Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. FHWA/TX-07/5-5123-01-1	2. Governmen	nt Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	0.		
4. Title and Subtitle TYPE F MIX DESIGN FOR	FORT WORTH		5. Report Date Published: Augu	st 2007		
			6. Performing Organizati	on Code		
7. Author(s) Fujie Zhou and Tom Scullion	l		8. Performing Organizat Report 5-5123-01			
9. Performing Organization Name and Add Texas Transportation Institute			10. Work Unit No. (TRA	IS)		
The Texas A&M University S College Station, Texas 77843	•		11. Contract or Grant No Project 5-5123-0			
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Texas Department of Transpo Research and Technology Im	ortation	ce	13. Type of Report and P Technical Report February 2007-A	: ugust 2007		
P. O. Box 5080 Austin, Texas 78763-5080			14. Sponsoring Agency C	Code		
 15. Supplementary Notes Project performed in cooperate Administration. Project Title: Implementation URL: http://tti.tamu.edu/documentation 	of Thin Lift Type	e F HMAC Mix De		he Federal Highway		
16. Abstract	<u>intents/5-5125-01-</u>	<u>-1.pur</u>				
This report documents t	he Type F mix desi	gn for Pumphrey D	rive, Westworth Vi	illage, Fort Worth.		
17. Key Words Mix Design, Overlay Tester,	Hamburg	18. Distribution Statement No restrictions. This document is available to the public				
		through NTIS: National Technical Information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161 http://www.ntis.gov				
19. Security Classif.(of this report)	20. Security Classif.(of th		21. No. of Pages	22. Price		
Unclassified	Unclassified		14			

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

Reproduction of completed page authorized

TYPE F MIX DESIGN FOR FORT WORTH

by

Fujie Zhou, Ph.D., P.E. Assistant Research Engineer Texas Transportation Institute

and

Tom Scullion, P.E. Senior Research Engineer Texas Transportation Institute

Report 5-5123-01-1 Project 5-5123-01 Project Title: Implementation of Thin Lift Type F HMAC Mix Design

> Performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration

> > Published: August 2007

TEXAS TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843-3135

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view or policies of the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) or the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. The engineer in charge was Dr. Fujie Zhou, P.E. (Texas, # 95969).

There is no invention or discovery conceived or first actually reduced to practice in the course of or under this contract, including any art, method, process, machine, manufacture, design or composition of matter, or any new useful improvement thereof, or any variety of plant, which is or may be patentable under the patent laws of the United States of America or any foreign country.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was made possible by the Texas Department of Transportation in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. The authors thank the many personnel who contributed to the coordination and accomplishment of the work presented herein. Special thanks are extended to Richard Williammee, P.E., for serving as the implementation director.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	I	Page
Chapter 1.	Type F Latex Mixture Design	1
Chapter 2.	Type F Crumb Rubber Mixture Design	5

CHAPTER 1 TYPE F LATEX MIXTURE DESIGN

Mixture Design Summary: Type F Mix with 3 % Latex

Date: 5/21/2007

Project:	Pumphrey Drive, Westworth Village
From/To:	SH183 north to Entrance to Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base
CSJ:	N/A
Mixture type:	Type F Granite
Aggregates:	Martin Marietta Materials, Mill Creek, OK Producer Code 0050433 Surface Aggregate Class (SAC) – A
Stockpiles:	F-Rock55 %Screenings:45 %
Asphalt:	Valero PG64-22 plus 3 % UP7814 Anionic SBR Polymer (70 % min. Solid)
Antistripping agent:	1% Akzo Nobel, Kling-Beta 2550
Optimum asphalt content:	6.8 % based on 3.5 % design air voids, overlay tester, and Hamburg test results

Mixture properties at optimum asphalt content are:

VMA:	18.8 %
Bulk specific gravity:	2.317
Max. specific gravity:	2.399
Boil test, Tex-530-C:	No visual stripping
Overlay test, Tex-248F:	>1200 cycles
Hamburg test, Tex-242F:	10.5 mm @ 20,000 passes
	(meets PG76-22 requirement)

Design sheets are presented on following pages.

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

HMACP MIXTURE DESIGN : COMBINED GRADATION

Refresh Workbook					File Version: (6/15/06 15:19:42		
SAMPLE ID:			SAMPLE I	DATE:				
LOT NUMBER:			LETTING [DATE:				
STATUS:			CONTROLLING	G CSJ:				
COUNTY:			SPEC	YEAR:	2004			
SAMPLED BY:			SPEC	ITEM:	Type F			
SAMPLE LOCATION:			SPECIAL PROVI	ISION:				
MATERIAL:	Type F mix		MIX.	TYPE:	Other			
PRODUCER:								
AREA ENGINEER:			PROJECT MANA	AGER:				
COURSE\LIFT:		STATION:		DIS	ST. FROM CL:		CONTRACTOR DESIGN # :	

	BIN FRACTIONS																			
	Binl	No.1	Bin	No.2	Bin I	No.3	Bin	No.4	Bin	No.5	Bin N	lo.6	Bin I	No.7						
Aggregate Source:																				
Aggregate Number:	FR	ock	Scree	eings																
Sample ID:																	Combi	ned Gra	dation	
Rap?, Asphalt%:															Total Bin					
Individual Bin (%):	55.0	Percent	45.0	Percent	0.0	Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent	100.0%	Lower	& Upper			
Sieve Size:	Cum.% Passing	Wtd Cum. %	Cum.% Passing		Cum. % Passing	Speci	fication nits	Within Spec's	Restricted Zone	Within Spec's										
1"	100.0	55.0	100.0	45.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Yes		
3/4"	100.0	55.0	100.0	45.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Yes		
1/2"	100.0	55.0	100.0	45.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Yes		
3/8"	98.8	54.3	100.0	45.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	99.3	98.0	100.0	Yes		
No. 4	52.6	28.9	92.6	41.7		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	70.6	70.0	90.0	Yes		
No. 8	20.6	11.3	72.9	32.8		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	44.1	40.0	65.0	Yes		
No. 16	9.8	5.4	55.3	24.9		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	30.3	20.0	45.0	Yes		
No. 30	4.5	2.5	40.7	18.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	20.8	10.0	30.0	Yes		
No. 50	2.4	1.3	27.7	12.5		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	13.8	10.0	20.0	Yes		
No. 200	1.1	0.6	11.8	5.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	5.9	2.0	10.0	Yes		
#Not within specifications	s #No	t cumulat	ive																•	
Asphalt Source &	Grade:	Valero	PG 64-	22 plus	; 3% Lat	ex (70%	% Solid)	Binde	r Perce	nt, (%):	6.8	Asph	nalt Spec	: Grav.:	1.025]				
Antistripping	Agent:	Liquid	Antistrip)					Percer	nt, (%):	1									

Antistripping Agen	t.
	_

Test Method:	Tested By:		Te	sted Date:
TX207				
TX226				
TX227				
TX235				
TX242				
TX530				
Reviewed By:		Comple	ted Date:	
Authorized By:		Authoriz	ed Date:	

2

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

HMACP MIXTURE DESIGN : SUMMARY SHEET

			File Version: 06/15/06 15:19:42	_
SAMPLE ID:		SAMPLE DATE:		
LOT NUMBER:		LETTING DATE:		
STATUS:		CONTROLLING CSJ:		
COUNTY:		SPEC YEAR:	2004	
SAMPLED BY:		SPEC ITEM:	Type F	
SAMPLE LOCATION:		SPECIAL PROVISION:		*
MATERIAL:	Type F mix	MIX TYPE:	Other	
PRODUCER:				T
AREA ENGINEER:		PROJECT MANAGER:		
COURSE\LIFT:	STATION:	DIST. F	ROM CL:	CONTRACTOR DESIGN # :

Target	t Density: 96	6.5 Percent	I						
Number of G	Syrations: 5	50	-				Mixture Evalua	tion @ Optimum A	sphalt Content
								Hamburg Whee	el Tracking Test
Asphalt Content (%)	Specific Gravity Of Specimen (Ga)	Maximum Specific Gravity (Gr)	Effective Gravity (Ge)	Theo. Max. Specific Gravity (Gt)	Density from Gt (Percent)	VMA (Percent)	Indirect Tensile Strength (psi)	Number of cycles	Rut depth (mm)
6.0	2.249	2.441	2.677	2.428	92.6	20.5			
6.5	2.308	2.410	2.660	2.410	95.8	18.9			
7.0	2.326	2.388	2.654	2.393	97.2	18.7			
7.5	2.313	2.369	2.651	2.376	97.3	19.6			
			0.000	0.000		0.0			

Effective Specific Gravity:	2.660

Estimated Percent of Stripping, %:

Optimum Asphalt Content :	6.8
VMA @ Optimum AC:	18.8
Interpolated Values	
Specific Gravity (Ga):	2.317
Max. Specific Gravity (Gr):	2.399
Theo. Max. Specific Gravity (Gt):	2.401

Estimated referred Salpping, %

	 	 	 		 		 	 	 	 	 .,		 			 	 					 	 	 .,		 	 	 	 	 	 	 	 	
ļ.		 	 					 	 		 ł					 	 		ļ.			 	 	 ł		 	 	 	 	 	 	 	 	
											į								Į.					į										
																			ĺ															
											ì								í					ì										
			 	•••					 		 1	-			•••			•	ŀ	•••		 		 9	-			 	 • •	 • •	 	 	 	
			 						 		 i		 	•					ŀ					 i		 		 	 	 	 			
											j								i.					j										

Remarks:

3

CHAPTER 2

TYPE F CRUMB RUBBER MIXTURE DESIGN

Mixture Design Summary: Type F Mix with Crumb Rubber

Date: 7/9/2007

Project:	Pumphrey Drive, Westworth Village
From/To:	SH183 north to Entrance to Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base
CSJ:	N/A
Mixture type:	Type F Granite
Aggregates:	Martin Marietta Materials, Mill Creek, OK Producer Code 0050433 Surface Aggregate Class (SAC) – A
Stockpiles:	F-Rock55 %Screenings:45 %
Asphalt:	Valero PG64-22 plus 7 % Crumb Rubber from Bridges Pavement Solution Inc.
Antistripping agent:	N/A
Optimum asphalt content:	6.8 % based on overlay tester and Hamburg test results
Mixture properties at optimu	m asphalt content are:

Max. specific gravity:	2.398
Overlay test, Tex-248F:	>1200 cycles
Hamburg test, Tex-242F:	<12.5 mm @ 20,000 passes
	(meets PG76-22 requirement)

Special note: Special instruction for mix design has been provided by Bridges Pavement Solutions Inc. and this instruction should be followed during mix production in the plant. Otherwise, the performance of this mix may change.

The detailed aggregate gradation sheet is presented on the following page.

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

HMACP MIXTURE DESIGN : COMBINED GRADATION

Refresh Workbook						File Version:	06/15/06 15:19:42		
SAMP	LE ID:			SAMPLE	DATE:			•	
LOT NUM	MBER:			LETTING	DATE:				
ST/	ATUS:			CONTROLLIN	G CSJ:			*	
COL	JNTY:			SPEC	YEAR:	2004			
SAMPLE	D BY:			SPEC	CITEM:	Type F			
SAMPLE LOCA	TION:			SPECIAL PRO\	/ISION:				
MATE	RIAL:	Type F mix		MIX	TYPE:	Other		*	
PRODU	JCER:								
AREA ENGI	NEER:			PROJECT MAN	AGER:				
COURSE\LIFT:			STATION:		DI	ST. FROM CL:		CONTRACTOR DESIGN # :	

						B	SIN FRA	CTION	s												
	Bin	No.1	Bin	No.2	Bin	No.3	Bin I	No.4	Bin	No.5	Bin N	lo.6	Bin N	No.7							
Aggregate Source:																					
Aggregate Number:	FR	ock	Scree	eings																	
Sample ID:																	Combir	ned Grad	dation		
Rap?, Asphalt%:															Total Bin						
Individual Bin (%):	55.0	Percent	45.0	Percent	0.0	Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent	100.0%	Lower	& Upper				
Sieve Size:	Cum.% Passing	Wtd Cum. %	Cum.% Passing	Wtd Cum. %	Cum.% Passing	Wtd Cum. %	Cum.% Passing	Wtd Cum. %	Cum.% Passing	Wtd Cum. %	Cum.% Passing	Wtd Cum. %	Cum.% Passing	Wtd Cum. %	Cum. % Passing	Specif Lin		Within Spec's	Restricte	d Zone	Within Spec's
1"	100.0	55.0	100.0	45.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Yes			
3/4"	100.0	55.0	100.0	45.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Yes			
1/2"	100.0	55.0	100.0	45.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Yes			
3/8"	98.8	54.3	100.0	45.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	99.3	98.0	100.0	Yes			
No. 4	52.6	28.9	92.6	41.7		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	70.6	70.0	90.0	Yes			
No. 8	20.6	11.3	72.9	32.8		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	44.1	40.0	65.0	Yes			
No. 16	9.8	5.4	55.3	24.9		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	30.3	20.0	45.0	Yes			
No. 30	4.5	2.5	40.7	18.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	20.8	10.0	30.0	Yes			
No. 50	2.4	1.3	27.7	12.5		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	13.8	10.0	20.0	Yes			
No. 200	1.1	0.6	11.8	5.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	5.9	2.0	10.0	Yes			
#Not within specifications	s <mark>#</mark> No	t cumulat	ive																		
Asphalt Source &	Grade:	Valero	PG 64-	22 plus	7% Cru	umb Ru	bber	Binde	r Perce	nt, (%):	6.8	Asph	nalt Spec	: Grav.:	1.025	ļ					
Antistripping	g Agent:	Liquid	Antistrip	D					Percer	nt, (%):	1										
Remarks:										_											

Fest Method:	Tested By:	Te	ested Date:
TX207			
TX226			
TX227			
TX235			
TX242			
TX530			
Reviewed By:		Completed Date:	
Authorized By:		Authorized Date:	-