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#### Abstract

The Texas Travel Demand Package is a series of computer programs to generate, distribute, and assign roadway trips. The Texas Large Network Assignment Models is a collection of computer programs designed to assign traffic to transportation networks; it is one part of the Texas Travel Demand Package. Several special features are available in the Texas Large Network Assignment Models in addition to the usual programs regarding the assignment of traffic to minimum time paths, such as self-balancing assignment, capacity-restraint assignment, incremental assignment, corridor intercepts, travel routes, selected links, and subarea windowing and subarea focusing assignment techniques.

Since the Texas Large Network Assignment Models can be used to accomplish various jobs, the Models output a number of different tables. This writeup describes these various tables and tells how to read them.

This report begins with a general discussion of the objectives of evaluating a traffic assignment output. Various steps of evaluation assignment output are discussed. The report then lists the designators and names of the output tables. Finally, the report contains a detailed discussion of the various tables including the following sequence: purpose, how to read, comments, how to use, and sample output tables.


## DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the opinions, findings, and conclusions presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Federal Highway Administration or the State Department of Highways and Public Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.
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## INTRODUCTION

Purpose The Texas Travel Demand Package is a series of computer programs to generate, distribute, and assign roadway trips. One part of the package, the Texas Large Network Assignment Models, outputs a number of different tables. This writeup describes these various tables and tells how to read them.
Organization This report begins with a general discussion of the objectives of evaluating a traffic assignment output. Various steps of evaluation assignment output are discussed. The report then lists the designators and names of the output tables. Finally, the report contains a detailed discussion of the various tables including the following sequence: purpose, how to read, comments, how to use, and sample output tables.

## TEXAS LARGE NETWORK ASSIGNMENT MODELS

Introduction Traffic assignment is a technique which has been developed to aid future transportation planning in the evaluation of the transportation system and/or land-use alternatives. The Texas Large Network Assignment Models is a collection of computer programs in five load modules designed to assign traffic to transportation networks.

Features Several special features are available in the Texas Large Network Assignment Models in addition to the usual programs regarding the assignment of traffic to minimum time paths.

* Self-Balancing: to improve the agreement of assigned volumes with counted volumes.
* Capacity-Restraint: to produce multiple path assignments using one of two different impedance adjustments.
* Incremental: to produce multiple path assignments based on link impedances for four 25 percent increments from a table look-up.
* Corridor Intercepts: to obtain corridor analysis summaries.
* Travel Routes: to obtain volume profile comparisons and/or plots.
* Selected Links: to perform a special analysis of all traversing movements.
* Subarea Windowing or Focusing: to perform a subarea analysis.

Accomplishment The Texas Large Network Assignment Models can be used to accomplish the following:

* Prepare a printed description of an assignment network.
* Revise or update an assignment network.
* Prepare trip records for traffic assignment.
* Prepare a printed description of trip interchanges.
* Trace any or all possible minimum paths.
* Prepare a printed description of any or all minimum paths.
* Assign traffic to an assignment network.
* Prepare a printed description of assigned volumes including turning movements.
* Prepare mileage and vehicle-mile summaries by functional class and jurisdiction.
* Balance assigned volumes with counted volumes.
* Balance assigned volumes with capacities by one of two different impedance adjustment functions.
* Prepare corridor volume summaries.
* Prepare interchange reports for selected links by zone or sector.
* Summarize assigned volumes along travel routes.
* Print volume profiles along travel routes.
* Compare assigned volumes with previous assignments.
* Compare assigned volumes with traffic counts.
* Compare assigned volumes with link capacities.
*Expand interchanges using the "Fratar" technique.
* Sum trip generations for each zone.
* Add trip matrices together.
* Prepare a subarea network by windowing.
* Prepare a subarea trip matrix by windowing.
* Prepare a table of equals for collapsing a trip matrix for subarea focusing.
* Assign traffic using a subarea focusing trip matrix.


## ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION OBJECTIVES

Traffic assignment is the modeling process by which the previously generated and distributed trips are placed on the roadway network. Evaluating an assignment should consist of steps designed to assess how good the modeled assignment is.


#### Abstract

Evaluation Comparison of the modeled assignment volumes with counted volumes is a basis for evaluating the validity of the assignment. Unfortunately, no such comparisons can be made for future year assignments, since future year volumes are not known. However, other types of comparisons can be made to determine if future year assignments are plausible.


#### Abstract

Sequence The present year assignment should be found acceptable before the evaluation of the future year assignment is made.


| Check Inputs | The initial steps in assignment evaluation are those of checking the input data. Although this can be a tedious task, it is likely that errors have crept into various network attribute descriptions. Unless the input is checked and corrected, the "garbage in - garbage out" problems will remain. |
| :---: | :---: |

Check Aggregates The modeled aggregated values, such as total miles of predicted travel against actual travel or predicted versus surveyed mean trip length, should be compared with the actual or surveyed values. If the values are similar, it does not necessarily follow that the assignment is valid. But if the comparisons are not acceptable, the assignments are suspect.

Check Parts
Make analyses of individual items for the first known current or past years, then for the projected year. These items include but are not limited to volumes across cutlines, or volume/capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) relationships on various links.

ANALYSIS TOOLS

Certain statistical analyses can be used to compare and evaluate output assignments. These statistical tests furnish a greater degree of objectivity to the evaluation process. One such group of tests is regression analysis.

## USING REGRESSIONS

Purpose

Objective

Example

Example Data

Calculations

Some of the trip assignment analysis tables present the results of linear regressions. These regressions are used to compare two sets of data or numerical values, and determine how well they agree.

The simple linear regression procedure attempts to fit a straight line to a set of data points. The line is fit to minimize the deviation in the vertical or the "Y" direction between the data points and the line.

One such comparison examines the agreement of the predicted assignments with the actual counted volumes. To see how this works, examine the following example network containing four links.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Actual count | 980 | 1450 | 3360 | 4420 |
| Calculated Assignment | 1400 | 1310 | 3000 | 4500 |

Let X -values be those of the actual count, and Y -values these of the calculated assignment.

| Link | X | Y | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Y}^{2}$ | X*Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 980 | 1400 | 960,400 | 1,960,000 | 1,372,000 |
| 2 | 1450 | 1310 | 2,102,500 | 1,716,100 | 1,899,500 |
| 3 | 3360 | 3000 | 11,289,600 | 9,000,000 | 10,080,000 |
| 4 | 4420 | 4500 | 19,536,400 | 20,250,000 | 19,890,000 |
| Sum | 10210 | 10210 | 33,888,900 | 32,926,100 | 33,241,500 |
| x | $=\Sigma x / n$ | $=25$ |  |  |  |
|  | $=\Sigma Y /$ | $=25$ | . 5 |  |  |
|  | $=\Sigma \mathrm{x}^{2}$ | $\Sigma x)^{2}$ | =33,888, | $-10,210^{2} /$ | 7,827,875 |
|  | $=\Sigma y^{2}$ | Sy $)^{2}$ | $=32,926$, | 0-10,210 ${ }^{2}$ | 6,865,075 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & =\Sigma(x, \\ & =33, \end{aligned}$ | *y) - $241,50$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\Sigma x) *(\Sigma y)) \\ & -\quad(10,210 \end{aligned}$ | $10,210) / 4=$ | $7,180,475$ |
|  | $S_{\text {xy }}$ | ${ }_{x}=$ | 80,475/7, | $7,875=0$. |  |
|  | Y - | $\beta_{1} * x$ | 2552.5 - | $917 * 2552.5$ | 211.9 |

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \star x
$$

Therefore, the regression equation is

$$
\mathbf{Y}=211.9+0.917 \mathbf{X}
$$

Analysis The coefficient of correlation is R.

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{xy}} /\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{xx}} * \mathrm{~S}_{y y}\right)^{0.5}=0.9795
$$

The coefficient of determination is $\mathrm{R}^{2}$, or 0.96 . An important property of the correlation coefficient is that is bounded in the range from -1 to 1. It follows that the coefficient of determination satisfies the inequalities, $0 \leq \mathrm{R}^{2} \leq 1$. The coefficients are very high, indicating good agreement between counted and assigned volumes.

A useful display for arranging and summarizing the results of an analysis of variance computation is the ANOVA table. Its entries are the sums of squares (SS), degrees of freedom (df), and mean squares (MS) required for the calculation of the F statistics of interest. The entries in the table are the following:

| Source of Variation | Sum of Sq. (SS) | Degree of Free. (df) | Mean Square Error (MS) | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression | $S S_{r}=S_{x y}{ }^{2} / S_{x x}$ | x k-1 | $M S_{r}=S_{r} / \mathrm{df}$ | $\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{r}} / \mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| Residual | $S S_{e}=S_{y y}-S S_{r}$ | , $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{k}$ | $\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{SS}_{e} / \mathrm{df}$ |  |
| Regression | 6,586,618 | 1 | 6,586,618 | 47.3 |
| Residual | 278,457 | 2 | 139,229 |  |
| Total | 6,865,075 | 3 |  |  |

The F-value can be evaluated to determine whether the data points do exhibit a relationship or if they have a random pattern. Using an $F$ distribution table with the degrees of freedom $\mathrm{df}_{1}=1$ (degrees of freedom for the model) and $\mathrm{df}_{2}=2$ (error degrees of freedom), for $\alpha=.10$, the critical value is 8.5 . Since $47.3>8.5$, the relation is significant and not random.

## PLOT REGRESSION FUNCTION IN COMPARISON WITH DATA



## ASSIGNMENT EVALUATION STEPS

# Overview These discussions are written to accompany the capacity-restraint assignment option. This method employs five iterations plus a final weighing iteration to assign traffic to the network. If the all-or-nothing method is being used, the references to iterative steps will not apply. <br> The output for iterations one through five lets one see what is happening with the assignment process. The final weighted output is the "final" output assignment. 

Most of the tables are denoted with a three character system: a letter, then a number, followed by a number in parentheses. The number in parentheses refers to the particular iteration reflected in the table. Table A1(1) displays link volumes from the first iteration; Table A1(5) displays the same type of data as A1(1), but from the fifth iteration.

Concept The procedures for evaluating assignment output are rather subjective and relative. There are no universally accepted criteria by which one can establish black-and-white rules to judge an assignment.

With capacity-restraint, one should look for:

* Stability - does the output vary little at the final iterations?
* Reasonability - does the model assignment approach what is actually occurring or could be expected to occur in the future?

Checking Input The following tables contain input which can be checked. Check the input to insure correctness:

* Identification Record Sequence
* T1: Tree Number
* W2: Iteration Weights Applied
* Selected Link Cutoff Parameters

Checking Totals

Various system aggregates or total measures should be checked. The " X " series of tables present aggregated data. At the final iteration, most of the readings in X1 and X2 should be plotted on the diagonal. This indicates that the traffic assignment is relatively stable.

* X1: Cross Classification of V/C Frequencies from Last Two Assignments
* X2: Cross Classification of Link Capacities by V/C Ratio from Last Two Assignments

Check the totals of tables $\mathrm{X} 3, \mathrm{X} 4, \mathrm{X} 5$, and X6 to see if they appear reasonable for the given system.

* X3: Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Assigned Volumes - VMI
* X4: Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Assigned Volumes - VHR
* X5: Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Counted Volumes
* X6: Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Link Capacities

Checking Parts

Special Options

Each part of the " C " series and other tables contain discrete data items.

* C1: Comparison of Assigned Volumes with Counted Volumes
* C3: Comparison of Assigned Volumes with Assigned Volumes
* C4: Comparison of Assigned Volumes and Ground Counts by Facility Group
* C5: Comparison of Assigned Volumes and Ground Counts by Volume Range
* A1: Link Volume
* I1: Corridor Intercept
* R1: Route Profile
* L1: List of Volumes and Speeds for Updated Links

Certain special option tables can be checked if the appropriate option is called for.

* S1, S2, S3, S4 series for windowing
* E1: Centroid to Sector Equivalences for focusing
* Fratar output tables


## LISTING OF TABLES

Scope The following tables are discussed.

* Identification Record Sequence
* T1(1): Tree Number
* A1(1): Link Volume
First IterationSecond Iteration * X1(2): Cross Classification of V/C Frequencies from Last TwoAssignments* X2(2): Cross Classification of Link Capacities by V/C Ratio fromLast Two Assignments* X3(2): Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of AssignedVolumes - VMI* X4(2): Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of AssignedVolumes - VHR
* X5(2): Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of CountedVolumes* X6(2): Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of LinkCapacities
* C1(2): Comparison of Assigned Volumes with Counted Volumes
* C3(2): Comparison of Assigned Volumes with Assigned Volumes* C4(2): Comparison of Assigned Volumes and Ground Counts byFacility Group
* C5(2): Comparison of Assigned Volumes and Ground Counts byVolume Range
Fourth Iteration * X1(4): Cross Classification of V/C Frequencies from Last Two Assignments
* X2(4): Cross Classification of Link Capacities by V/C Ratio from Last Two Assignments
* X3(4): Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Assigned Volumes - VMI
* X4(4): Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Assigned Volumes - VHR
* X5(4): Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Counted Volumes
* X6(4): Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Link Capacities
* C1(4): Comparison of Assigned Volumes with Counted Volumes
* C3(4): Comparison of Assigned Volumes with Assigned Volumes
* C4(4): Comparison of Assigned Volumes and Ground Counts by Facility Group
* C5(4): Comparison of Assigned Volumes and Ground Counts by Volume Range

| Fifth Iteration | * X1(5): Cross Classification of V/C Frequencies from Last Two Assignments <br> * X2(5): Cross Classification of Link Capacities by V/C Ratio from Last Two Assignments <br> * X3(5): Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Assigned Volumes - VMI <br> * X4(5): Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Assigned Volumes - VHR <br> * X5(5): Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Counted Volumes <br> * X6(5): Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Link Capacities <br> * C1(5): Comparison of Assigned Volumes with Counted Volumes <br> * C3(5): Comparison of Assigned Volumes with Assigned Volumes <br> * C4(5): Comparison of Assigned Volumes and Ground Counts by Facility Group <br> * C5(5): Comparison of Assigned Volumes and Ground Counts by Volume Range |
| :---: | :---: |
| Iteration Weights | * W2: Iteration Weights Applied <br> * A1(W):Link Volumes <br> * X1(W):Cross Classification of V/C Frequencies from Last Two Assignments <br> * X2(W):Cross Classification of Link Capacities by V/C Ratio from Last Two Assignments <br> * X3(W):Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Assigned Volumes - VMI <br> * X4(W):Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Assigned Volumes - VHR <br> * X5(W):Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Counted Volumes <br> * X6(W):Jurisdictional/Functional Cross Classification of Link Capacities <br> * C1(W):Comparison of Assigned Volumes with Counted Volumes <br> * C3(W):Comparison of Assigned Volumes with Assigned Volumes <br> * C4(W):Comparison of Assigned Volumes and Ground Counts by Facility Group <br> * C5(W):Comparison of Assigned Volumes and Ground Counts by Volume Range <br> * I1: Corridor Intercept <br> * R1: Route Profile <br> * L1: List of Volumes and Speeds for Updated Links |

Selected Link
(optional)
Windowing

Focusing

Fratar

Definitions

Turn Penalties The user must input any desired turn penalties before the program is run. There are two coding methods to input these penalties. The penalty codes may be printed out on a page with the heading, "The Tree Cards Have Established the Following Parameters."

## IDENTIFICATION RECORD SEQUENCE


#### Abstract

Purpose These tables allow the analyst to review link descriptors, such as the length of the link and the assigned speed. Data are listed in a link-by-link format.


| How to Read | BACK NODE is one end of the link. <br> FRONT NODE is the other end of the link. On any given row, more than one front node may be paired with the back node listed in the far left column of the page. <br> SA or DR is the turn penalty code. SA(shaft-and-arrow) is the normal output. <br> J denotes the jurisdiction or area. In practice, the jurisdiction is a group of zones, although it could include all of the zones in a particular city. |
| :---: | :---: |

DIST is the input length of the particular link.
SPEED is the input speed for travel along that link.
TIME is the required time to travel the particular link.

Comments NO CONNECTING NODE will be listed in a row for a back node which was not used.
ONE-WAY will be printed for a node pair which is one-way in the opposite direction from the listed node combination.

How to Use
A review of this table will help to uncover data coding errors. The analyst should scan the data to verify the input.



T1(1): TREE NUMBER TABLE

Purpose | This table prints the tree descriptions for review. It may be easier to |
| :--- |
| review the trees by plotting them as opposed to printing this list. Trees |
| should be checked to insure that the correct routings from one zone |
| to the next are being followed and that coding errors have not been |
| introduced. |

How to Read TREE NO. is the same as the number of the origin zone. DESTN NODE lists the centroid and node of the destination.
ADJ NODE is the node encountered immediately before reaching the destination zone or node.
TIME (MIN) is the input time to travel the link between the adjacent node and the destination zone.

How to Use Locate the subject TREE NO., and a destination node. If coded correctly, the next to the last node encountered before reaching the destination will be listed as ADJ NODE. By repeating this process for all of the destination nodes, the complete tree will be reviewed.

|  | TYLER 85-85-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DEC 16, 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | table | T1(1) | TRE | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DESTN } \\ & \text { NODE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADJ } \\ & \text { NOOE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & \text { (MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DESTN } \\ & \text { NOOE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADJ J } \\ & \text { NOOE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & \text { (MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DESTN } \\ & \text { MODE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADJ } \\ & \text { NODE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & \text { (MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DESTN } \\ & \text { NOOE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADJ } \\ & \text { NODE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & \text { (MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DESTM } \\ & \text { NODE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADJ } \\ & \text { NODE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & \text { (MIN) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 201 | 368 | 9.98 | 202 | 550 | 15.01 | 203 | 545 | 10.98 | 204 | 370 | 8.24 | 205 | 518 | 5.32 |
|  | 206 | 514 | 4.81 | 207 | 519 | 6.56 | 208 | 531 | 4.16 | 209 | 613 | 4.58 | 210 | 535 | 5.44 |
|  | 211 | 527 | 4.87 | 212 | 525 | 6.22 | 213 | 581 | 6.79 | 214 | 554 | 7.75 | 215 | 562 | 8.81 |
|  | 216 | 556 | 9.82 | 217 | 553 | 10.65 | 218 | 550 | 12.77 | 219 | 570 | 8.50 | 220 | 752 | 8.74 |
|  | 221 | 297 | 13.94 | 222 | 297 | 13.93 | 223 | 402 | 11.16 | 224 | 403 | 11.86 | 225 | 404 | 11.22 |
|  | 226 | 405 | 12.47 | 227 | 406 | 13.58 | 228 | 401 | 14.76 | 229 | 504 | 13.83 | 230 | 506 | 15.77 |
|  | 231 | 508 | 14.91 | 232 | 509 | 14.13 | 233 | 559 | 14.56 | 234 | 875 | 15.20 | 235 | 902 | 16.66 |
|  | 236 | 897 | 19.62 | 237 | 996 | 15.92 | 238 | 904 | 17.27 | 239 | 903 | 17.35 | 240 | 905 | 16.92 |
|  | 241 | 957 | 16.11 | 242 | 986 | 17.42 | 243 | 988 | 15.03 | 244 | 985 | 16.78 | 245 | 990 | 13.89 |
|  | 246 | 991 | 11.20 | 247 | 992 | 11.02 | 248 | 424 | 11.65 | 249 | 424 | 11.60 | 250 | 423 | 11.71 |
|  | 251 | 409 | 13.17 | 252 | 300 | 13.61 | 253 | NOT RE | CHED | 254 | NOT RE | CHED | 255 | NOT RE | CHED |
|  | 256 | NOT RE | CHED | 257 | NOT RE | ACHED | 258 | NOT RE | CHED | 259 | NOT RE | CHED | 260 | NOT RE | CHED |
|  | 261 | NOT RE | CHED | 262 | NOT RE | ACHED | 263 | NOT RE | CHED | 264 | NOT RE | CHED | 265 | Not RE | CHED |
|  | 266 | NOT REA | CHEO | 267 | NOT RE | ACHED | 268 | NOT RE | CHED | 269 | NOT RE | CHED | 270 | NOI R | CHED |
|  | 271 | NOT REA | CHED | 272 | NOT RE | ACHED | 273 | NOT RE | CHEO | 274 | NOT REA | CHED | 275 | NOT RE | CHED |
|  | 276 | NOT REA | CHED | 277 | not RE | ACHED | 278 | NOT RE | CHED | 279 | NOT RE | CHED | 280 | MOT RE | CHED |
|  | 281 | NOT REA | CHED | 282 | NOT RE | ACHED | 283 | NOT RE | CHED | 284 | NOT RE | CHED | 285 | NOT RE | CHED |
|  | 286 | NOT RE | CHED | 287 | NOT RE | ACHED | 288 | NOT RE | CHED | 289 | NOT RE | HED | 290 | Not RE | CHED |
|  | 291 | WOT REA | CHED | 292 | NOT RE | ACHED | 293 | NOT RE | CHED | 294 | NOT RE | CHED | 295 | NOT RE | CHED |
|  | 296 | NOT RE | CHED | 297 | 304 | 13.89 | 298 | 297 | 14.61 | 299 | 300 | 13.93 | 300 | 308 | 13.57 |
|  | 301 | 307 | 12.98 | 302 | NOT RE | CHED | 303 | NOT RE | CHED | 304 | 402 | 11.36 | 305 | 306 | 10.69 |
|  | 306 | 316 | 8.82 | 307 | 306 | 9.86 | 308 | 309 | 12.39 | 309 | 310 | 10.66 | 310 | 415 | 9.68 |
| 0 | 311 | 310 | 11.59 | 312 | 313 | 9.45 | 313 | 314 | 7.62 | 314 | 322 | 7.35 | 315 | 314 | 7.83 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 316 | 315 | 8.29 | 317 | 315 | 8.41 | 318 | 321 | 10.63 | 319 | 317 | 9.36 | 320 | 416 | 8.25 |
|  | 321 | 306 | 9.47 | 322 | 411 | 6.01 | 323 | 322 | 6.64 | 324 | Not RE | CHED | 325 | 326 | 10.65 |
|  | 326 | 328 | 9.60 | 327 | 331 | 10.17 | 328 | 329 | 8.35 | 329 | 394 | 7.40 | 330 | 323 | 8.06 |
|  | 331 | 332 | 9.20 | 332 | 333 | 8.64 | 333 | 389 | 7.77 | 334 | 335 | 8.01 | 335 | 390 | 7.38 |
|  | 336 | 335 | 9.07 | 337 | 336 | 10.07 | 338 | 339 | 10.38 | 339 | 340 | 9.40 | 340 | 360 | 8.14 |
|  | 341 | 335 | 7.76 | 342 | 340 | 8.38 | 343 | 357 | 8.26 | 344 | 343 | 8.89 | 345 | 344 | 11.11 |
|  | 346 | 347 | 12.82 | 347 | 353 | 12.42 | 348 | 347 | 12.75 | 349 | 408 | 12.37 | 350 | 349 | 12.68 |
|  | 351 | 354 | 11.25 | 352 | 351 | 11.38 | 353 | 352 | 12.02 | 354 | 355 | 11.09 | 355 | 356 | 9.12 |
|  | 356 | 357 | 8.63 | 357 | 358 | 7.57 | 358 | 359 | 7.31 | 359 | 361 | 6.89 | 360 | 359 | 7.51 |
|  | 361 | 363 | 6.67 | 362 | 364 | 7.00 | 363 | 378 | 6.07 | 364 | 365 | 6.41 | 365 | 378 | 6.05 |
|  | 366 | 364 | 6.67 | 367 | 366 | 7.66 | 368 | 369 | 8.51 | 369 | 370 | 8.20 | 370 | 371 | 7.74 |
|  | 371 | 373 | 6.47 | 372 | 364 | 6.79 | 373 | 374 | 5.69 | 374 | 518 | 4.95 | 375 | 374 | 5.16 |
|  | 376 | 375 | 5.45 | 377 | 383 | 5.35 | 378 | 376 | 5.78 | 379 | 380 | 6.15 | 380 | 382 | 5.72 |
|  | 381 | 380 | 6.07 | 382 | 383 | 5.07 | 383 | 384 | 4.89 | 384 | 493 | 4.69 | 385 | 384 | 5.31 |
|  | 386 | 385 | 5.94 | 387 | 386 | 6.11 | 388 | 387 | 6.19 | 389 | 388 | 6.90 | 390 | 391 | 7.01 |
|  | 391 | 380 | 6.29 | 392 | NOT RE | ACHED | 393 | 395 | 5.00 | 394 | 393 | 5.45 | 395 | 396 | 4.49 |
|  | 396 | 397 | 4.32 | 397 | 398 | 3.57 | 398 | 457 | 3.29 | 399 | 398 | 3.88 | 400 | not RE | CHED |

## A1(1): LINK VOLUME TABLE


#### Abstract

Purpose


How to Read

Comments

How to Use
The analyst should review the link volumes. An unusual volume could indicate a problem with a coding or with the preceding traffic assignment.



## X3(1): JURISDICTIONAL/FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES - VMI


#### Abstract

Purpose


How to Read

How to Use
If " 2 " in a column or row heading refers to Yourtown and " 8 " refers to freeways, then there are 10.8 miles of freeway in Yourtown and 37,999 vehicle-miles of travel on freeways in Yourtown according to this assignment. Also, there are a total of 35.7 miles and 103,577 vehiclemiles in Yourtown, a total of 28.5 miles and 98,778 vehicle-miles on freeways, and a total of 3790.1 miles and $18,974,034$ vehicle-miles in the whole study area.

| JUR | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UNIT | . 8 | ... TOTAL |
| : | : | : | : |
| 2 | MILES | 10.8 | 35.7 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 37999 | 103577 |
| : | : | : | : |
| TOTAL | MILES | 28.5 | 3790.1 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 98778 | 18974034 |

Checking the miles of freeway in each jurisdiction can uncover coding mistakes. When testing alternative facility options, the vehicle-miles should be minimized.

TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT
ITER. 1 DEC 8, 1988


# X4(1): JURISDICTIONAL/FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES - VHR 


#### Abstract

Purpose This table or matrix shows the breakdown of vehicle-hours of travel which result from this assignment iteration and the breakdown of the average speed according to the various input categories of roadway class (freeway, arterial) or defined jurisdictional area. The analyst must refer to the input coding to determine the identity of the category in a particular column or row.


How to Read

How to Use

FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION is coded by the various categories of roadway class ranging from 0 to 9 and A to E .
JUR is the jurisdictional area, in practice usually a group of zones.
VEH-HOURS is the amount of vehicle-hours of travel which the first assignment says will be made on or in the particular category described by the row and the column description.
MILES/HOUR is the average velocity of the links that fall into the particular category described by the row and the column headings.

If " 2 " in a column or row heading refers to Yourtown and " 8 " refers to freeways, then there are 3,300 vehicle-hours of travel on freeways in Yourtown according to this assignment with an average speed of 49.0 miles per hour. Also, there are a total of 11,450 vehicle-hours and an average of 32.7 miles per hour in Yourtown, a total of 45,928 vehiclehours and an average of 56.5 miles per hour on freeways, and a total of $45,234,576$ vehicle-hours and an average of 35.2 miles per hour in the whole study area. When comparing future alternative networks, one goal might be to minimize vehicle-hours of travel.


TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESIRAINT
ITER. 1 DEC 8, 1988
TABLE X4(1)
Jurisdictional / functional cross classification of assigned volumes


## X5(1): JURISDICTIONAL/FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF COUNTED VOLUMES


#### Abstract

Purpose $\quad$ This table or matrix shows the actual counted volumes on links that did have counts made on them and the miles of link which were counted. The listing is presented according to the various input categories of roadway class (freeway, arterial) or defined jurisdictional area. The analyst must refer to the input coding to determine the identity of the category in a particular column or row.


How to Use
If " 2 " in a column or row heading refers to Yourtown and " 8 " refers to freeways, then the total mileage of the freeway links on which counts were made in Yourtown was 1.8, and there were 3,855 vehicle-miles of travel on these links. Also, there are a total of 3.7 miles and 10,357 vehicle-miles in Yourtown, a total of 2.5 miles and 9,878 vehicle-miles on freeways, and a total of 9.1 miles and 74,034 vehicle-miles in the whole study area.

| JUR | UNIT | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8 | ... TOTAL |
| : | : | : | : |
| 2 | MILES | 1.8 | 3.7 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 3855 | 10357 |
| : | : | - | : |
| TOTAL | MILES | 2.5 | 9.1 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 9878 | 74034 |



## X6(1): JURISDICTIONAL/FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF LINK CAPACITIES

Purpose<br>This table or matrix shows the miles of the links according to the various input categories of roadway class (freeway, arterial) or a defined jurisdictional area and the twenty-four hour capacity in terms of vehicle-miles on each. The analyst must refer to the input coding to determine the identity of the category in a particular column or row.

If " 2 " in a column or row heading refers to Yourtown and " 8 " refers to freeways, then there are 7.8 miles of freeway in Yourtown and 63,790 vehicle-miles of capacity on the freeways in Yourtown. Also, there are a total of 18.5 miles and 138,577 vehicle-miles on freeways and a total of 790.1 miles and $8,974,034$ vehicle-miles in the entire study area. This information can be used to compare the impacts of various proposed alternative networks.

| JUR | UNIT | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8 | ... TOTAL |
| : | : | : | : |
| 2 | MILES | 7.8 | 31.7 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 63790 | 193577 |
| : | : | : | : |
| : | : | : | : |
| TOTAL | MILES | 18.5 | 790.1 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 138577 | 8974034 |

TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT
ITER. 1 DEC 8, 1988


## C1(1): COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES WITH COUNTED VOLUMES

Purpose

This table analyzes the degree of agreement between predicted and actual volumes on selected routes. A series of links, which is often traveled in sequence from one link to another, forms a route.

A regression equation is used to evaluate the degree of agreement. Since a comparison is being made with actual counted data, this table has no application when conducting a future year assignment.

How to Read
ROUTE is the number assigned to the route being investigated. The printout field for route is not separated from the adjacent field to the right, so the route number can appear to be a part of the number in the adjacent column.
INTCPT is the $\beta_{0}$ value of the $y$-axis intercept of the regression equation. In many cases the ideal intercept is 0.0 .
SLOPE is the $\beta_{1}$ value or the slope of the regression equation. When comparing two sets of supposedly identical data on $x-y$ axes with the same scale, the ideal slope equals 1.0 .
UPPER and LOWER are the values of the confidence limits of the slope. It is highly probable that the true slope falls somewhere between these two values.
SAMPLE is the number of links that comprise the particular route.
TOTAL is the sum of the volumes on the various links of the route.
CORR ( R ) is the coefficient of correlation. This indicates the level of a linear relationship between two variables with 0.0 being no relationship and 1.0 a perfect relationship. A value of -1.0 indicates a perfect inverse relationship.
DETERM ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) is the coefficient of determination. This value is the proportion of total variability that is explained by the model with 1.0 being a perfect model.
SOS is the sum of squares used to calculate other terms in regression analysis.
RMS is the root mean square error used to estimate the standard deviation of the dependent variable.
PCT ERR is the root mean square error expressed as a percent of average volume of the routes' links.

F is the heading over the F-ratio value for the F-test of significance of the regression equation. For any particular row, the $F$ entry must be evaluated by the number of "degrees of freedom" (df). The degree of freedom for the regression $\left(\mathrm{df}_{1}\right)$ is always one. For the error, the degrees of freedom is the number of samples minus two $\left(\mathrm{df}_{2}=\mathrm{s}-2\right)$. Enter an F distribution table for $\mathrm{df}_{1}=1$ and $\mathrm{df}_{2}=\mathrm{s}-2$ to find the critical $F$-value at certain $\alpha$-value, which, if smaller than the calculated F -value, means that the regression is significant. Therefore, the test hypothesis will be rejected and concluded that there is significant difference between the assigned volumes and the counted volumes.

How to Use
The table will flag problems with assignments to the listed routes. A value in the $F$ column that is too low or a low coefficient of determination indicates a low level of agreement between the modeled assignment and the counted volumes.

## table c1(1)

COMPARISON of assigned volumes hith counted volumes
COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES FROM : TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT
WITH COUNTED VOLUMES FRON I TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT

| ROUTE INTCPT | SLOPE | UPPER | LONER | SAMPLE | TOTAL | CORR. | DETERM. | SOS | RMS | PCT ERR | ${ }^{F}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14511.094 | 0.6556 | 0.8182 | 0.4929 | 17. | 409435.0 | 0.89787 | 0.80617 | 0.3290E +09 | 4399.316 | 18.266 | 62.39 |
| 29697.230 | 0.6172 | 0.8620 | 0.3725 | 13. | 322465.0 | 0.83039 | 0.68955 | $0.7947 E+08$ | 2472.462 | 9.968 | 24.43 |
| 3******** | 0.1909 | 0.6367 | -0.2550 | 17. | 779767.0 | 0.21175 | 0.04484 | 0.9762E+09 | 7577.942 | 16.521 | 0.70 |
| 42297.974 | 0.8463 | 1.1626 | 0.5300 | 13. | 168182.0 | 0.84514 | 0.71427 | $0.2860 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 1483.259 | 11.465 | 27.50 |
| ALL1095.564 | 0.8541 | 0.8710 | 0.8372 | 843. | 7459616.0 | 0.95973 | 0.92107 | $0.6962 \mathrm{E}+10$ | 2873.724 | 32.476 | 9814.67 |

# C4(1): COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES AND GROUND COUNTS BY FACILITY GROUP 

Purpose This table analyzes the degree of agreement between predicted (assigned) and actual counted volumes by facility type, either

FREEWAY, ARTERIAL, or OTHERS.

In addition, comparisons are made for geographical areas, called "sectors," which are groupings of zones. Since a comparison is being made with actual counted data, this table has no application when conducting a future year assignment.

How to Read

How to Use

AVERAGE GROUND COUNT PER LINK is the average counted volume of those links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
AVERAGE ASSIGNED VOLUME PER LINK is the average predicted volume of those links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS is the number of links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
INTERCEPT is the $\beta_{0}$ value or the value of the $y$-axis intercept of the regression equation.
SLOPE is the $\beta_{1}$ value or the slope of the regression equation.
COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION (R) indicates the level of a linear relationship between two variables with 0.0 being no relationship and 1.0 a perfect relationship.
COEFFICIENT OF DETERMINATION ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) indicates the proportion of total variability that is explained by the model with 1.0 being a perfect model.
ROOT MEAN SQUARE is the root mean square error used to estimate the standard deviation of the dependent variable.
PERCENT ROOT MEAN SQUARE is the root mean square error expressed as a percent of average ground count per link.

[^1]

## C5(1): COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES AND GROUND COUNTS BY VOLUME RANGE


#### Abstract

Purpose This table analyzes the degree of agreement between predicted and actual link volumes by volume groupings or ranges. The ALL row presents this analysis for the entire network of links.


Since a comparison is being made with actual counted data, this table has no application when conducting a future year assignment.

How to Read VOLUME RANGE lists the minimum and maximum volumes in that particular grouping.
AVERAGE GROUND COUNT PER LINK is the average counted volume of those links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
AVERAGE ASSIGNED VOLUME PER LINK is the average predicted volume of those links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS lists the number of links in the particular volume range.
INTERCEPT is the $\beta_{0}$ value or the value of the $y$-axis intercept of the regression equation.
SLOPE is the $\beta_{1}$ value or the slope of the regression equation.
COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION (R) indicates the level of a linear relationship between two variables with 0.0 being no relationship and 1.0 a perfect relationship.
COEFFICIENT OF DETERMINATION $\left(R^{2}\right)$ indicates the proportion of total variability that is explained by the model with 1.0 being a perfect model.
ROOT MEAN SQUARE is the root mean square error used to estimate the standard deviation of the dependent variable.
PERCENT ROOT MEAN SQUARE ERROR is the root mean square error expressed as a percent of average ground count per link.

How to Use A low coefficient of determination indicates that the assigned volumes on that particular volume range of links do not match well with the counted volumes. This information will indicate whether traffic on certain groups of roads is being systematically over- or underassigned.

| TABLE C5(1) |  |  | COMPARISON O | Of ASSIGNED | VOLlmes and gro | OUND COUNTS BY | VOLLME RANGE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOLUME RANGE | average GROUND COUNT PER LINK | AVERAGE ASSIGNED VOLUME PER LINK | NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | IHTERCEPT | SLOPE | COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION | COEFFICIENT OF DETERMI. nation | ROOT MEAN SQUARE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PERCENT } \\ & \text { ROOT MEAN } \\ & \text { SQUARE } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 010 \\ 999 \\ 100010 \end{gathered}$ | $4.859375 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.921172 E+02$ | 128. | 9.104016 E | 6.195797E-01 | 4.831001E-01 | 2.333857E-01 | 3.205430E+02 | 6.596382E+01 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4999 \\ & 5000 \text { to } \end{aligned}$ | $2.518587 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.459249 E+03$ | 269. | -3.130540E+0 | $21.100737 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.675609E-01 | 3.221253E-01 | $1.782003 E+03$ | $7.075407 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
|  | $7.193078 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 7.109809E+03 | 159. | 6.669951E+02 | $28.956962 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.625103E-01 | 2.139158E-01 | $2.541334 \mathrm{E}+0$ | $3.533026 E+01$ |
| 14999 | 1.268130E+04 | $1.215995 E+04$ | 107. | $2.989173 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 7.231728E-01 | 3.548246E-01 | 1.259004E-01 | $2.799041 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.207217 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 15000 24999 | $1.919136 E+04$ | 2.043511E+04 | 139. - | $-9.036711 \mathrm{E}+0$ | $31.535681 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 7.316474E-01 | 5.353079E-01 | $4.330984 \mathrm{E}+0$ | 2.256735E+01 |
| $\begin{gathered} 25000 \\ 49999 \end{gathered}$ | 3.381951E+04 | $3.599590 \mathrm{E}+04$ |  | $-1.214968 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 1.423603E+00 | 8.418798E-01 | 7.087616E-0 | .289543E+03 | .859737E+01 |
| ALL <br> iteration time | $\begin{aligned} & 8.653023 \varepsilon+03 \\ & =0.0727 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.848891 E+03 \\ & \text { MINUTES } \end{aligned}$ | 843. | -4.831326E+02 | $21.078469 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.597265 E-01$ | 9.210748E-01 | $2.873724 E+03$ | $3.321062 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

## X1(2) CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF V/C FREQUENCIES FROM LAST TWO ASSIGNMENTS

Purpose This plot indicates the level of change between two successive assignment iterations. The number of links in each V/C ratio group are indicated.<br>If the data points are well scattered, then the current assignment iteration was much different than the previous iteration. If the data points tend toward a straight line with origin at $(0,0)$ in the upper left corner, then little change took place between iterations.

How to Read This table is really a graph with the ( 0,0 ) point in the upper left corner.
$\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ is the volume to capacity ratio. The horizontal or X-axis is the present iteration V/C ratio. The vertical or Y -axis is the $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio of the previous iteration. Instead of plotting points in the form of dots, they are represented as numbers, each number being the number of links which have the $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ attributes of the particular row and column.
TOT is the total of a row or a column. There are two rows of totals at the bottom of the plot. The top row is the column total. The bottom row is the row total column transposed.

How to Use The analyst can determine whether the particular iteration is approaching stability by the degree of scatter. When the plot forms a diagonal passing through the coordinates of $(0,0)$ toward $(3,3)$, then it is stable. If stability is not reached, then the output is not reliable.

Scanning the output will indicate the extent of overcapacity links. One can determine whether capacity limitations will be severe.

TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT
TABLE XI(2)
CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF V/C FREQUENCIES FROM LAST TWO ASSIGNMENTS



TOTAL LINKS IN V/C SUmmary $=1159$

## X2(2): CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF LINK CAPACITIES BY V/C RATIO FROM LAST TWO ASSIGNMENTS

Purpose This plot indicates the level of change between two successive assignment iterations. The sum of the volumes per link which fall into each V/C ratio group are indicated.<br>If the data points are well scattered, then the current assignment iteration was much different than the previous iteration. If the data points tend toward a straight line with origin at $(0,0)$ in the upper left corner, then little change took place between iterations.

How to Read This table is really a graph with the ( 0,0 ) point in the upper left corner.
$\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ is the volume to capacity ratio. The horizontal or X -axis is the present iteration $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio. The vertical or Y -axis is the $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio of the previous iteration. Instead of plotting points in the form of dots, they are represented as numbers, each number being the number of trips (times the "SCALE FACTOR" listed below the graph) which have the V/C attributes of the particular row and column.
TOT is the total of a row or a column. There are two rows of totals at the bottom of the plot. The top row is the column total. The bottom row is the row total transposed.
PCT CAP is written "staggered" on two rows. This printed output is the cumulative percent of capacity held by each column from left to right.
SCALE FACTOR ONE UNIT is the largest trip divided by 999.
TOTAL SCALED TRIP IN SUMMARY is the sum of TOT.
TOTAL LINK CAPACITIES is the sum of the capacities on all links.

How to Use
The analyst can determine whether the particular iteration is approaching stability by the degree of scatter. When the plot forms a diagonal passing through the coordinates of $(0,0)$ toward $(3,3)$, then it is stable. If stability is not reached, then the output is not reliable.



scale factor one unit $=1020$ trips
total scaled trips in sumary $=14971$
total link capacities $=\quad 15422200$.

## X3(2): JURISDICTIONAL/FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES - VMI


#### Abstract

Purpose This table or matrix shows the breakdown of volumes assigned during the second iteration according to the various categories of roadway class (freeway, arterial) or defined jurisdictional area. The analyst must refer to the input coding to determine the identity of the category in a particular column or row.


FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION is coded by the various categories of roadway class ranging from 0 to 9 and A to E .
JUR is the jurisdictional area, in practice usually a group of zones, ranging from 0 to 9 and A to E .
MILES is the number of miles falling into the particular category described by the row and the column heading.
VEH-MILES is the number of vehicle-miles of travel in the particular category described by the row and the column heading.

How to Use
If " 2 " in a column or row heading refers to Yourtown and " 8 " refers to freeways, then there are 10.8 miles of freeway in Yourtown and 37,999 vehicle-miles of travel on freeways in Yourtown according to this assignment. Also, there are a total of 35.7 miles and 103,577 vehiclemiles in Yourtown, a total of 28.5 miles and 98,778 vehicle-miles on freeways, and a total of 3790.1 miles and $18,974,034$ vehicle-miles in the whole study area.

| JUR | UNIT | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8 | . TOTAL |
| : | . | : | : |
| 2 | MILES | 10.8 | 35.7 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 37999 | 103577 |
| : | : | : | : |
| TOTAL | MILES | 28.5 | 3790.1 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 98778 | 18974034 |

Checking the miles of freeway in each jurisdiction can uncover coding mistakes. When testing alternative facility options, the vehicle-miles should be minimized.

TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT
ITER. 2 DEC 8, 1988
TABLE $\times 3$ (2)
JURISOICTIONAL / FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES

|  |  | FUNCTIOMAL CLASSIfICATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JUR | UNIT | A | B | C | D | E | F | TOTAL. |
| 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEH-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 269.6 \\ 282954 \end{array}$ |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEH-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 4.8 \\ 41025 \end{array}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEM-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ 106611 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ 3762 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.5 \\ 409883 \end{array}$ |
| 3 | MILES VEH-MILES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.8 \\ 170654 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.4 \\ 27590 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.1 \\ 730112 \end{array}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEH-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ 41831 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.3 \\ 171463 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.8 \\ 332328 \end{array}$ |
| 5 | MILES VEH-MILES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9 \\ 38985 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.4 \\ 84400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.7 \\ 270807 \end{array}$ |
| 6 | MILES VEH-MILES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.2 \\ 234739 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.2 \\ 45892 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.8 \\ 512531 \end{array}$ |
| 7 | Miles VEH-MILES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.5 \\ 99648 \end{array}$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.5 \\ 99648 \end{array}$ |
| 8 | MILES VEH-MILES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \\ 25363 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.1 \\ 118499 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.8 \\ 320906 \end{array}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEH-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 |
| A | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEH-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 |
| 8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEH-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 |
| 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEH-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 |
| $E$ | MILES VEH-MILES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 |
| $F$ | MILES VEH-MILES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 |
|  | AL MILES VEH-MILES | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 32.8 \\ 618181 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 224.9 \\ 551256 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 659.6 \\ 3000193 \end{array}$ |

## X4(2): JURISDICTIONAL/FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES - VHR


#### Abstract

Purpose This table or matrix shows the breakdown of vehicle-hours of travel which result from the second iteration and the breakdown of the average speed according to the various input categories of roadway class (freeway, arterial) or defined jurisdictional area. The analyst must refer to the input coding to determine the identity of the category in a particular column or row.


How to Read

How to Use

FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION is coded by the various categories of roadway class ranging from 0 to 9 and A to E .
JUR is the jurisdictional area, in practice usually a group of zones.
VEH-HOURS is the amount of vehicle-hours of travel which the first assignment says will be made on or in the particular category described by the row and the column description.
MILES/HOUR is the average velocity of the links that fall into the particular category described by the row and the column headings.

If " 2 " in a column or row heading refers to Yourtown and " 8 " refers to freeways, then there are 3,300 vehicle-hours of travel on freeways in Yourtown according to this assignment with an average speed of 49.0 miles per hour. Also, there are a total of 11,450 vehicle-hours and an average of 32.7 miles per hour in Yourtown, a total of 45,928 vehiclehours and an average of 56.5 miles per hour on freeways, and a total of $45,234,576$ vehicle-hours and an average of 35.2 miles per hour in the whole study area. When comparing future alternative networks, one goal might be to minimize vehicle-hours of travel.

| JUR | UNIT | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8 | ... TOTAL |
| : | : | : | : |
| 2 | VEH-HOURS | 3300 | 11450 |
|  | MILES/HOUR | 49.0 | 32.7 |
| : | : | : | : |
| TOTAL | VEH-HRS | 45928 | 45234576 |
|  | MILES/HOUR | 56.5 | 35.2 |

TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT
ITER. 2 DEC 8, 1988
TABLE X4(2)
jurisdictional / functional cross classification of assigned volumes

|  | functional classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JUR UNIT | A | 8 | c | - | E | F | Otal |
|  | 0 VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10240 \\ & 27.63 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1 VEH-HOURS | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1519 \\ 27.00 \end{array}$ |
|  | 2 VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2226 \\ 47.90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \\ 39.84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10029 \\ & 40.87 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 3 VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4379 \\ 38.97 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 752 \\ 36.71 \end{array}$ | $0.00$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20455 \\ & 35.69 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $4 \text { VEH-HOURS }$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 701 \\ 59.64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3609 \\ 47.51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6937 \\ 47.91 \end{array}$ |
|  | 5 VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 657 \\ 59.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1725 \\ 48.93 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4972 \\ 54.46 \end{array}$ |
|  | 6 VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $0.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4190 \\ 56.02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 896 \\ 51.23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9028 \\ 56.77 \end{array}$ |
| N | 7 VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2297 \\ 43.39 \end{array}$ | $0.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2297 \\ 43.39 \end{array}$ |
|  | 8 VEH-HOURS miles/hour | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 428 \\ 59,32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2690 \\ 44.05 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6664 \\ 48.15 \end{array}$ |
|  | 9 VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $0.00$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0.00 |
|  | A VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0.00 |
|  | B VEH-HOURS MILES/hOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 |
|  | C VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 |
|  | D VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 |
|  | E VEh-MOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0.00 |
|  | F VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $0.00$ |
|  | TOTAL VEH-HRS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12581 \\ & 49.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12062 \\ & 45.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72142 \\ & 41.59 \end{aligned}$ |

## X5(2): JURISDICTIONAL/FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF COUNTED VOLUMES


#### Abstract

Purpose This table or matrix shows the actual counted volumes on links that did have counts made on them and the miles of link which were counted. The listing is presented according to the various input categories of roadway class (freeway, arterial) or defined jurisdictional area. The analyst must refer to the input coding to determine the identity of the category in a particular column or row.


FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION is coded by the various categories of roadway class ranging from 0 to 9 and $\mathbf{A}$ to E .
JUR is the jurisdictional area, in practice usually a group of zones, ranging from 0 to 9 and $A$ to $E$.
MILES is the number of miles of link on which counts were made that fall into the particular category described by the row and the column heading.
VEH-MILES is the number of vehicle-miles of travel which were counted that fall into the particular category described by the row and the column heading.

How to Use If " 2 " in a column or row heading refers to Yourtown and " 8 " refers to freeways, then the total mileage of the freeway links on which counts were made in Yourtown was 1.8 , and there were 3,855 vehicle-miles of travel on these links. Also, there are a total of 3.7 miles and 10,357 vehicle-miles in Yourtown, a total of 2.5 miles and 9,878 vehicle-miles on freeways, and a total of 9.1 miles and 74,034 vehicle-miles in the whole study area.

| JUR | UNIT | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8 | ... TOTAL |
| : | , | : | : |
| 2 | MILES | 1.8 | 3.7 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 3855 | 10357 |
| , | : | : | : |
| TOTAL | MILES | 2.5 | 9.1 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 9878 | 74034 |

TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT
ITER. 2 DEC 8, 1988
TABLE XS(2) JURISOICTIONAL / FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF COUNTED VOLUMES


## X6(2): JURISDICTIONAL/FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF LINK CAPACITIES


#### Abstract

Purpose This table or matrix shows the miles of the links according to the various input categories of roadway class (freeway, arterial) or a defined jurisdictional area and the twenty-four hour capacity in terms of vehicle-miles on each. The analyst must refer to the input coding to determine the identity of the category in a particular column or row.


How to Read

How to Use

If " 2 " in a column or row heading refers to Yourtown and " 8 " refers to freeways, then there are 7.8 miles of freeway in Yourtown and 63,790 vehicle-miles of capacity on the freeways in Yourtown. Also, there are a total of 18.5 miles and 138,577 vehicle-miles on freeways and a total of 790.1 miles and $8,974,034$ vehicle-miles in the entire study area. This information can be used to compare the impacts of various proposed alternative networks.

| JUR | UNIT | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - 8 | .. TOTAL |
| : | : | : | : |
| 2 | MILES | 7.8 | 31.7 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 63790 | 193577 |
| : | : | : | : |
| : | : | : | : |
| TOTAL | MILES | 18.5 | 790.1 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 138577 | 8974034 |



## C1(2): COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES WITH COUNTED VOLUMES

Purpose<br>This table analyzes the degree of agreement between predicted and actual volumes on selected routes. A series of links, which is often traveled in sequence from one link to another, forms a route.<br>A regression equation is used to evaluate the degree of agreement. Since a comparison is being made with actual counted data, this table has no application when conducting a future year assignment.

How to Read
ROUTE is the number assigned to the route being investigated. The printout field for route is not separated from the adjacent field to the right, so the route number can appear to be a part of the number in the adjacent column.
INTCPT is the $\beta_{0}$ value of the $y$-axis intercept of the regression equation. In many cases the ideal intercept is 0.0 .
SLOPE is the $\beta_{1}$ value or the slope of the regression equation. When comparing two sets of supposedly identical data on $x-y$ axes with the same scale, the ideal slope equals 1.0 .
UPPER and LOWER are the values of the confidence limits of the slope. It is highly probable that the true slope falls somewhere between these two values.
SAMPLE is the number of links that comprise the particular route.
TOTAL is the sum of the volumes on the various links of the route.
CORR ( $R$ ) is the coefficient of correlation. This indicates the level of a linear relationship between two variables with 0.0 being no relationship and 1.0 a perfect relationship. A value of -1.0 indicates a perfect inverse relationship.
DETERM ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) is the coefficient of determination. This value is the proportion of total variability that is explained by the model with 1.0 being a perfect model.
SOS is the sum of squares used to calculate other terms in regression analysis.
RMS is the root mean square error used to estimate the standard deviation of the dependent variable.
PCT ERR is the root mean square error expressed as a percent of average volume of the routes' links.
$F$ is the heading over the F-ratio value for the F-test of significance of the regression equation. For any particular row, the $F$ entry must be evaluated by the number of "degrees of freedom" (df). The degree of freedom for the regression $\left(\mathrm{df}_{1}\right)$ is always one. For the error, the degrees of freedom is the number of samples minus two $\left(\mathrm{df}_{2}=\mathrm{s}-2\right.$ ). Enter an F distribution table for $\mathrm{df}_{1}=1$ and $\mathrm{df}_{2}=\mathrm{s}-2$ to find the critical $F$-value at certain $\alpha$-value, which, if smaller than the calculated F -value, means that the regression is significant. Therefore, the test hypothesis will be rejected and concluded that there is significant difference between the assigned volumes and the counted volumes.

How to Use
The table will flag problems with assignments to the listed routes. A value in the $F$ column that is too low or a low coefficient of determination indicates a low level of agreement between the modeled assignment and the counted volumes.

## table c1(2)

COMPARISON of assigned volumes with counted vollmes

| COMPARISON OF WITH | ASSIGNED COUNTEO | VOLUMES VOLUMES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FROM } \\ & \text { FROM } \end{aligned}$ | YLER 85 YLER 85 | $\begin{aligned} & -85-1 \text { CAPAC } \\ & -85-1 \text { CAPAC } \end{aligned}$ | ITY REST <br> ITY REST |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITER. } 2 \text { DEC } 14,1988^{\prime} \\ & \text { DEC } 14, \\ & 1988^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROUTE INTCPT | SLOPE | UPPER | LOWER | SAMPLE | TOTAL | CORR. | DETERM. | SOS | RMS | PCT ERR | $F$ |
| 1******** | 0.3081 | 0.4540 | 0.1623 | 17. | 507188.0 | 0.73032 | 0.53336 | $0.2194 \mathrm{E}+10$ | 11359.676 | 38.076 | 17.14 |
| 28794.348 | 0.7132 | 0.8765 | 0.5500 | 13. | 295519.0 | 0.93248 | 0.86953 | $0.1045 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 2834.918 | 12.471 | 73.31 |
| 3******** | -0.1711 | 0.0929 | -0.4351 | 17. | 595165.0 | -0.31170 | 0.09716 | $0.1050 \mathrm{E}+10$ | 7858.601 | 22.447 | 1.61 |
| 4210.800 | 0.8377 | 1.5178 | 0.1576 | 13. | 202281.0 | 0.58853 | 0.34636 | $0.1286 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 3144.839 | 20.211 | 5.83 |
| ALLI 1246.268 | 0.8341 | 0.8697 | 0.7984 | 843. | 7486131.0 | 0.84519 | 0.71434 | $0.2060 E+11$ | 4943.421 | 55.667 | 2103.06 |

## C3(2): COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES WITH ASSIGNED VOLUMES

Purpose<br>This table presents a comparison of the assignments from the present iteration with the assignments of the previous iteration. This comparison is in the form of a regression equation.

How to Read
ROUTE is the number assigned to the route being investigated. The printout field for route is not separated from the adjacent field to the right, so the route number can appear to be a part of the number in the adjacent column.
INTCPT is the value of the $y$-axis intercept of the regression equation.
SLOPE is the $\beta_{1}$ value or the slope of the regression equation.
UPPER and LOWER are the values of the confidence limits of the equation. It is highly probable that the true mean falls somewhere between these two values.
SAMPLE is the number of assigned volumes that are compared on the particular route.
TOTAL is the total sums of squares used to calculate other terms in regression analysis.
CORR (R) is the coefficient of correlation. This indicates the level of a linear relationship between two variables with 0.0 being no relationship and 1.0 a perfect relationship.
DETERM is the coefficient of determination $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$. This value indicates the proportion of total variability that is explained by the model with 1.0 being a perfect model.

SOS is the sum of squares used to calculate other terms in regression analysis.
RMS is the root mean square error used to estimate the standard deviation of the dependent variable.
PCT ERR is the root mean square error expressed as a percent.
$F$ is the heading over the F-ratio value for the F-test of significance of the regression equation. For any particular row, the $F$ entry must be evaluated by the number of "degrees of freedom" (df). The degree of freedom for the regression $\left(\mathrm{df}_{1}\right)$ is always one. For the error, the degrees of freedom is the number of samples minus two $\left(\mathrm{df}_{2}=\mathrm{s}-2\right.$ ). Enter an F distribution table for $\mathrm{df}_{1}=1$ and $\mathrm{df}_{2}=\mathrm{s}-2$ to find the critical $F$-value at certain $\alpha$-value, which, if smaller than the calculated F -value, means that the regression is significant. Therefore, the test hypothesis will be rejected and concluded that there is significant difference between the assigned volumes and the previous assigned volumes.

How to Use
The table will flag assignments that are varying greatly from one assignment to the next. A value in the $F$ column that is too low or a low coefficient of determination indicates problems with the assignment.

COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES WIth ASSIGNED VOLUMES
COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES FROM : TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT WITH ASSIGNED VOLUMES FROM * TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT

| ROUTE INTCPT | SLOPE | UPPER | LOWER | SAMPLE | TOTAL | CORR | DEIERM. | SOS | RMS | PCT ERR | $F$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18807.301 | 0.5121 | 0.6476 | 0.3765 | 17. | 507188.0 | 0.88608 | 0.78514 | $0.9197 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 7355.147 | 24.653 | 54.81 |
| 22386.714 | 0.9862 | 1.1598 | 0.8126 | 13. | 295519.0 | 0.95838 | 0.91850 | $0.7613 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2419.882 | 10.645 | 123.97 |
| 3******* | -0.1123 | 0.1906 | -0.4152 | 17. | 595165.0 | -0.18437 | 0.03399 | $0.2722 E+10$ | 12654.813 | 36.147 | 0.53 |
| 4******** | 1.1052 | 1.6336 | 0.5769 | 13. | 202281.0 | 0.77748 | 0.60447 | $0.1248 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 3098.611 | 19.914 | 16.81 |
| ALL1024. 118 | 0.8811 | 0.9266 | 0.8356 | 843. | 7486131.0 | 0.79458 | 0.63135 | $0.3143 E+11$ | 6106.394 | 68.763 | 1440.31 |

## C4(2): COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES AND GROUND COUNTS BY FACILITY GROUP

| Purpose | This table analyzes the degree of agreement between predicted <br> (assigned) and actual counted volumes by facility type, either |
| :--- | :--- |

FREEWAY, ARTERIAL, or OTHERS.

In addition, comparisons are made for geographical areas, called "sectors," which are groupings of zones. Since a comparison is being made with actual counted data, this table has no application when conducting a future year assignment.

How to read AVERAGE GROUND COUNT PER LINK is the average counted volume of those links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
AVERAGE ASSIGNED VOLUME PER LINK is the average predicted volume of those links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS is the number of links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
INTERCEPT is the $\beta_{0}$ value or the value of the $y$-axis intercept of the regression equation.
SLOPE is the $\beta_{1}$ value or the slope of the regression equation.
COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION (R) indicates the level of a linear relationship between two variables with 0.0 being no relationship and 1.0 a perfect relationship.
COEFFICIENT OF DETERMINATION ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) indicates the proportion of total variability that is explained by the model with 1.0 being a perfect model.
ROOT MEAN SQUARE is the root mean square error used to estimate the standard deviation of the dependent variable.
PERCENT ROOT MEAN SQUARE is the root mean square error expressed as a percent of average ground count per link.

How to Use A low coefficient of determination indicates that the assigned volumes on that particular group of links do not match well with the counted volumes. The counted volumes and the final-output assigned volumes for each facility group should agree within $\pm 10 \%$.

| TABLE C4(2) | COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES AND GROUND COUNTS BY FACILITY GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FACILITY GROUP | average GROUND COUNT PER LINK | AVERAGE ASSIGNED VOLUME PER LINK | number of OBSERVATIONS | INTERCEPT | SLOPE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COEFFICIENT } \\ & \text { OF } \\ & \text { CORRELATION } \end{aligned}$ | COEFFICIENT of DETERMINATION | ROOT MEAN SQUARE | PERCENT ROOT MEAN SQUARE |
| fREEWAYS ARTERIALS OTHERS | $\begin{aligned} & 1.617307 E+04 \\ & 8.589414 E+03 \\ & 2.381877 E+03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.541960 E+04 \\ & 9.636738 E+03 \\ & 2.707340 E+03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 260 . \\ & 274 . \\ & 309 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.153656 E+03 \\ & 1.657809 E+03 \\ & 1.193771 E+03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.965863 E-01 \\ & 9.289259 E-01 \\ & 6.354518 E-01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.527437 E-01 \\ & 8.690326 E-01 \\ & 5.806669 E-01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.260743 E-01 \\ & 7.552176 E-01 \\ & 3.371740 E-01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.969801 E+03 \\ & 4.643785 E+03 \\ & 2.583036 E+03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.309508 \mathrm{E}+01 \\ & 5.406404 \mathrm{E}+01 \\ & 1.084454 \mathrm{E}+02 \end{aligned}$ |
| SECTOR ClASSIFICATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $9.870270 E+03$ | $8.720000 E+03$ | 37. | $1.251952 E+03$ | 7.566204E-01 | 6.763536E-01 | 4.574542E-01 | 5.806223E+03 | $5.882536 E+01$ |
| 2 | $9.378105 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $9.744914 E+03$ | 169. | $9.568105 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 9.370875E-01 | 8.847823E-01 | 7.828397E-01 | $3.417619 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.644252 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 3 | $1.335555 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.359178 E+04$ | 234. | $2.926233 E+03$ | 7.985848E-01 | 7.860014E-01 | 6.177981E-01 | $7.231445 E+03$ | $5.414558 E+01$ |
| 4 | $6.839559 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.886395 E+03$ | 91. | $1.909857 E+03$ | 7.276109E-01 | 8.219082E-01 | 6.755330E-01 | $5.118770 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $7.484064 E+01$ |
| 5 | $4.202039 E+03$ | $4.490945 E+03$ | 98. | $2.516849 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.008858 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 9.908592E-01 | 9.818018E-01 | $8.360029 E+02$ | $1.989516 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 6 | $7.234664 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $7.331090 E+03$ | 75. | $-8.164589 E+01$ | $1.024613 E+00$ | 9.908851E-01 | 9.818532E-01 | $1.092839 E+03$ | $1.510559 E+01$ |
| 7 | $2.811628 E+03$ | $3.236953 E+03$ | 43. | $1.875572 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 4.841969E-01 | 4.164045E-01 | 1.733927E-01 | 3.474851E+03 | $1.235886 E+02$ |
| 8 | $5.432289 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.045082 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 96. | $2.011344 E+03$ | 7.425483E-01 | 6.469327E-01 | $4.185219 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.655914 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $8.570813 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

## C5(2): COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES AND GROUND COUNTS BY VOLUME RANGE


#### Abstract

Purpose This table analyzes the degree of agreement between predicted and actual link volumes by volume groupings or ranges. The ALL row presents this analysis for the entire network of links.

Since a comparison is being made with actual counted data, this table has no application when conducting a future year assignment.


[^2]How to Use A low coefficient of determination indicates that the assigned volumes on that particular volume range of links do not match well with the counted volumes. This information will indicate whether traffic on certain groups of roads is being systematically over- or underassigned.

COmparison of assigned volumes and ground counts by volume range

| VOLUME RANGE | AVERAGE GROUMD COUNT PER LIMK | average ASSIGNED VOLLME PER LINK | MUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS | INTERCEPT | SLOPE | COEFFICIENT OFF CORELATION | COEFFICIENT <br> OF DETERMI * <br> NATION | ROOT MEAN sOUARE | PERCEMT ROOT MEAN souare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 0.10 \\ 9999^{9} 10 \end{gathered}$ | 4.859375E+02 | $1.057406 E+03$ | 128. | -1.064683E+02 | $2.395111 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.153873E-01 | 1.725466E-01 | $1.515004 E+03$ | $3.117690 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4999 \\ & 5000 \text { TO } \end{aligned}$ | $2.518587 E+03$ | $3.600219 E+03$ | 269. | 7.971389E+02 | $1.112957 E+00$ | 4.138088E-01 | 1.712377E-01 | $2.935016 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.165342 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| 9999 10000 to | $7.193078 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $8.208418 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 159. | $6.039316 E+03$ | 3.015538E-01 | 1.014699E-01 | 1.029613E-02 | .599148E+03 | 6.393852E+01 |
| 10000 14990 | 1.268130E+04 | $1.275345 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 107. | -9.702531E+03 | 1.770793E+00 | 5.202089E-01 | 2.706172E-01 | .296145E+03 | .387778E+01 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15000710 \\ & 24999 \end{aligned}$ | $1.919136 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.810939 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 139. | $7.564691 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 5.494498E-01 | 1.837068E-01 | 3.374819E-02 | .148586E+03 | .245964E+01 |
| $25000 \text { то }$ |  |  |  | -6.373621E+03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALL <br> iteration time | $\begin{gathered} 8.653023 E+03 \\ =0.0658 \end{gathered}$ | 8.880344E+03 Minutes | 843. | $1.469383 E+03$ | 8.564591E-01 | 8.451863E-01 | 7.143399E-01 | $4.943418 E+03$ | 5.712936E+01 |

W2: ITERATION WEIGHTS APPLIED

Purpose $\quad$ This small table lists the weights or percentages of the assignment from each iteration that comprise the final weighted output.

How to Read
ITERATION indicates the first through the fifth iterations of the capacity restraint assignment.
PER CENT lists the percent of the output from a particular iteration that is combined with portions of the other iterations to form the weighted output.

How to Use
This table reports what iteration percents were preprogrammed. The evaluation of the table is not required.

TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT
ITERATION HEIGHTS APPLIED

| ITERATION | PER CENT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15 |
| 2 | 15 |
| 3 | 20 |
| 4 | 20 |
| 5 | 30 |

## A1(W): LINK VOLUME TABLE


#### Abstract

Purpose


How to Use
The final volume assignments are in this table. The analyst should review the link volumes. An unusual volume could indicate a problem with a coding or with the preceding traffic assignment.

| TABLE | A1 (1) | LINK VOLUMES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANODE |  | BNODE |  | VOLUM |  |  |
| 305 | DIR | 30 |  | 3284 | : | one-way vol. from node 305 to 30 is 3284. |
| 305 | NDIR | 30 |  | 6580 | : | two-way vol. between nodes 305-30 is 6580 . |
|  | TURNS | $30-$ | 31) | 44 | : | vol. is 44 from node 30 thru 305 toward 31. |
|  | TURNS | 31- | 306) | 741 | : | vol. is 741 from node 31 thru 305 to 306. |
|  | TURNS | (306- | 488) | 5546 | : | vol. is 5546 from node 306 thru 305 to 488. |



## X1(W): CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF V/C FREQUENCIES FROM LAST TWO ASSIGNMENTS

Purpose This plot compares the volume/capacity ratio of links from the weighted assignment with those of the fifth iterations. The number of links in each V/C ratio group are indicated.<br>If the data points are well scattered, then the weighted assignment was much different than the fifth iteration. If the data points tend toward a straight line with origin at $(0,0)$ in the upper left corner, then the fifth iteration and the weighted assignment are similar.

How to Read

How to Use

This table is really a graph with the $(0,0)$ point in the upper left corner.
$\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ is the volume to capacity ratio. The horizontal or X -axis is the present iteration V/C ratio. The vertical or Y-axis is the V/C ratio of the previous iteration. Instead of plotting points in the form of dots, they are represented as numbers, each number being the number of links which have the $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ attributes of the particular row and column.
TOT is the total of a row or a column. There are two rows of totals at the bottom of the plot. The top row is the column total. The bottom row is the row total column transposed.

The analyst can determine whether the particular iteration is approaching stability by the degree of scatter. When the plot forms a diagonal passing through the coordinates of $(0,0)$ toward $(3,3)$, then it is stable. If stability is not reached, then the output is not reliable.

Scanning the output will indicate the extent of overcapacity links. One can determine whether capacity limitations will be severe.

CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF V/C FREQUENCIES FROM LAST THO ASSIGNMENTS
V/C 0.00 .10 .20 .30 .40 .50 .60 .70 .80 .91 .01 .11 .21 .31 .41 .51 .61 .71 .81 .92 .02 .112 .22 .32 .42 .52 .62 .72 .82 .93 .0 101


TOTAL LINKS IN V/C SUMMARY $=1159$

## X2(W): CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF LINK CAPACITIES BY V/C RATIO FROM LAST TWO ASSIGNMENTS


#### Abstract

Purpose This plot compares link capacities by volume/capacity ratio from the weighted assignment with that of the fifth iterations. The sum of the volumes per link which fall into each V/C ratio group are indicated.

If the data points are well scattered, then the weighted assignment differed greatly from the fifth iteration. If the data points tend toward a straight line with origin at ( 0,0 ) in the upper left corner, then little change took place between iterations.


How to Read

How to Use

This table is really a graph with the $(0,0)$ point in the upper left corner.
$\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ is the volume to capacity ratio. The horizontal or X -axis is the present iteration V/C ratio. The vertical or Y-axis is the V/C ratio of the previous iteration. Instead of plotting points in the form of dots, they are represented as numbers, each number being the number of trips (times the "SCALE FACTOR" listed below the graph) which have the V/C attributes of the particular row and column.
TOT is the total of a row or a column. There are two rows of totals at the bottom of the plot. The top row is the column total. The bottom row is the row total transposed.
PCT CAP is written "staggered" on two rows. This printed output is the cumulative percent of capacity held by each column from left to right.
SCALE FACTOR ONE UNIT is the largest trip divided by 999.
TOTAL SCALED TRIP IN SUMMARY is the sum of TOT.
TOTAL LINK CAPACITIES is the sum of the capacities on all links.

The analyst can determine whether the particular iteration is approaching stability by the degree of scatter. When the plot forms a diagonal passing through the coordinates of $(0,0)$ toward $(3,3)$, then it is stable. If stability is not reached, then the output is not reliable.


SCALE FACTOR ONE UNIT $=1714$ TRIPS
TOTAL SCALED TRIPS IN SUMMARY $=\quad 8922$
TOTAL LINK CAPACITIES $=\quad 15422200$.

# X3(W): JURISDICTIONAL/FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES - VMI 


#### Abstract

Purpose


How to Read

How to Use
If " 2 " in a column or row heading refers to Yourtown and " 8 " refers to freeways, then there are 10.8 miles of freeway in Yourtown and 37,999 vehicle-miles of travel on freeways in Yourtown according to this assignment. Also, there are a total of 35.7 miles and 103,577 vehiclemiles in Yourtown, a total of 28.5 miles and 98,778 vehicle-miles on freeways, and a total of 3790.1 miles and $18,974,034$ vehicle-miles in the whole study area.


Checking the miles of freeway in each jurisdiction can uncover coding mistakes. When testing alternative facility options, the vehicle-miles. should be minimized.

|  | table X3( ) $^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | Jurisdictional / functional cross classification of assigned |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JUR | UnIt | A | 8 | c | D | E | F | total. |
|  | 0 | MILES VEH-MILES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 269.6 \\ 278786 \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 4.8 \\ 45918 \end{array}$ |
|  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ 93744 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ 3966 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 42.5 \\ 398038 \end{array}$ |
|  | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEH-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.8 \\ 181734 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.4 \\ 29370 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 55.1 \\ 744104 \end{array}$ |
|  | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ 40435 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 52.3 \\ 162139 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 63.8 \\ 317589 \end{array}$ |
|  | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9 \\ 39912 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 57.4 \\ 79991 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 69.7 \\ 259824 \end{array}$ |
|  | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 13.2 \\ 234075 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 31.2 \\ 48357 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 58.8 \\ 514019 \end{array}$ |
| $\infty$ | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 36.5 \\ 99249 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 36.5 \\ 99249 \end{array}$ |
|  | 8 | MILES VEH-MILES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \\ 25370 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 39.1 \\ 95862 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 58.8 \\ 305250 \end{array}$ |
|  | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 |
|  | A | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEH-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 |
|  | B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $0.0$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 |
|  | c | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 |
|  | D | MILES VEh-Miles | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 |
|  | E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $F$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEH-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 |
|  |  | al miles VEh-MILES | $0.0$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 32.8 \\ 615270 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 224.9 \\ 518934 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 659.8 \\ 2962776 \end{array}$ |

# X4(W): JURISDICTIONAL/FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES - VHR 

Purpose | This table or matrix shows the breakdown of vehicle-hours of travel in |
| :--- |
| the weighted assignment and the breakdown of the average speed |
| according to the various input categories of roadway class (freeway, |
| arterial) or defined jurisdictional area. The analyst must refer to the |
| input coding to determine the identity of the category in a particular |
| column or row. |

FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION is coded by the various categories of roadway class ranging from 0 to 9 and A to E .
JUR is the jurisdictional area, in practice usually a group of zones.
VEH-HOURS is the amount of vehicle-hours of travel which the weighted assignment says will be made on or in the particular category described by the row and the column description.
MILES/HOUR is the average velocity of the links that fall into the particular category described by the row and the column headings.

How to Use
If " 2 " in a column or row heading refers to Yourtown and " 8 " refers to freeways, then there are 3,300 vehicle-hours of travel on freeways in Yourtown according to this assignment with an average speed of 49.0 miles per hour. Also, there are a total of 11,450 vehicle-hours and an average of 32.7 miles per hour in Yourtown, a total of 45,928 vehiclehours and an average of 56.5 miles per hour on freeways, and a total of $45,234,576$ vehicle-hours and an average of 35.2 miles per hour in the whole study area. When comparing future alternative networks, one goal might be to minimize vehicle-hours of travel.

| JUR | UNIT | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8 | . TOTAL |
| : | : | : | : |
| 2 | VEH-HOURS | 3300 | 11450 |
|  | MILES/HOUR | 49.0 | 32.7 |
| : | : | : | : |
| TOTAL | VEH-HRS | 45928 | 45234576 |
|  | MILES/HOUR | 56.5 | 35.2 |

TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT

|  | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JUR UNIT | A | B | C | 0 | E | F | OTAL |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text { VEH-HOURS } \\ & \text { MILES/HOUR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10822 \\ 25.76 \end{array}$ |
| 1 VEH-HOURS | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 | 0 0.00 | 0 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 1657 \\ 27.71 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { VEH-HOURS } \\ & \text { MILES/HOUR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1972 \\ 47.54 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 102 \\ 38.76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9839 \\ 40.45 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { VEH-HOURS } \\ & \text { MILES/HOUR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 4435 \\ 40.98 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 784 \\ 37.45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20548 \\ & 36.21 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 688 \\ 58.80 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 3373 \\ 48.08 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 6654 \\ 47.73 \end{array}$ |
| 5 VEH-HOURS | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 677 \\ 58.98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1637 \\ 48.88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4793 \\ 54.21 \end{array}$ |
| 6 VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 4189 \\ 55.88 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 949 \\ 50.93 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 9123 \\ 56.34 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7 \text { VEH-HOURS } \\ & \text { MILES/HOUR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0,00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2209 \\ 44.92 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 2209 \\ 44.92 \end{array}$ |
| 8 VEH-HOURS MILES/HCUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 433 \\ 58.65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2113 \\ 45.37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6301 \\ 48.44 \end{array}$ |
| 9 VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00^{0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 |
| A VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | 0.00 | 0 0.00 | 0 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 |
| 8 VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 |
| C VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 |
| D VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ |
| E VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 |
| VEH-HOURS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | 0 0.00 |
| TOTAL VEH-HRS MILES/HOUR | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00^{0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12392 \\ & 49.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11167 \\ & 46.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71946 \\ & 41.18 \end{aligned}$ |

## X5(W): JURISDICTIONAL/FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF COUNTED VOLUMES


#### Abstract

Purpose This table or matrix shows the actual counted volumes on links that did have counts made on them and the miles of link which were counted. The listing is presented according to the various input categories of roadway class (freeway, arterial) or defined jurisdictional area. The analyst must refer to the input coding to determine the identity of the category in a particular column or row. Since this table makes use of actual count data, this table is not applicable when conducting a future year assignment.


FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION is coded by the various categories of roadway class ranging from 0 to 9 and A to E.
JUR is the jurisdictional area, in practice usually a group of zones, ranging from 0 to 9 and $A$ to $E$.
MILES is the number of miles of link on which counts were made that fall into the particular category described by the row and the column heading.
VEH-MILES is the number of vehicle-miles of travel which were counted that fall into the particular category described by the row and the column heading.

How to Use
If " 2 " in a column or row heading refers to Yourtown and " 8 " refers to freeways, then the total mileage of the freeway links on which counts were made in Yourtown was 1.8 , and there were 3,855 vehicle-miles of travel on these links. Also, there are a total of 3.7 miles and 10,357 vehicle-miles in Yourtown, a total of 2.5 miles and 9,878 vehicle-miles on freeways, and a total of 9.1 miles and 74,034 vehicle-miles in the whole study area.

| JUR | UNIT | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - 8 | ... TOTAL |
| : | : | : | : |
| 2 | MILES | 1.8 | 3.7 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 3855 | 10357 |
| : | : | : | : |
| TOTAL | MILES | 2.5 | 9.1 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 9878 | 74034 |


|  | table $\mathrm{X} 5(\mathrm{~W})$ |  |  | JURISDICTIONAL / FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF COUNTED functional classification |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JUR | UNIT | A | B | c | D | E | F | TOTAL |
|  | 0 | MILES Veh-miles | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 |
|  | 1 | MILES VEH-MILES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 4.8 \\ 45394 \end{array}$ |
|  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ 83497 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 3.0 4498 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $397430$ |
|  | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.8 \\ 179206 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.4 \\ 30303 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.1 \\ 723997 \end{array}$ |
|  | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $0.0$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ 40438 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 52.3 \\ 157506 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.8 \\ 319901 \end{array}$ |
|  | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9 \\ 40024 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 57.4 \\ 69499 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 69.7 \\ 253840 \end{array}$ |
|  | 6 | MILES VEH-MILES | $0.0$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.2 \\ 225744 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.2 \\ 50218 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.8 \\ 517421 \end{array}$ |
| N | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 36.5 \\ 98603 \end{array}$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.5 \\ 98663 \end{array}$ |
|  | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \\ 25081 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 39.1 \\ 90293 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 58.8 \\ 305564 \end{array}$ |
|  | 9 | MILES VEH-MILES | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 |
|  | A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $0.0$ | $0.0$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 |
|  | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | c | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 |
|  | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 |
|  | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | at miles VEH-MILES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.8 \\ 593990 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 224.9 \\ 500980 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 390.0 \\ 2662210 \end{array}$ |

## X6(W): JURISDICTIONAL/FUNCTIONAL CROSS CLASSIFICATION OF LINK CAPACITIES


#### Abstract

Purpose This table or matrix shows the miles of the links according to the various input categories of roadway class (freeway, arterial) or a defined jurisdictional area and the twenty-four hour capacity in terms of vehicle-miles on each. The analyst must refer to the input coding to determine the identity of the category in a particular column or row.


FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION is coded by the various categories of roadway class ranging from 0 to 9 and A to E .
JUR is the jurisdictional area, in practice usually a group of zones, ranging from 0 to 9 and A to E .
MILES is the number of miles that fall into the particular category described by the row and the column heading.
VEH-MILES is the number of vehicle-miles of capacity that fall into the particular category described by the row and the column heading.

How to Use
If " 2 " in a column or row heading refers to Yourtown and " 8 " refers to freeways, then there are 7.8 miles of freeway in Yourtown and 63,790 vehicle-miles of capacity on the freeways in Yourtown. Also, there are a total of 18.5 miles and 138,577 vehicle-miles on freeways and a total of 790.1 miles and $8,974,034$ vehicle-miles in the entire study area. This information can be used to compare the impacts of various proposed alternative networks.

| JUR | UNIT | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8 | ... TOTAL |
| : | : | : | : |
| 2 | MILES | 7.8 | 31.7 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 63790 | 193577 |
| : | : | : | : |
| : | : | : | : |
| TOTAL | MILES | 18.5 | 790.1 |
|  | VEH-MILES | 138577 | 8974034 |

## TABLE X6(W)

 Jurisdictional / functional cross classificailion of link capacities|  |  |  | functional classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JUR | UnIt | A | B | c | D | E |  | TOTAL |
|  | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80.3 \\ 150664 \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $0.0$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.8 \\ 100350 \end{array}$ |
|  | 2 | miles VEh-MILES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ 188940 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ 21371 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.5 \\ 903977 \end{array}$ |
|  | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $0.0$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.8 \\ 194970 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.4 \\ 48790 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.1 \\ 1123329 \end{array}$ |
|  | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ 97244 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 52.3 \\ 371412 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.8 \\ 639634 \end{array}$ |
|  | 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEH-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9 \\ 78963 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.4 \\ 387778 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $813120$ |
|  | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MLLES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $0.0$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.2 \\ 378832 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 31.2 \\ 208275 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.8 \\ 1271072 \end{array}$ |
| $\pm$ | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-HILES } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 36.5 \\ 273280 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 36.5 \\ 273280 \end{array}$ |
|  | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \\ 57628 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.1 \\ 291046 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.8 \\ 793134 \end{array}$ |
|  | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $0.0$ | $0.0$ | 0.0 0 |
|  | A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | $0.0$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 |
|  | c | MILES VEH-MILES | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 |
|  | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $0.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 |
|  | E | $\begin{gathered} \text { MILES } \\ \text { VEH-MILES } \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 0 | $0.0$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 |
|  | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILES } \\ & \text { VEH-MILES } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | AL MILES VEH-miles | 0.0 0 | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 32.8 \\ 996577 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 224.9 \\ 1601952 \end{array}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 470.3 \\ 6068560 \end{array}$ |

## C1(W): COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES WITH COUNTED VOLUMES

Purpose

This table analyzes the degree of agreement between predicted and actual volumes on selected routes. A series of links, which is often traveled in sequence from one link to another, forms a route.

A regression equation is used to evaluate the degree of agreement. Since a comparison is being made with actual counted data, this table has no application when conducting a future year assignment.

How to Read
ROUTE is the number assigned to the route being investigated. The printout field for route is not separated from the adjacent field to the right, so the route number can appear to be a part of the number in the adjacent column.
INTCPT is the $\beta_{0}$ value of the $y$-axis intercept of the regression equation. In many cases the ideal intercept is 0.0 .
SLOPE is the $\beta_{1}$ value or the slope of the regression equation. When comparing two sets of supposedly identical data on $x-y$ axes with the same scale, the ideal slope equals 1.0 .
UPPER and LOWER are the values of the confidence limits of the slope. It is highly probable that the true slope falls somewhere between these two values.
SAMPLE is the number of links that comprise the particular route.
TOTAL is the sum of the volumes on the various links of the route.
CORR (R) is the coefficient of correlation. This indicates the level of a linear relationship between two variables with 0.0 being no relationship and 1.0 a perfect relationship. A value of -1.0 indicates a perfect inverse relationship.
DETERM ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) is the coefficient of determination. This value is the proportion of total variability that is explained by the model with 1.0 being a perfect model.
SOS is the sum of squares used to calculate other terms in regression analysis.
RMS is the root mean square error used to estimate the standard deviation of the dependent variable.
PCT ERR is the root mean square error expressed as a percent of average volume of the routes' links.
$F$ is the heading over the F-ratio value for the F-test of significance of the regression equation. For any particular row, the F entry must be evaluated by the number of "degrees of freedom" (df). The degree of freedom for the regression $\left(\mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is always one. For the error, the degrees of freedom is the number of samples minus two $\left(\mathrm{df}_{2}=\mathrm{s}-2\right)$. Enter an F distribution table for $\mathrm{df}_{1}=1$ and $\mathrm{df}_{2}=\mathrm{s}-2$ to find the critical F -value at certain $\alpha$-value, which, if smaller than the calculated $F$-value, means that the regression is significant. Therefore, the test hypothesis will be rejected and concluded that there is significant difference between the assigned volumes and the counted volumes.

How to Use

The table will flag problems with assignments to the listed routes. A value in the $F$ column that is too low or a low coefficient of determination indicates a low level of agreement between the modeled assignment and the counted volumes.

COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES WITH COUNTED VOLUMES
COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES FROM: TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT
HITH COUNTED VOLUMES FROM TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT

| ROUTE INTCPT | SLOPE | UPPER | LOMER | SAMPLE | total | CORR. | DETERM. | SOS | RMS | PCT ERR | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16435.770 | 0.5645 | 0.7548 | 0.3743 | 17. | 417510.0 | 0.83234 | 0.69279 | $0.4562 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 5180.560 | 21.094 | 33.83 |
| 27082.004 | 0.7267 | 0.9231 | 0.5304 | 13. | 320658.0 | 0.90947 | 0.82713 | $0.4126 E+08$ | 1781.526 | 7.223 | 52.63 |
| 3******** | 0.5975 | 1.0838 | 0.1112 | 17. | 693716.0 | 0.52802 | 0.27880 | $0.1545 E+09$ | 3014.296 | 7.387 | 5.80 |
| 4511.498 | 0.9136 | 1.3221 | 0.5051 | 13. | 181204.0 | 0.79745 | 0.63593 | $0.3894 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 1730.762 | 12.417 | 19.21 |
| ALL 135.620 | 0.9630 | 0.9781 | 0.9480 | 843. | 7455934.0 | 0.97431 | 0.94927 | $0.3444 \mathrm{E}+10$ | 2021.373 | 22.855 | 15737.27 |

## C3(W): COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES WITH ASSIGNED VOLUMES


#### Abstract

Purpose This table presents a comparison of the assignments from the weighted assignment with the assignments of the fifth iteration. This comparison is in the form of a regression equation.


How to Read
ROUTE is the number assigned to the route being investigated. The printout field for route is not separated from the adjacent field to the right, so the route number can appear to be a part of the number in the adjacent column.
INTCPT is the value of the $y$-axis intercept of the regression equation.
SLOPE is the $\beta_{1}$ value or the slope of the regression equation.
UPPER and LOWER are the values of the confidence limits of the equation. It is highly probable that the true mean falls somewhere between these two values.
SAMPLE is the number of assigned volumes that are compared on the particular route.
TOTAL is the total sums of squares used to calculate other terms in regression analysis.
CORR (R) is the coefficient of correlation. This indicates the level of a linear relationship between two variables with 0.0 being no relationship and 1.0 a perfect relationship.
DETERM is the coefficient of determination $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$. This value indicates the proportion of total variability that is explained by the model with 1.0 being a perfect model.

SOS is the sum of squares used to calculate other terms in regression analysis.
RMS is the root mean square error used to estimate the standard deviation of the dependent variable.
PCT ERR is the root mean square error expressed as a percent.
$F$ is the heading over the F-ratio value for the F-test of significance of the regression equation. For any particular row, the F entry must be evaluated by the number of "degrees of freedom" (df). The degree of freedom for the regression $\left(\mathrm{df}_{1}\right)$ is always one. For the error, the degrees of freedom is the number of samples minus two $\left(\mathrm{df}_{2}=\mathrm{s}-2\right)$. Enter an F distribution table for $\mathrm{df}_{1}=1$ and $\mathrm{df}_{2}=\mathrm{s}-2$ to find the critical F -value at certain $\alpha$-value, which, if smaller than the calculated $F$-value, means that the regression is significant. Therefore, the test hypothesis will be rejected and concluded that there is significant difference between the assigned volumes and the previous assigned volumes.

The table will flag weighted route assignments that greatly differed from those of the fifth iteration. A value in the $F$ column that is too low or a low coefficient of determination indicates problems with the assignment on that particular route.

COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES WITH ASSIGNED VOLUMES
CONPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES FROM : TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT HITH ASSIGHED VOLUNES FROM ' TYLER 85-85-1 CAPACITY RESTRAINT

| ROUTE INTCPT | SLOPE | UPPER | LONER | SAMPLE | TOTAL | CORR. | DETERM. | S0S | RMS | PCT ERR | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11529.329 | 0.9140 | 0.9783 | 0.8498 | 17. | 417510.0 | 0.99049 | 0.98106 | $0.1626 E+08$ | 977.956 | 3.982 | 777.06 |
| 2709.126 | 0.9831 | 1.0391 | 0.9271 | 13. | 320658.0 | 0.99538 | 0.99079 | $0.3104 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 488.662 | 1.981 | 1183.07 |
| 3******** | 1.7163 | 2.2976 | 1.1350 | 17. | 693716.0 | 0.83105 | 0.69064 | $0.2011 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 3439.498 | 8.429 | 33.49 |
| 4-245.616 | 1.0110 | 1.0340 | 0.9880 | 13. | 181204.0 | 0.99926 | 0.99852 | $0.2202 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 130.144 | 0.934 | 7418.06 |
| ALL - 16.146 | 0.9985 | 1.0076 | 0.9894 | 843. | 7455934.0 | 0.99105 | 0.98219 | $0.1213 E+10$ | 1199.380 | 13.561 | 46377.16 |

## C4(W): COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES AND GROUND COUNTS BY FACILITY GROUP


#### Abstract

Purpose This table analyzes the degree of agreement between predicted (assigned) and actual counted volumes by facility type, either


FREEWAY,
ARTERIAL, or OTHERS.

In addition, comparisons are made for geographical areas, called "sectors," which are groupings of zones. Since a comparison is being made with actual counted data, this table has no application when conducting a future year assignment.

AVERAGE GROUND COUNT PER LINK is the average counted volume of those links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
AVERAGE ASSIGNED VOLUME PER LINK is the average predicted volume of those links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS is the number of links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
INTERCEPT is the $\beta_{0}$ value or the value of the $y$-axis intercept of the regression equation.
SLOPE is the $\beta_{1}$ value or the slope of the regression equation.
COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION (R) indicates the level of a linear relationship between two variables with 0.0 being no relationship and 1.0 a perfect relationship.
COEFFICIENT OF DETERMINATION ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) indicates the proportion of total variability that is explained by the model with 1.0 being a perfect model.
ROOT MEAN SQUARE is the root mean square error used to estimate the standard deviation of the dependent variable.
PERCENT ROOT MEAN SQUARE is the root mean square error expressed as a percent of average ground count per link.

How to Use A low coefficient of determination indicates that the assigned volumes on that particular group of links do not match well with the counted volumes. The counted volumes and the final-output assigned volumes for each facility group should agree within $\pm 10 \%$.
TABLE C4(W) COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES AND GROUND COUNTS BY fACILITY GROUP

| FACILITY GROUP | AVERAGE GROUND COUNT PER LINK | AVERAGE volume PER LIMK | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMBER OF } \\ & \text { OBSERVAIIONS } \end{aligned}$ | INTERCEPT | SLOPE | COEFFICIENT OFF CORELATION | COEFFICIENT OF DETERMI NATION | ROOT MEAN SOUARE | PERCENT ROOT MEAN SQUARE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FREEMAYS ARTERIALS OTHERS | $\begin{aligned} & 1.617307 E+04 \\ & 8.589414 E+03 \\ & 2.381877 E+03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.599855 \mathrm{E}+04 \\ & 9.201859 \mathrm{E}+03 \\ & 2.508094 \mathrm{E}+03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 260 . \\ & 274 . \\ & 309 . \end{aligned}$ | $6.364037 E+00$ <br> $6.442170 E+02$ <br> $2.110079 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.888152 \mathrm{E}-01 \\ & 9.963010 \mathrm{E}-01 \\ & 9.644015 \mathrm{E}-01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.458174 \mathrm{E}-01 \\ & 9.697778 \mathrm{E}-01 \\ & 9.635154 \mathrm{E}-01 \end{aligned}$ | 8.945705E-01 $9.404690 \mathrm{E}-01$ $9.283619 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.730906 E+03 \\ & 2.211931 \mathrm{E}+03 \\ & 7.303696 \mathrm{E}+02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.688550 \mathrm{E}+01 \\ & 2.575182 \mathrm{E}+01 \\ & 3.066360 \mathrm{E}+01 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECTOR } \\ & \text { CLASSIFICATION } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $9.870270 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.006295 \mathrm{E}+04$ |  | -4.033459E+02 | $1.060385 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 9.730747E-01 | 9.468743E-01 | $1.721954 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 1.744585E+01 |
| 2 | $9.378105 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $9.485805 E+03$ | 169. | $3.216123 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.771900 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.604505E-01 | 9.224651E-01 | $1.944004 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.072917 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 3 | $1.335555 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.384251 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 234. | 6.666729E+02 | 9.865437E-01 | $9.654341 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.320629E-01 | $2.962408 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.218109 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 4 | $6.839559 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.713219 E+03$ | 91. | $6.824517 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 8.817479E-01 | $9.784965 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.574533 E-01$ | $1.971489 E+03$ | $2.882478 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 5 | $4.202039 E+03$ | $4.304090 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 98. | $2.031514 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 9.759401E-01 | 9.957401E-01 | $9.914982 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.427192E+02 | $1.291562 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 6 | $7.234664 E+03$ | $7.402812 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 75. | $-1.922090 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.025898 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.907042 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.814948 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.114981 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.541165 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 7 | $2.811628 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.956186 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 43. | $2.240487 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 9.717279E-01 | 9.7672688 -01 | 9.539952E-01 | 6.474268E+02 | $2.302675 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 8 | $5.432289 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.482551 E+03$ | 96. | $2.906919 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 9.557403E-01 | $9.694571 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.398470 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.244542 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2.291006E+01 |

## C5(W): COMPARISON OF ASSIGNED VOLUMES AND GROUND COUNTS BY VOLUME RANGE


#### Abstract

Purpose This table analyzes the degree of agreement between predicted and actual link volumes by volume groupings or ranges. The ALL row presents this analysis for the entire network of links.


Since a comparison is being made with actual counted data, this table has no application when conducting a future year assignment.

How to Read

How to Use

VOLUME RANGE lists the minimum and maximum volumes in that particular grouping.
AVERAGE GROUND COUNT PER LINK is the average counted volume of those links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
AVERAGE ASSIGNED VOLUME PER LINK is the average predicted volume of those links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS lists the number of links in the particular volume range.
INTERCEPT is the $\beta_{0}$ value or the value of the $y$-axis intercept of the regression equation.
SLOPE is the $\beta_{1}$ value or the slope of the regression equation.
COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION (R) indicates the level of a linear relationship between two variables with 0.0 being no relationship and 1.0 a perfect relationship.
COEFFICIENT OF DETERMINATION ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) indicates the proportion of total variability that is explained by the model with 1.0 being a perfect model.
ROOT MEAN SQUARE is the root mean square error used to estimate the standard deviation of the dependent variable.
PERCENT ROOT MEAN SQUARE ERROR is the root mean square error expressed as a percent of average ground count per link.

A low coefficient of determination indicates that the assigned volumes on that particular volume range of links do not match well with the counted volumes. This information will indicate whether traffic on certain groups of roads is being systematically over- or underassigned.

| TABLE C5 (W) |  |  | Comparison | of assigned vo | OLUMES AND GRO | nd COUNTS By | volume range |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOLUME RANGE | average GROUND COUNT PER LINK | AVERAGE ASSIGNED VOLUME PER LINK | number of OBSERVATIONS | INTERCEPT | SLOPE | COEFFICIENT of correlation | COEFFICIENT OF DETERMInation | ROOT MEAN souare | PERCENT root mean square |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \text { TO } \\ 9999^{\text {ro }} \end{gathered}$ | 4.859375E +02 | 6.119922E+02 | 128. | 8.951299E+01 | $1.075198 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.616012E-01 | 3.153958E-01 | $4.289243 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 8.826736E+01 |
| $4999$ $500 \text { ro }$ | 2.518587t +03 | $2.870163 E+03$ | 269. | $-1.837461 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.212548 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6.849614E-01 | .691721E-01 | .496954E+03 | 5.943625E+01 |
| $9999$ | $7.193078 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $7.568004 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 159. | $2.775512 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 6.662644E-01 | .674503E-01 | 2.185098E-01 | .960825E+03 | $2.725987 E+01$ |
| 10000 TO 14999 | 1.268130E+04 | $1.254854 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 107. | -7.628887E+02 | 1.049688E+00 | 6.206396E-01 | $3.851934 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.900009 \mathrm{E}+03$ | .498275E+01 |
| 15000 24999 | 1.919136E+04 | $1.946037 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 139. | -1.647127E+03 | 1.099843E+00 | 7.069159E-01 | 4.997301E-01 | $3.012418 E+03$ | $1.569673 E+01$ |
| ${ }_{49999}^{25000}$ | $3.381951 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 3.303722E+04 | 41. | -7.156437E+03 | 1.188475E+00 | 8.969744E-01 | 8.045631E-01 | 3.692880E+03 | 1.091938E+01 |
| ALL | 8.653023E +03 | $8.844523 E+03$ | 843. | $3.149900 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 9.857286E-01 | $9.743053 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.492708 E-01$ | $2.021373 E+03$ | $2.336031 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

## I1: CORRIDOR INTERCEPT

Purpose | The person running the assignment can request that a number of |
| :--- |
| cutlines be constructed and the link volumes across the cutlines be |
| reported. The output for each requested cutline is reported on a |
| separate sheet of paper. |
| How to Read |
| ANODE is one end of a link. |
| BNODE is the other end of a link. |
| RT is the assigned route number this link is on. |
| F is the functional class. Refer to the input coding to determine what |
| codes denote what class. |
| SPEED is the originally assigned link speed. |
| COUNT is the actual counted volume on a link; if a count was not |
| made on the link, then 0 appears. |
| CAP is the input link capacity. |
| VOL 1 is the assigned volume from the first iteration. |
| VOL 2 is the assigned volume from the second iteration. |
| VOL 3 is the assigned volume from the third iteration. |
| VOL 4 is the assigned volume from the fourth iteration. |
| VOL 5 is the assigned volume from the fifth iteration. |
| VOL 6 is the assigned volume from the weighted assignment. |
| PCT COUNT is the percentage of VOL $6 /$ COUNT. |
| PCT CAP is the percentage of VOL $6 /$ CAP. | l$l$

How to Use
The inability to perfectly model traffic behavior leads to differences between predicted and counted volumes in the best of assignments. However, the sums of predicted and counted volumes on a group of competing links (i.e., a corridor) should come close to agreeing.

The level of agreement can be checked by reviewing these tables. The base year counted and assigned volumes should agree within $\pm 10 \%$.


## R1: ROUTE PROFILE

Purpose

This table lists the volumes on the sequential links of a route so they can be analyzed. The output for each requested route is reported on a separate page. Each link of the route is listed on a row.

How to Read

How to Use

ANODE is the end of a link with the smaller number.
BNODE is the end of a link with the larger number. The practice of listing the smaller number link in the left column may obscure the sequential numbering of links. Just mentally reverse the order of the listed nodes to help make the sequential listing apparent from one row to the next.
F is the functional class. Refer to the input coding to determine what codes denote what class.
DIST is the length of the link.
SPEED is the originally assigned link speed.
COUNT is the actual counted volume on a link; if a count was not made on the link, then 0 appears.
CAP is the input link capacity.
VOL 1 is the assigned volume from the first iteration.
VOL 2 is the assigned volume from the second iteration.
VOL 3 is the assigned volume from the third iteration.
VOL 4 is the assigned volume from the fourth iteration.
VOL 5 is the assigned volume from the fifth iteration.
VOL 6 is the assigned volume from the weighted assignment.

These tables can be used to assess the validity of assignments on sequential links of a specified route.

| TABLE | R1 |  |  |  |  | ROUTE | 1 PR |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANODE | BMODE | F DIST | SPEED | COUNT | CAP | VOL 1 | VOL 2 | VOL 3 | VOL 4 | VOL 5 | VOL. 6 |
| 364 | 372 | c 0.25 | 40 | 14500 | 33500 | 16495 | 18708 | 14186 | 16862 | 16110 | 16278 |
| 371 | 372 | C 0.38 | 45 | 15000 | 33500 | 17975 | 19457 | 14922 | 17611 | 16859 | 17179 |
| 371 | 521 | c 0.22 | 45 | 15500 | 33500 | 17284 | 19761 | 15423 | 18238 | 17470 | 17530 |
| 520 | 521 | c 0.21 | 45 | 16800 | 33500 | 17284 | 19761 | 15423 | 18238 | 17470 | 17530 |
| 520 | 522 | C 0.38 | 45 | 18100 | 33500 | 22126 | 26581 | 19435 | 23614 | 22507 | 22668 |
| 522 |  | C 0.38 | 45 | 18500 | 33500 | 22142 | 26597 | 19451 | 23630 | 22523 | 22684 |
| 523 | 524 | c 0.33 | 45 | 19000 | 33500 | 22595 | 27047 | 19882 | 24086 | 22978 | 23133 |
| 524 | 541 | 30.15 | 45 | 21000 | 33500 | 24542 | 29318 | 21882 | 26120 | 25030 | 25184 |
| 540 |  | 30.12 | 45 | 23800 | 33500 | 24542 | 29318 | 21862 | 26120 | 25030 | 25184 |
| 540 |  | 30.16 | 45 | 21000 | 33500 | 24873 | 28325 | 21428 | 26156 | 25159 | 25044 |
| 565 |  | 30.12 | 45 | 20700 | 33500 | 25897 | 28958 | 22226 | 27000 | 26023 | 25880 |
| 566 | 567 | 30.07 | 45 | 21600 | 33500 | 29599 | 40548 | 27045 | 32102 | 31254 | 31728 |
| 567 |  | 30.26 | 45 | 22300 | 33500 | 28575 | 45364 | 26032 | 30224 | 29239 | 31114 |
| 568 | 569 | 30.13 | 45 | 23000 | 33500 | 29028 | 45839 | 25762 | 30661 | 29734 | 31435 |
| 569 | 570 | 30.30 | 45 | 22900 | 33500 | 30393 | 37440 | 28293 | 31165 | 30647 | 31261 |

## L1: LIST OF VOLUMES AND SPEEDS FOR UPDATED LINKS

Purpose<br>This table presents the assignments from each of the iterations. The reviewer can analyze the stability of the assignment.

How to Use
These tables can be used to check length and capacity coding for each link and evaluate the stability of each link assignment. If the volume and/or speed on a link are fluctuating greatly from iteration to iteration, the particular assignment is very sensitive to small changes.

| TAbLE L1 |  |  |  |  |  | LIST Of | VOLUME | S AND S | SPEEDS F | OR UPDATED LINKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANCOE | BNOOE | DIST | FC | CAPACITY | VOL 1 SPD 1 | VOL 2 SPD 2 | VOL 3 SPD | VOL 4 | VOL 5 SPD 5 | VOL 6 SPD 6 |  |
| 301 | 307 | 2.08 | $E$ | 7200 | $\begin{array}{r} 549 \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 536 \\ 43.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 545 \\ 43.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 544 \\ 43.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 545 \\ 43.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 544 \\ 42.9 \end{array}$ | $\cdots \cdots$ |
| 304 | 402 | 0.22 | 1 | 49000 | $\begin{array}{r} 21447 \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20164 \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20176 \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19958 \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20129 \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20307 \\ 60.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllllll}0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4\end{array}$ |
| 305 | 402 | 0.39 | C | 26900 | $\begin{array}{r} 17415 \\ 54.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16092 \\ 57.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16053 \\ 57.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15833 \\ 58.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16002 \\ 58.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16204 \\ 57.1 \end{array}$ | 0.60 .600 .60 .60 .600 .6 |
| 305 | 306 | 1.71 | c | 26900 | $\begin{array}{r} 17425 \\ 54.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17174 \\ 58.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16065 \\ 58.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16969 \\ 58.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16011 \\ 58.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16600 \\ 57.6 \end{array}$ | 0.60 .60 .60 .60 .60 .6 |
| 306 | 316 | 0.49 | C | 29500 | $\begin{array}{r} 19173 \\ 55.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17686 \\ 58.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17818 \\ 58.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17501 \\ 58.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17693 \\ 58.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17901 \\ 58.8 \end{array}$ | 0.60 .60 .60 .60 .60 .60 .6 |
| 306 | 307 | 0.69 | $E$ | 7200 | $\begin{array}{r} 929 \\ 39.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 916 \\ 43.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 925 \\ 43.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 924 \\ 43.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 925 \\ 43.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 924 \\ 42.7 \end{array}$ | 0.10 .10 .110 .10 .10 .1 |
| 306 | 321 | 0.38 | $E$ | 4000 | $\begin{aligned} & 2543 \\ & 35.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1320 \\ & 37.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2552 \\ & 38.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1332 \\ & 37.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2481 \\ & 38.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2101 \\ & 37.4 \end{aligned}$ | 0.60 .30 .60 .300 .60 .5 |
| 308 | 309 | 1.44 | $E$ | 7200 | $\begin{aligned} & 2268 \\ & 49.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3587 \\ & 54.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3563 \\ & 54.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3784 \\ & 54.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3613 \\ & 54.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3432 \\ & 53.3 \end{aligned}$ | 0.30 .50 .50 .50 .50 .50 .5 |
| 309 | 310 | 0.82 | $E$ | 7200 | $\begin{aligned} & 2201 \\ & 50.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3520 \\ & 54.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3496 \\ & 54.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3717 \\ & 54.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3546 \\ & 54.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3365 \\ & 53.5 \end{aligned}$ | 0.30 .50 .50 .50 .50 .5 |
| 310 | 311 | 1.27 | $E$ | 7200 | $\begin{array}{r} 404 \\ 39.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 412 \\ 43.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 245 \\ 43.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 269 \\ 43.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 256 \\ 43.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 302 \\ 42.8 \end{array}$ | 0.10 .10 .00 .00 .00 .0 |
| 310 | 415 | 2.09 | $E$ | 7200 | $\begin{aligned} & 2654 \\ & 44.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4233 \\ & 48.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4068 \\ & 48.4 \end{aligned}$ | 4297 | $\begin{aligned} & 4130 \\ & 48.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3945 \\ & 47.9 \end{aligned}$ | $0.40 .60 .6 \quad 0.60 .60 .5$ |
| 310 | 312 | 0.98 | $E$ | 7200 | $\begin{array}{r} 217 \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 503 \\ 43.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 495 \\ 43.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 513 \\ 43.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 500 \\ 43.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 460 \\ 42.9 \end{array}$ | 0.00 .10 .10 .100 .10 .1 |
| 311 | 417 | 0.50 | E | 7200 | $\begin{array}{r} 253 \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 241 \\ 43.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \\ 43.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103 \\ 43.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \\ 43.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 145 \\ 42.9 \end{array}$ | $0.00 .0 \quad 0.0 \quad 0.0 \quad 0.00 .0$ |
| 312 | 313 | 1.22 | $E$ | 7200 | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1388 \\ & 43.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1396 \\ & 43.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1378 \\ & 43.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1391 \\ & 43.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1194 \\ & 43.1 \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 .20 .20 .20 .20 .2 |
| 313 | 314 | 0.18 | $E$ | 8200 | $\begin{array}{r} 330 \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1852 \\ & 43.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1634 \\ & 43.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1616 \\ & 43.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1629 \\ & 43.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1466 \\ & 43.2 \end{aligned}$ | 0.00 .20 .20 .20 .20 .2 |
| 313 | 320 | 0.90 | $E$ | 5600 | $\begin{array}{r} 314 \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 540 \\ 43.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 314 \\ 43.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 314 \\ 43.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 314 \\ 43.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 348 \\ 42.9 \end{array}$ | 0.10 .10 .10 .10 .10 .1 |

## SELECTED LINKS

## CUTOFF PARAMETERS

## Purpose This table appears when using an "all-or-nothing" assignment and will not appear with the usual capacity-restraint output. This is a printout of input values.

The intent of the input is to reduce the amount of printed output zonal interchange volumes. For a given node, the most restrictive of the three controls will apply. Either no more than a certain percent of the zonal interchanges on a given link will be printed, interchanges with a volume less than the cutoff will not be printed, or no more than a certain number of zonal interchanges will be printed.

How to Read
ANODE is one end of a link.
BNODE is the other end of the link.
PER CENT (.GT.) is the value of the cutoff parameter.
VOLUME (.LT.) is the value of the cutoff parameter.
ZONE PAIRS (.GT.) is the value of the cutoff parameter.

How to Use This output is simply a reference to document certain inputs.

| SELECT | d Link | cut off parameters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANOOE | BNOOE | PER CENT (.GT.) | VOLUME (.LT.) | ZONE PAIRS (.GT.) |
| 789 | 822 | 25 |  | 32767 |
| 850 | 851 | 100 | 32767 | 32767 |
| 534 | 610 | 100 | 0 | 15 |

## SELECTED LINKS <br> TRIP INTERCHANGES LOADED ON LINK

Purpose $\quad$ This table lists the zones whose trips traverse certain links.

How to Read TRIP INTERCHANGES LOADED ON LINK is the link under consideration.
AZONE is one zone of a pair with trips on the link.
BZONE is the other zone of a pair with trips on the link.
TOTAL is the total number of two-way trips between the two zones on the link under consideration.
AZONE-BZONE is the number of assigned trips from zone $A$ to zone $B$ on the link under consideration.
BZONE-AZONE is the number of assigned trips from zone $B$ to zone A on the link under consideration.

How to Use This output can be checked to determine whether the placement of zonal interchanges on certain links seems reasonable.

## TYLER 85-85-1

DEC 16, 1988
TRIP INTERCHANGES LOADED ON LINK 789822,822789

| AZONE | BZONE | ZONE TO ZONE TRIPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | AZONE-BZONE | bzone-azone |
| 94 | 79 | 1151 | 576 | 575 |
| 92 | 38 | 826 | 413 | 413 |
| 94 | 38 | 765 | 382 | 383 |
| 35 | 79 | 743 | 372 | 371 |
| 97 | 38 | 642 | 321 | 321 |
| 94 | 91 | 624 | 312 | 312 |
| 92 | 53 | 586 | 293 | 293 |
| 240 | 229 | 520 | 260 | 260 |
| 94 | 53 | 452 | 227 | 225 |
| 94 | 229 | 439 | 220 | 219 |
| 35 | 91 | 420 | 210 | 210 |
| 35 | 53 | 418 | 209 | 209 |
| 92 | 70 | 413 | 207 | 206 |
| 94 | 237 | 403 | 201 | 202 |
| 247 | 234 | 400 | 200 | 200 |
| 92 | 48 | 350 | 175 | 175 |
| 92 | 52 | 348 | 174 | 174 |
| 97 | 53 | 340 | 170 | 170 |
| 30 | 79 | 329 | 165 | 164 |
| 36 | 79 | 328 | 164 | 164 |
| 94 | 70 | 322 | 161 | 161 |
| 97 | 70 | 322 | 161 | 161 |
| 92 | 37 | 315 | 158 | 157 |
| 247 | 237 | 310 | 155 | 155 |
| 92 | 45 | 307 | 154 | 153 |
| 35 | 237 | 295 | 148 | 147 |
| 92 | 73 | 285 | 142 | 143 |



## WINDOWING

## S1: INPUT EXTERNAL STATION LINKS

Purpose This table is part of the "Windowing" output. It lists the links intersected by the cordon line used to define the windowed area.

How to Read Each row of the output lists the link node endpoints.

How to Use
The analyst can check the listed links to insure that the cordon line was drawn as intended. The external station links must be selected so that a centroid does not become an external station. To insure that a centroid does not become an external station, the cordon line must intersect a centroid connector such that the centroid is inside the cordoned subarea.

The last single number (one node or centroid number) in a row tells the computer which side of the cordoned area to use (i.e., inside or outside).

## Input external station links

| 472 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 465 | 1 |
| 464 | 475 |
| 476 | 2 |
| 477 | 478 |
| 485 | 3 |
| 484 | 483 |
| 486 | 497 |
| 496 | 4 |
| 510 | 4 |
| 500 | 499 |
| 609 | 608 |
| 531 | 5 |
| 532 | 501 |
| 613 | 12 |
| 628 | 12 |
| 627 | 615 |
| 625 | 626 |
| 624 | 502 |
| 624 | 11 |
| 623 | 622 |
| 658 | 10 |
| 659 | 661 |
| 670 | 9 |
| 668 | 9 |
| 666 | 665 |
| 667 | 8 |
| 471 | 473 |
| 6 |  |

## WINDOWING

## S2: NODE TYPES FOUND FROM EXTERNAL STATION LINKS

Purpose | This table describes the relationship of the nodes to the windowed |
| :--- |
| area. |

| How to Read |
| :--- |
| This table is a matrix-chart. There are fifty entries per row. The top <br> or horizontal axis scale is numbered in increments of five to assist in <br> determining the number of the node in each of the fifty columns. By <br> using the codes printed immediately above the horizontal scale, one <br> can determine the relationship of any node to the windowed portion <br> of the network. |
| E: denotes an external station node. |
| I: denotes a node inside the subarea. |
| N: denotes a node not connected to the network. |
| O: denotes a node outside the subarea. |

How to Use $\quad$| The table can be reviewed to make sure the windowed area was coded |
| :--- |
| as intended. | as intended.

TABLE S2 NODE TYPES FOUND FROM EXTERNAL STATION LINKS

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 51 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
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70 NOOES/ZONES NOT CONNECTED IN NETWORK
4 NODES/ZONES IN SUBAREA
57 NCOES/ZONES OUTSIDE OF SUBAREA
HODES/ZONES UNDETERMINED

## WINDOWING

## S3: RENUMBERED SUBAREA CENTROIDS AND EXTERNAL STATIONS

Purpose

How to Read

How to Use

This table lists the renumbering which occurred as a result of the windowing.

NEW ZONE is the zone number of the "windowed" network. OLD ZONE is the zone number of the "regular" network.

This table is used to relate the windowed output to the regular network numbering scheme.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEW | OLD | NEW | OLD | NEU | OLD | NEU | OLD | NEW | OLO | NEW | OLD | NEW | OLD | NEW | OLD |
| ZONE | ZONE | ZONE | ZONE | 20NE | ZONE | ZONE | ZONE | ZONE | ZONE | ZONE | ZONE | ZONE | ZONE | ZONE | ZONE |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | $13 E$ | 464 | 14 E | 465 | 15E | 471 | 16E | 472 |
| $17 E$ | 476 | 18 E | 477 | 19 E | 484 | 20 E | 485 | 21E | 486 | 22 E | 496 | $23 E$ | 500 | $24 E$ | 510 |
| $25 E$ | 531 | 26E | 532 | 27 E | 609 | 28 E | 613 | 29 E | 623 | 30 E | 624 | $31 E$ | 625 | 32E | 627 |
| 33 E | 628 | 34 E | 658 | 35 E | 659 | $36 E$ | 666 | $37 E$ | 667 | 38 E | 668 | 39 E | 670 |  |  |

## WINDOWING

## S4: TRIPS BY NUMBER OF CORDON CROSSINGS

Purpose $\quad$ This output tells how many trips crossed the windowing cordon line and tells the trip origin with respect to the cordon line.

How to Read

NUMBER OF CORDON CROSSINGS indicates how many times the trips on that row crossed the cordon line.
TRIPS FOR ORIGIN INSIDE is the number of trips with their origin inside of the cordon line.
TRIPS FOR ORIGIN OUTSIDE is the number of trips with an origin outside of the cordon line.

How to Use This output tells the travel activity with respect to the cordon.

| TABLE S4 | TRIPS BY NUMBER OF CORDON CROSSINGS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NUMBER OF CORDON CROSSINGS | TRIPS FOR ORIGIM IMSIDE | TRIPS FOR ORIGIN OUTSIDE |
|  | 0 1 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 6724 . \\ 41335 . \\ 273 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 465076 . \\ 41224 . \\ 7317 . \end{array}$ |

cumulative time $=0.0357$ minutes time since last query $=0.0273$ minutes
sstop
message summary: message number - count
$201 \quad 1$

## FOCUSING

## SUBAREA SECTOR EQUALS

Purpose This table lists the sector centroids and also the centroids which are equated to the sector centroids.

How to Read The numbers to the right of the EQUAL on this table may specify ranges of centroids by placing the beginning of the range on the card in one field and immediately following this field by a field with the last centroid of the range with a minus sign in front of the centroid number.

How to Use
Trees will not be built for centroids equated to sector centroids. The exception to this is that trees will be built for all zones equated to the same zone (sector centroids). An entry is generated for each sector centroid, and if it is additionally equated to itself, a warning message will be printed.

## TYLER 85-85-1

## subarea sector equals

```
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
58 EQUAL & 59 & -61 & 64 & 65 & 215 & 216 & \\
71 EQUAL & 57 & 62 & 63 & 66 & -70 & 72 & 219 \\
73 EQUAL. & 74 & 78 & -80 & 91 & & & \\
75 EQUAL & 75 & & & & & & \\
81 EQUAL. & 76 & 77 & 82 & & & & \\
86 EQUAL & 83 & 84 & 87 & & & & \\
97 EQUAL & 88 & -90 & 92 & -96 & 98 & & \\
100 EQUAL & 85 & 99 & 101 & -103 & 105 & 109 & \\
107 EQUAL & 104 & 106 & 108 & 111 & -113 & & \\
114 EQUAL & 110 & 115 & 116 & & & & \\
119 EQUAL & 117 & 118 & 120 & 122 & 131 & 220 & \\
128 EQUAL & 121 & 123 & 127 & 129 & 130 & 140 & 141 \\
136 & EQUAL & 133 & -135 & 137 & & & \\
139 EQUAL & 132 & 138 & 152 & & & \\
151 EQUAL & 148 & -150 & 154 & 158 & 159 & 161 & \\
155 EQUAL & 153 & 156 & 157 & & & & \\
162 EQUAL & 160 & 163 & 170 & & & & \\
173 EQUAL & 171 & 172 & 174 & & & 189 & \\
187 EQUAL & 185 & 186 & 188 & 189 & 197 & 198 & \\
193 & EQUAL & 190 & -192 & 194 & -196 & & \\
201 EQUAL & 200 & 203 & 204 & 214 & & & \\
218 EQUAL & 202 & 217 & & & & &
\end{tabular}
%
sstop
message summary: message number - count
    201 252
```


## FOCUSING

## E1: CENTROID TO SECTOR EQUIVALENCES

Purpose This table lists the internal and external zone numbers. The sector centroids and also the centroids which are equated to the sector centroids are listed in this table.

How to Read

How to Use

The numbers to the left of the TO on this table indicate all zone numbers of centroids. The numbers to the right of the TO on this table specify either the zone centroids or the sector centroids. If the zone centroids are within the defined subarea or in the transition ring area, the numbers remain the same as the zone numbers. If the zone centroids are located in the outside of the transition ring area, the zone numbers to the left of the TO are aggregated to the sector centroids indicated to the right of the TO on this table.

Trees will not be built for centroids equated to sector centroids. The exception to this is that trees will be built for all zones equated to the same zone (sector centroids). An entry is generated for each sector centroid, and if it is additionally equated to itself, a warning message will be printed.


## FRATAR

## \$SUM TRIP ENDS (for base year)

Purpose This table lists the existing trips by zone. If the Fratar model is used only for external trips, then the listing will be for the external thru trips. This table facilitates checking the input.

How to Read

How to Use

ZONE NO. is the centroid node number of the zone.
NO. TRIPS ENTERING is the input number of trips from this zone entering the study area.
NO. TRIPS EXITING is the input number of trips leaving the study area to this zone.
NO. INTRAZONAL is the number of trips within a zone.
NO. TRIP ENDS is the sum of trips entering and trips exiting.
NO. ZONES ENTERING is the number of zones with nonzero interchanges.
NO. ZONES EXITING is the number of zones with nonzero interchanges.

The analyst should check this output to verify the input data. If the Fratar model is being used only for external trip analysis, then the entries for all zones other than the external should be zero.

|  | fratar tyler 85-85-1 external thru |  |  |  |  | DEC 16, 1988 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ZONE } \\ \text { NO. } \end{gathered}$ | NO.TRIPS ENTERING | NO.TRIPS exiting | NO. INTRAZONAL | NO. <br> TRIP ENDS | NO.ZONES ENTERING | NO. ZONES <br> EXITING |
|  | 208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 |
|  | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 215 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 221 | 760 | 760 | 0 | 1520 | 20 | 18 |
|  | 222 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 223 | 181 | 181 | 0 | 362 | 22 | 23 |
|  | 224 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
|  | 225 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 42 | 13 | 12 |
|  | 226 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 227 | 77 | 78 | 0 | 155 | 11 | 13 |
|  | 228 | 674 | 674 | 0 | 1348 | 18 | 19 |
|  | 229 | 121 | 121 | 0 | 242 | 23 | 22 |
|  | 230 | 1 | 1 9 | 0 | 2818 | 1 9 | 1 9 |
| ↔ | 231 | 51 | 51 | 0 | 18 102 | 9 | 9 |
|  | 233 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 42 | 9 | 10 |
|  | 234 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 174 | 21 | 22 |
|  | 235 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 5 |
|  | 236 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 28 | 8 | 7 |
|  | 237 | 69 | 69 | 0 | 138 | 13 | 15 |
|  | 238 | 107 | 107 | 0 | 214 | 11 | 11 |
|  | 239 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 9 |
|  | 240 | 195 | 194 | 0 | 389 | 20 | 21 |
|  | 241 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 36 | 11 | 10 |
|  | 242 | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 243 | 75 | 75 | 0 | 150 | 18 | 20 |
|  | 244 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 245 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 76 | 8 | 7 |
|  | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 247 | 132 | 132 | 0 | 264 | 19 | 19 |
|  | 248 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 6 |
|  | 249 | 73 | 74 | 0 | 147 | 18 | 17 |
|  | 250 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 24 | 7 | $?$ |
|  | 251 252 | 10 | 1 | 0 | $2{ }^{2}$ | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTALS | 2796 | 2796 | 0 | 5592 |  |  |

## FRATAR

## D1: CONVERGENCE DISTRIBUTION AT THE END OF ITERATION


#### Abstract

Purpose The Fratar model employs growth factors to multiply present trips in order to estimate future trips. The nature of the Fratar model is such that the predicted trips from A to $B$ may not equal the number of trips from $B$ to $A$. Successive iterations are used to make the model converge toward agreement. This output indicates the level of agreement.


How to Read FACTOR is the ratio of estimated to actual trips.
NUMBER is the number of zones with a given factor.

How to Use Most of the zones should have a factor of near 1.0 after the final iteration. If there are very many zones with low or high factors, the model is not properly converging. The input trip interchange table and growth factors should then be reviewed.

TABLE 01(9)

|  |  | FACTOR | NLMBER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | less than | 0.90 | 4 |
|  |  | 0.90 | 1 |
|  |  | 0.91 | 1 |
|  |  | 0.92 | 2 |
|  |  | 0.93 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.94 | 2 |
|  |  | 0.95 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.96 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.97 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.98 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.99 | 0 |
|  |  | 1.00 | 231 |
| N |  | 1.01 | 5 |
|  |  | 1.02 | 2 |
|  |  | 1.03 | 1 |
|  |  | 1.04 | 0 |
|  |  | 1.05 | 0 |
|  |  | 1.06 | 1 |
|  |  | 1.07 | 0 |
|  |  | 1.08 | 0 |
|  |  | 1.08 | 0 |
|  |  | 1.10 | 0 |
|  | greater than | 1.10 | 2 |

## FRATAR <br> \$SUM TRIP ENDS (for future year)

Purpose This table lists the projected trips by zone. If the Fratar model is used only for external trips, then the listing will be for the external thru trips. This table facilitates checking the input.

How to Read

How to Use

ZONE NO. is the centroid node number of the zone.
NO. TRIPS ENTERING is the projected number of trips from this zone entering the study area.
NO. TRIPS EXITING is the projected number of trips leaving the study area to this zone.
NO. INTRAZONAL is the number of trips within a zone.
NO. TRIP ENDS is the sum of trips entering and trips exiting.
NO. ZONES ENTERING is the number of zones with nonzero interchanges.
NO. ZONES EXITING is the number of zones with nonzero interchanges.

This table gives the projected number of trips to and from the external zones. The values should be input to the appropriate zones for running the assignment models.
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZONE } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | NO.TRIPS ENTERING | NO.TRIPS EXITING | NO. INTRAZONAL | ${ }_{\text {TRIP }}^{\text {NONDS }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O |
| 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 215 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 221 | 1122 | 1122 | 0 | 2244 |
| 222 | 1 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{2}$ |
| 223 | 276 | 276 | 0 | 552 |
| 224 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 15 |
| 225 | 39 | 38 | 0 | 77 |
| 226 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 22 |
| 227 | 117 | 119 | 0 | 236 |
| 228 | 965 | 965 | 0 | 1930 |
| 229 | 179 | 177 | 0 | 356 |
| 230 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 231 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 21 |
| 232 | 77 | 76 | 0 | 153 |
| 233 | 28 | 29 | 0 | 57 |
| 234 | 122 | 121 | 0 | 243 |
| 235 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 23 |
| 236 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 54 |
| 237 | 88 | 89 | 0 | 177 |
| 238 | 135 | 136 | 0 | 271 |
| 239 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 38 |
| 240 | 248 | 250 | 0 | 498 |
| 241 | 29 | 28 | 0 | 57 |
| 242 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 243 | 120 | 120 | 0 | 240 |
| 244 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 9 |
| 245 | 56 | 55 | 0 | 111 |
| 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 247 | 171 | 170 | 0 | 341 |
| 248 | 113 | 15 | 0 | 28 |
| 249 | 113 | 114 | 0 | 227 |
| 250 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 38 |
| 251 252 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 2 |
| 252 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 24. |
| totals | 4026 | 4026 | 0 | 8052 |

DEC 16, 1988

| NO. ZONES Entering | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO. ZONES } \\ & \text { EXITING } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 20 | ${ }_{18}^{0}$ |
| 12 | 1 |
| 7 | 7 |
| 13 | 12 |
| 11 | 13 |
| 18 | 12 |
| 23 | 22 |
| 9 | 9 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 21 | 22 |
| ${ }_{8}^{6}$ | $\stackrel{5}{7}$ |
| 13 | 15 |
| 11 | ${ }^{11}$ |
| 20 | 21 |
| 11 | ${ }_{2}^{10}$ |
| 18 | 20 |
| 3 | 2 |
| ${ }_{0}^{8}$ | ? |
| 19 | 19 |
| 18 | 17 |
| 7 | ? |
| 7 | 6 |


[^0]:    - Si is the symbol for the Internalional System of Measurements

[^1]:    A low coefficient of determination indicates that the assigned volumes on that particular group of links do not match well with the counted volumes. The counted volumes and the final-output assigned volumes for each facility group should agree within $\pm 10 \%$.

[^2]:    VOLUME RANGE lists the minimum and maximum volumes in that particular grouping.
    AVERAGE GROUND COUNT PER LINK is the average counted volume of those links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
    AVERAGE ASSIGNED VOLUME PER LINK is the average predicted volume of those links which fall into the category listed in a particular row.
    NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS lists the number of links in the particular volume range.
    INTERCEPT is the $\beta_{0}$ value or the value of the $y$-axis intercept of the regression equation.
    SLOPE is the $\beta_{1}$ value or the slope of the regression equation.
    COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION (R) indicates the level of a linear relationship between two variables with 0.0 being no relationship and 1.0 a perfect relationship.
    COEFFICIENT OF DETERMINATION ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) indicates the proportion of total variability that is explained by the model with 1.0 being a perfect model.
    ROOT MEAN SQUARE is the root mean square error used to estimate the standard deviation of the dependent variable.
    PERCENT ROOT MEAN SQUARE ERROR is the root mean square error expressed as a percent of average ground count per link.

