	>-16	>-19	>-22
Original Binder												
Dynamic Shear												
AASHTO T 315/ASTM D7175	64				67				70			
<i>G*/sin δ,</i> Minimum: 0.65 kPa												
Test Temperature @10 rad/s, °C												
Dynamic Shear Strain Sweep												
AASHTO T 315/ASTM D7175					25				25			
% strain @ 0.8G _i *, Minimum: 17.5	25											
Test Temperature @10 rad/s linear loading from 1–50%	20											
strain, 1 sec delay time with measurement of 20–30												
increments, °C												
Pressure Aging Vessel (PAV) Residue (AASHTO R30)												
PAV Aging Temperature, °C	100			100				100				
Creep Stiffness												
AASHTO T 313/ASTM D6648	-13	-16	-19	-22	-13	-16	-19	-22	-13	-16	-19	-22
S, Maximum: 500 MPa									.0	10	10	
Test Temperature @ 8s, °C												
Shear Strain Sweep	25			25				25				
G _i *, Maximum: 2.5 MPa												
Test Temperature @10 rad/s linear loading at 1% strain												
and 1 sec delay time, °C												

Table 1. Revised SPG Specification.

For More Information	Research and Technology Implementation Office
Project Manager: Wade Odell, TxDOT, (512) 416-4737	Texas Department of Transportation 125 E. 11th Street
Research Supervisor: Amy Epps Martin, TTI, (979) 862-1750 Technical reports when published are available at http://library.ctr.utexas.edu.	Austin, TX 78701-2483 www.txdot.gov Keyword: Research

This research was performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration. The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented here. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view or policies of FHWA or TxDOT. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it intended for construction, bidding, or permit purposes. Trade names were used solely for information and not for product endorsement.