



MASH TEST 3-11 EVALUATION OF MODIFIED TxDOT ROUND WOOD POST GUARDRAIL SYSTEM




ACCREDITED
ISO 17025 Laboratory
Testing Certificate # 2821.01

Crash testing performed at:
TTI Proving Ground
3100 SH 47, Building 7091
Bryan, TX 77807

Test Report 0-6968-R4

Cooperative Research Program

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS

in cooperation with the
Federal Highway Administration and the
Texas Department of Transportation
<http://tti.tamu.edu/documents/0-6968-R4.pdf>

1. Report No. FHWA/TX-18/0-6968-R4		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle MASH TEST 3-11 EVALUATION OF MODIFIED TXDOT ROUND WOOD POST GUARDRAIL SYSTEM				5. Report Date Published: June 2019	
				6. Performing Organization Code 469688-5-1	
7. Author(s) James C. Kovar, Roger P. Bligh, Bill L. Griffith, Darrell L. Kuhn, and Glenn E. Schroeder				8. Performing Organization Report No. Report 0-6968-R4	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Texas A&M Transportation Institute College Station, Texas 77843-3135				10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
				11. Contract or Grant No. Project 0-6968	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Texas Department of Transportation Research and Technology Implementation Office 125 E. 11th Street Austin, Texas 78701-2483				13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report: September 2017–August 2018	
				14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes Project performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration. Project Title: Roadside Safety Device Analysis, Testing, and Evaluation Program URL: http://tti.tamu.edu/documents/0-6968-R4.pdf					
16. Abstract <p>The purpose of the testing reported herein was to assess the performance of the Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System in soil according to the safety-performance evaluation guidelines included in American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' <i>Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)</i> for Test Level Three. The modification involved reducing the guardrail post embedment depth from 40 inches to 36 inches to reduce the soil resistance and decrease the force required to deflect the post through the soil. The crash test performed was in accordance with <i>MASH</i> Test 3-11 criteria, which involves a 2270P pickup truck impacting the barrier at a target impact speed and angle of 62 mi/h and 25°, respectively.</p> <p>The Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System in soil successfully contained and redirected the pickup truck. The barrier performed acceptably for <i>MASH</i> Test 3-11. The maximum dynamic deflection and permanent deformation were 44.1 inches and 37.0 inches, respectively.</p>					
17. Key Words Guardrail, Timber Post, Wood Post, 31-inch, Round Post, Crash Testing, Roadside Safety			18. Distribution Statement No restrictions. This document is available to the public through NTIS: National Technical Information Service Alexandria, Virginia http://www.ntis.gov		
19. Security Classif.(of this report) Unclassified		20. Security Classif.(of this page) Unclassified		21. No. of Pages 52	22. Price

MASH TEST 3-11 EVALUATION OF MODIFIED TXDOT ROUND WOOD POST GUARDRAIL SYSTEM

by

James C. Kovar
Associate Transportation Researcher
Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Roger P. Bligh, Ph.D., P.E.
Senior Research Engineer
Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Bill L. Griffith
Research Specialist
Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Darrell L. Kuhn, P.E.
Research Specialist
Texas A&M Transportation Institute

and

Glenn E. Schroeder
Research Specialist
Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Report 0-6968-R4
Project 0-6968

Project Title: Roadside Safety Device Analysis, Testing, and Evaluation Program

Performed in cooperation with the
Texas Department of Transportation
and the
Federal Highway Administration

Published: June 2019

TEXAS A&M TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE
College Station, Texas 77843-3135

DISCLAIMER

This research was performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official view or policies of the FHWA or TxDOT.

This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. This report is not intended for construction, bidding, or permit purposes. The researcher in charge of the project was James Kovar.

The United States Government and the State of Texas do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade of manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

TTI PROVING GROUND DISCLAIMER

The results reported herein apply only to the article being tested. The full-scale crash test was performed according to TTI Proving Ground quality procedures and according to the *MASH* guidelines and standards.

The Proving Ground Laboratory within the Texas A&M Transportation Institute's Roadside Safety and Physical Security Division ("TTI Lab" or "TTI LAB") strives for accuracy and completeness in its crash test reports. On rare occasions, unintentional or inadvertent clerical errors, technical errors, omissions, oversights, or misunderstandings (collectively referred to as "errors") may occur and may not be identified for corrective action prior to the final report being published and issued. When the TTI Lab discovers an error in a published and issued final report, the TTI Lab shall promptly disclose such error to Texas Department of Transportation, and both parties shall endeavor in good faith to resolve this situation. The TTI Lab will be responsible for correcting the error that occurred in the report, which may be in form of errata, amendment, replacement sections, or up to and including full reissuance of the report. The cost of correcting an error in the report shall be borne by TTI Lab. Any such errors or inadvertent delays that occur in connection with the performance of the related testing contract shall not constitute a breach of the testing contract.

THE TTI LAB SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR ANY INDIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, PUNITIVE, OR OTHER DAMAGES SUFFERED BY TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY, WHETHER SUCH LIABILITY IS BASED, OR CLAIMED TO BE BASED, UPON ANY NEGLIGENT ACT, OMISSION, ERROR, CORRECTION OF ERROR, DELAY, OR BREACH OF AN OBLIGATION BY THE TTI LAB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was conducted in cooperation with TxDOT and FHWA. The authors thank TxDOT for their guidance, assistance, and sponsorship of this research project. In particular, the authors thank Kenneth Mora and Christopher Lindsey with the Design Division, and Wade Odell with the Research and Technology Implementation Office, for their guidance and support during this research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	ix
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Problem.....	1
1.2 Background.....	1
1.3 Objective/Scope of Research.....	2
Chapter 2: Organization and Styles	3
2.1 Test Article and Installation Details.....	3
2.3 Soil Conditions.....	3
Chapter 3: Test Requirements and Evaluation Criteria	7
3.1. Crash Test Matrix.....	7
3.2. Evaluation Criteria.....	7
Chapter 4: Test Conditions	9
4.1 Test Facility.....	9
4.2 Vehicle Tow and Guidance System.....	9
4.3 Data Acquisition Systems.....	9
4.3.1 Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing.....	9
4.3.2 Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation.....	10
4.3.3 Photographic Instrumentation and Data Processing.....	11
Chapter 5: MASH Test 3-11 (Crash Test No. 469688-5-1)	13
5.1 Test Designation and Actual Impact Conditions.....	13
5.2 Weather Conditions.....	13
5.3 Test Vehicle.....	13
5.4 Test Description.....	14
5.5 Damage to Test Installation.....	15
5.6 Damage to Test Vehicle.....	16
5.7 Occupant Risk Factors.....	16
Chapter 6: Summary and Conclusions	19
6.1 Summary of Results.....	19
6.2 Conclusions.....	19
Chapter 7: Implementation Statement	21
References	23
Appendix A. Details of Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System	25
Appendix B. Soil Properties	27
Appendix C. MASH Test 3-11 (Crash Test No. 469688-5-1)	29
C.1 Vehicle Properties and Information.....	29
C.2 Sequential Photographs.....	33
C.3 Vehicle Angular Displacement.....	36
C.4 Vehicle Accelerations.....	37

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1.	Overall Details of the Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System.....	4
Figure 2.2.	Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System prior to Testing.....	5
Figure 5.1.	Guardrail/Test Vehicle Geometrics for Test No. 469688-5-1.	13
Figure 5.2.	Test Vehicle before Test No. 469688-5-1.....	14
Figure 5.3.	Barrier after Test No. 469688-5-1.	15
Figure 5.4.	Test Vehicle after Test No. 469688-5-1.	16
Figure 5.5.	Interior of Test Vehicle for Test No. 469688-5-1.....	16
Figure 5.7.	Summary of Results for <i>MASH</i> Test 3-11 on 31-inch Tall TxDOT Round Post Guardrail System.....	18
Figure C.1.	Sequential Photographs for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Overhead and Frontal Views).....	33
Figure C.2.	Sequential Photographs for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Rear View).....	35
Figure C.3.	Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 469688-5-1.	36
Figure C.4.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	37
Figure C.5.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	38
Figure C.6.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).	39
Figure C.7.	Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	40
Figure C.8.	Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	41
Figure C.9.	Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).	42

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3.1. Test Conditions and Evaluation Criteria Specified for <i>MASH</i> Test 3-11.	7
Table 3.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for <i>MASH</i> Test 3-11.....	8
Table 5.1. Events during Test No. 469688-5-1.....	14
Table 5.2. Occupant Risk Factors for Test No. 469688-5-1.....	17
Table 6.1. Performance Evaluation Summary for <i>MASH</i> Test 3-11 on Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System.	20
Table B.1. Summary of Strong Soil Test Results for Establishing Installation Procedure.	27
Table B.2. Test Day Static Soil Strength Documentation for Test No. 469688-5-1.	28
Table D.1. Vehicle Properties for Test No. 469688-5-1.....	29
Table C.2. Measurements of Vehicle Vertical CG for Test No. 469688-5-1.....	30
Table C.3. Exterior Crush Measurements of Vehicle for Test No. 469688-5-1.....	31
Table C.4. Occupant Compartment Measurements of Vehicle for Test No. 469688-1- 2.....	32

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROBLEM

In response to the Joint Implementation Agreement between the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and the Federal Highway Administration, the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) elected to test their National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 350 compliant W-beam guardrail system with round wood posts for *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)* compliance. TTI researchers conducted *MASH* Test 3-11 on this W-beam guardrail system with round wood posts. During the test, several posts fractured, which allowed the vehicle to pocket in the system. This pocketing, combined with the test vehicle traversing over the fractured posts, caused the test vehicle to override the system and eventually roll onto its roof. TTI researchers were then tasked with modifying the round-post guardrail system to improve its crashworthiness. The test reported herein was conducted to assess the impact performance of the modified round wood post W-beam guardrail system according to *MASH* Test 3-11 evaluation criteria.

1.2 BACKGROUND

In November 2017, *MASH* Test 3-11 was performed on the TxDOT round wood post configuration of their metal beam guard fence system (1). This was a NCHRP Report 350 compliant system that was being tested to evaluate compliance with *MASH* (2, 3). During *MASH* test 3-11, several round wood posts fractured before they could rotate through the soil, dissipate energy, and contribute to a successful redirection of the vehicle. This caused the vehicle to pocket in system and traverse over the fractured posts. The vehicle subsequently overrode the system, thus preventing it from meeting *MASH* criteria.

TTI researchers contributed the failure to the change in impact severity between the original NCHRP Report 350 test and the new *MASH* test. The increase in impact severity in the *MASH* test raised the flexural demand on the round wood posts, which caused the posts to fracture before they could significantly displace through the soil and help dissipate impact energy of the vehicle. The NCHRP Report 350 compliant round wood post guardrail system consisted of a W-beam steel rail at a height of 27-inches above grade, with 7 1/4-inch nominal round wooden posts embedded 44-inches below grade. With the development of the Midwest Guardrail System (MGS), the TxDOT guardrail system was updated by raising the rail height to 31-inches, decreasing the embedment depth to 40-inches, and moving the splices to the mid-span between posts. TTI researchers believe that the taller guardrail height was one of two primary contributors to the fracturing of the posts. This increase in height of 4 inches increases the moment arm of the impact load applied to the post during an impact and, consequently, increases the moment on the post. The other contributor to the fracturing of the posts is the increased weight of the *MASH* pickup truck compared to the NCHRP Report 350 pickup truck. The *MASH* pickup truck weighs 5000-lb, while the NCHRP Report 350 pickup truck weighed approximately 4409-lb. This 13 percent increase in weight results in a higher impact severity and greater impact force and moment on the post.

TTI researchers considered modifications to the post designed to either increase the structural capacity of the post to accommodate the increased impact moment or reduce soil resistance to enable the post to deflect through the soil at a lower force threshold. Dynamic impact tests using a gravitational pendulum were performed to assist with the evaluation of the design modifications. Ultimately, in consultation with TxDOT engineers, it was decided to reduce the embedment depth of the posts from 40 inches to 36-inches. This reduction in embedment depth will allow the posts to rotate through the soil at a reduced level of force and, thereby, increase the energy dissipation of the posts.

1.3 OBJECTIVE/SCOPE OF RESEARCH

The primary objective of this research was to test a modified version of the TxDOT round wood post guardrail system, in accordance with AASHTO *MASH* criteria. The modification involved reducing the guardrail post embedment depth from 40 inches to 36 inches to reduce the soil resistance and decrease the force required to deflect the post through the soil. The crash test performed was in accordance with *MASH* Test 3-11 criteria, which involves a 2270P pickup truck impacting the guardrail at a target impact speed and angle of 62 mi/h and 25°, respectively.

CHAPTER 2: ORGANIZATION AND STYLES

2.1 TEST ARTICLE AND INSTALLATION DETAILS

The test installation consisted of round wood posts, 8-inch deep wooden block-outs, and 12-gauge W-beam guardrail. The posts were embedded 36 inches in soil and spaced at 75 inches on center. A TxDOT Downstream Anchor Terminal was installed on each end for a total installation length of 181.25 ft. The top edge of the W-beam was 31 inches above grade. The W-beam splices were located midway between the posts.

Figure 2.1 presents overall details on the round wood post guardrail system, and Figure 2.2 provides photographs of the completed test installation. Appendix A provides further details of the round post guardrail system.

2.3 SOIL CONDITIONS

The test installation was installed in soil meeting grading B of AASHTO standard specification M147-65(2004) “Materials for Aggregate and Soil Aggregate Subbase, Base and Surface Courses.” Soil strength was measured the day of the crash test in accordance with Appendix B of *MASH*. During installation of the guardrail for full-scale crash testing, two W6×16 posts were installed in the immediate vicinity of the guardrail utilizing the same fill materials and installation procedures used in the test installation and the standard dynamic test. Table B.1 in Appendix B presents minimum soil strength properties established through the dynamic testing performed in accordance with *MASH* Appendix B.

As determined by the tests summarized in Appendix B, Table B.1, the minimum post loads required at deflections of 5 inches, 10 inches, and 15 inches, measured at a height of 25 inches, are 3940 lb, 5500 lb, and 6540 lb, respectively (90 percent of static load for the initial standard installation). On the day of the test, July 6, 2018, loads on the post at deflections of 5 inches, 10 inches, and 15 inches were 8030 lbf, 8080 lbf, and 7575 lbf, respectively. Table B.2 in Appendix B shows the strength of the backfill material in which the guardrail was installed met minimum *MASH* requirements.




Figure 2.1. Overall Details of the Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System.



Figure 2.2. Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System prior to Testing.

CHAPTER 3: TEST REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

3.1. CRASH TEST MATRIX

Table 3.1 shows the test conditions and evaluation criteria for *MASH* TL-3. *MASH* Test 3-11 involves a 2270P vehicle weighing 5000 lb \pm 110 lb impacting the critical impact point (CIP) of the barrier at a target impact speed of 62 mi/h \pm 2.5 mi/h and an angle of 25° \pm 1.5°. *MASH* Test 3-10 involves an 1100C vehicle weighing 2425 lb \pm 55 lb impacting the CIP of the barrier at a target impact speed of 62 mi/h \pm 2.5 mi/h and an angle of 25° \pm 1.5°. *MASH* Test 3-11 primarily evaluates the strength of the guardrail system and stability of the 2270P vehicle, while *MASH* Test 3-10 primarily evaluates occupant risk.

MASH Test 3-11 was viewed as the critical test for the system based upon previous successful *MASH* testing of guardrail systems that present higher potential for vehicle snagging than the round wood post system. Both a W6x8.5 steel post MGS system without offset blocks (5) and an MGS system with nominal 6-inch \times 8-inch rectangular wood posts (6) successfully passed *MASH* Test 3-10. Both these systems pose a higher risk for vehicle snag than the round wood posts because of the innate post shapes. Consequently, *MASH* Test 3-10 was considered non-critical and was not performed.

This report presents testing of the modified round post W-beam guardrail system in accordance with *MASH* Test 3-11. The target CIP selected for the test was determined according to the information provided in *MASH* Section 2.2.1 and 2.3.2.2, and was 6 inches upstream of post 12.

Table 3.1. Test Conditions and Evaluation Criteria Specified for *MASH* Test 3-11.

Test Article	Test Designation	Test Vehicle	Impact Conditions		Evaluation Criteria
			Speed	Angle	
Longitudinal Barrier	3-10	1100C	62 mi/h	25	A, D, F, H, I
	3-11	2270P	62 mi/h	25	A, D, F, H, I

The crash test and data analysis procedures were in accordance with guidelines presented in *MASH*. Chapter 4 presents brief descriptions of these procedures.

3.2. EVALUATION CRITERIA

The appropriate safety evaluation criteria from Tables 2-2A and 5-1A through 5-1C of *MASH* were used to evaluate the crash test reported herein. The test conditions and evaluation criteria required for *MASH* Test 3-11 are listed in Table 3.1, and the substance of the evaluation criteria are summarized in Table 3.2. An evaluation of the crash test results is presented in detail under the section Assessment of Test Results.

Table 3.2. Evaluation Criteria Required for MASH Test 3-11.

Evaluation Factors	Evaluation Criteria
Structural Adequacy	<p>A. <i>Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or bring the vehicle to a controlled stop; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.</i></p>
Occupant Risk	<p>D. <i>Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.</i></p> <p><i>Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment should not exceed limits set forth in Section 5.2.2 and Appendix E of MASH.</i></p>
	<p>F. <i>The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.</i></p>
	<p>H. <i>Occupant impact velocities (OIV) should satisfy the following limits: Preferred value of 30 ft/s, or maximum allowable value of 40 ft/s.</i></p>
	<p>I. <i>The occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following limits: Preferred value of 15.0 g, or maximum allowable value of 20.49 g.</i></p>

CHAPTER 4: TEST CONDITIONS

4.1 TEST FACILITY

The full-scale crash test reported herein was performed at the Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI) Proving Ground, an International Standards Organization (ISO) 17025-accredited laboratory with American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) Mechanical Testing Certificate 2821.01. The full-scale crash test was performed according to TTI Proving Ground quality procedures, and according to the *MASH* guidelines and standards.

The test facilities of the TTI Proving Ground are located on the Texas A&M University RELLIS Campus, which consists of a 2000-acre complex of research and training facilities situated 10 miles northwest of the flagship campus of Texas A&M University. The site, formerly a United States Army Air Corps base, has large expanses of concrete runways and parking aprons well suited for experimental research and testing in the areas of vehicle performance and handling, vehicle-roadway interaction, durability and efficacy of highway pavements, and evaluation of roadside safety hardware and perimeter protective devices. The site selected for testing of the barrier was along the edge of one of these out-of-service aprons. The apron consists of an unreinforced jointed-concrete pavement in 12.5-ft × 15-ft blocks that are nominally 6 inches deep. The apron was built in 1942 and the joints have some displacement, but are otherwise flat and level.

4.2 VEHICLE TOW AND GUIDANCE SYSTEM

The test vehicle was towed into the test installation using a steel cable guidance and reverse tow system. A steel cable for guiding the test vehicle was tensioned along the path, anchored at each end, and threaded through an attachment to the front wheel of the test vehicle. An additional steel cable was connected to the test vehicle, passed around a pulley near the impact point, through a pulley on the tow vehicle, and then anchored to the ground such that the tow vehicle moved away from the test site. A 2:1 speed ratio between the test and tow vehicle existed with this system. Just prior to impact with the installation, the test vehicle was released and ran unrestrained. The vehicle remained freewheeling (i.e., no steering or braking inputs) until it cleared the immediate area of the test site (no sooner than 2 s after impact), after which the brakes were activated, if needed, to bring the test vehicle to a safe and controlled stop.

4.3 DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEMS

4.3.1 Vehicle Instrumentation and Data Processing

The test vehicle was instrumented with a self-contained, on-board data acquisition system. The signal conditioning and acquisition system is a 16-channel, Tiny Data Acquisition System (TDAS) Pro produced by Diversified Technical Systems, Inc. The accelerometers, which measure the x, y, and z axis of vehicle acceleration, are strain gauge type with linear millivolt output proportional to acceleration. Angular rate sensors, measuring vehicle roll, pitch, and yaw rates, are ultra-small, solid state units designed for crash test service. The TDAS Pro hardware

and software conform to the latest SAE J211, Instrumentation for Impact Test. Each of the 16 channels can provide precision amplification, scaling, and filtering based on transducer specifications and calibrations. During the test, data are recorded from each channel at a rate of 10,000 values per second with a resolution of one part in 65,536. Once data are recorded, internal batteries back these up inside the unit should the primary battery cable be severed. Initial contact of the pressure switch on the vehicle bumper provides a time zero mark and initiates the recording process. After each test, the data are downloaded from the TDAS Pro unit into a laptop computer at the test site. The Test Risk Assessment Program (TRAP) software then processes the raw data to produce detailed reports of the test results.

Each of the TDAS Pro units is returned to the factory annually for complete recalibration and all instrumentation used in the vehicle conforms to all specifications outlined by SAE J211. All accelerometers are calibrated annually by means of an ENDEVCO® 2901, precision primary vibration standard. This standard and its support instruments are checked annually and receive a National Institute of Standards Technology (NIST) traceable calibration. The rate transducers used in the data acquisition system receive a calibration via a Genisco Rate-of-Turn table. The subsystems of each data channel are also evaluated annually, using instruments with current NIST traceability, and the results are factored into the accuracy of the total data channel, per SAE J211. Calibrations and evaluations are also made any time data are suspect. Acceleration data are measured with an expanded uncertainty of ± 1.7 percent at a confidence factor of 95 percent ($k=2$).

TRAP uses the data from the TDAS Pro to compute occupant/compartment impact velocities, time of occupant/compartment impact after vehicle impact, and the highest 10-millisecond (ms) average ridedown acceleration. TRAP calculates change in vehicle velocity at the end of a given impulse period. In addition, maximum average accelerations over 50-ms intervals in each of the three directions are computed. For reporting purposes, the data from the vehicle-mounted accelerometers are filtered with a 60-Hz low-pass digital filter, and acceleration versus time curves for the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions are plotted using TRAP.

TRAP uses the data from the yaw, pitch, and roll rate transducers to compute angular displacement in degrees at 0.0001-s intervals, then plots yaw, pitch, and roll versus time. These displacements are in reference to the vehicle-fixed coordinate system with the initial position and orientation of the vehicle-fixed coordinate systems being initial impact. Rate of rotation data is measured with an expanded uncertainty of ± 0.7 percent at a confidence factor of 95 percent ($k=2$).

4.3.2 Anthropomorphic Dummy Instrumentation

According to *MASH*, use of a dummy in the 2270P vehicle is optional, and no dummy was used in the test.

4.3.3 Photographic Instrumentation and Data Processing

Photographic coverage of the test included three high-speed cameras:

- One overhead with a field of view perpendicular to the ground and directly over the impact point.
- One placed behind the installation at an angle.
- A third placed to have a field of view parallel to and aligned with the installation at the downstream end.

A flashbulb on the impacting vehicle was activated by a pressure-sensitive tape switch to indicate the instant of contact with the guardrail. The flashbulb was visible from each camera. The video files from these digital high-speed cameras were analyzed to observe phenomena occurring during the collision and to obtain time-event, displacement, and angular data. A digital camera recorded and documented conditions of each test vehicle and the installation before and after the test.

CHAPTER 5: MASH TEST 3-11 (CRASH TEST NO. 469688-5-1)

5.1 TEST DESIGNATION AND ACTUAL IMPACT CONDITIONS

MASH Test 3-11 involves a 2270P vehicle weighing 5000 lb \pm 110 lb impacting the CIP of the barrier at an impact speed of 62 mi/h \pm 2.5 mi/h and an angle of 25° \pm 1.5°. The target CIP for *MASH* Test 3-11 on the modified round post guardrail system was ½ ft \pm 1 ft upstream of post 12.

The 2013 RAM 1500 pickup truck used in the test weighed 5018 lb, and the actual impact speed and angle were 62.7 mi/h and 25.5°, respectively. The actual impact point was 0.8 ft upstream of post 12. Minimum target impact severity (IS) was 106 kip-ft, and actual IS was 122 kip-ft.

5.2 WEATHER CONDITIONS

The test was performed the afternoon of July 6, 2018. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: wind speed: 6 mi/h; wind direction: 92° with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a northerly direction); temperature: 93°F; relative humidity: 51 percent.

5.3 TEST VEHICLE

Figures 5.1 and 5.2 show the 2013 RAM 1500 pickup truck used for the crash test. The vehicle's test inertia and gross static weight was 5018 lb. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 11.75 inches, and height to the upper edge of the bumper was 27.0 inches. The height to the vehicle's center of gravity was 29 inches. Table C.1 in Appendix C1 gives additional dimensions and information on the vehicle. The vehicle was directed into the installation using a cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be freewheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.



Figure 5.1. Guardrail/Test Vehicle Geometrics for Test No. 469688-5-1.



Figure 5.2. Test Vehicle before Test No. 469688-5-1.

5.4 TEST DESCRIPTION

The test vehicle was traveling at a speed of 62.7 mi/h as it contacted the barrier 0.8 ft upstream of post 12 at an angle of 25.5°. Table 5.1 lists times and events that occurred during Test No. 469688-5-1. Figures C.1 and C.2 in Appendix C2 present sequential photographs during the test.

Table 5.1. Events during Test No. 469688-5-1.

TIME (s)	EVENT
0.000	Vehicle makes contact with guardrail
0.043	Vehicle begins to redirect
0.079	Post 14 broken at ground and detached from rail element
0.132	Post 15 broken at ground and separated from rail element
0.135	Rail detached from posts upstream of impact point
0.169	Post 16 detached from guardrail and broken at ground
0.249	Post 17 broken at ground
0.300	Post 17 detached from rail element
0.396	Vehicle becomes parallel with guardrail
0.418	Post 18 detached from rail with broken blockout
0.655	Vehicle loses contact with guardrail while traveling at 27.1 mi/h

For longitudinal barriers, it is desirable that the vehicle redirects and exits the barrier within the exit box criteria (not less than 32.8 ft downstream from loss of contact for cars and pickups). The 2270P vehicle exited within the exit box criteria defined in *MASH*. After loss of contact with the guardrail, the vehicle yawed counterclockwise and came to rest 110 ft downstream of the impact point and adjacent to the traffic face of the guardrail.

5.5 DAMAGE TO TEST INSTALLATION

Figure 5.3 shows the damage to the barrier. The rail released from posts 1 through 19. Posts 11–16 and 18 were broken at ground level, and post 17 was pulled out of the ground. Working width for the test was 62.2 inches, and the height of the working width was 57.4 inches. Maximum dynamic deflection during the test was 44.1 inches, and maximum permanent deformation was 37 inches at post 16.




Figure 5.3. Barrier after Test No. 469688-5-1.

5.6 DAMAGE TO TEST VEHICLE

Figure 5.4 shows the damage sustained by the vehicle. The front bumper, hood, grill, left headlight, left front fender, left front tire and rim, left front lower A-arm, and left front door were damaged. Maximum exterior crush to the vehicle was 11.0 inches in the horizontal at bumper height. No measurable occupant compartment deformation occurred. Figure 5.5 shows the interior of the vehicle.



Figure 5.4. Test Vehicle after Test No. 469688-5-1.




Figure 5.5. Interior of Test Vehicle for Test No. 469688-5-1.

5.7 OCCUPANT RISK FACTORS

Data from the accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk and are shown in Table 5.2. Figure 5.6 summarizes these data and other pertinent information from the test. Figure C.3 in Appendix C3 shows the vehicle angular displacements, and Figures C.4 through C.9 in Appendix C4 show accelerations versus time traces.

Table 5.2. Occupant Risk Factors for Test No. 469688-5-1.

Occupant Risk Factor	Value		Time
Occupant Impact Velocity (OIV) Longitudinal Lateral	m/s	ft/s	at 0.1540 seconds on left side of interior
	4.7	15.4	
	-4.4	-14.4	
Occupant Ridedown Accelerations (G's) Longitudinal Lateral			
	-11		(0.5048–0.5148 seconds)
	6.8		(0.2480–0.2580 seconds)
Theoretical Head Impact Velocity (THIV)	km/h	mi/h	at 0.1466 seconds on left side of interior
	22.2	13.8	
	m/s	ft/s	
	6.2	20.3	
Post Head Deceleration (PHD) (G's)	11.3		(0.5050–0.5150 seconds)
Acceleration Severity Index (ASI)	0.6		(0.2579–0.3079 seconds)
Maximum 50-ms Moving Average (G's) Longitudinal Lateral Vertical			
	-5.5		(0.4653–0.5153 seconds)
	5.1		(0.2340–0.2840 seconds)
	2		(0.2767–0.3267 seconds)
Maximum Roll, Pitch, and Yaw Angles Roll Pitch Yaw	Degrees		
	7.4		(0.2920 seconds)
	4.3		(0.8174 seconds)
	33.9		(1.0510 seconds)



General Information		Impact Conditions	Post-Impact Trajectory
Test Agency.....	Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)	Speed.....	Stopping Distance.....
Test Standard Test No.	MASH Test 3-11	Angle.....	110 ft downstream
TTI Test No.	469688-5-1	Location/Orientation.....	adjacent to rail
Test Date.....	2018-07-06	Speed.....	Vehicle Stability
Test Article		Exit Traj./Heading Angle.....	Maximum Yaw Angle.....
Type.....	Longitudinal Barrier – Guardrail	Exit Angle.....	Maximum Pitch Angle.....
Name.....	TxDOT Round Post Guardrail System	Occupant Risk Values	Maximum Roll Angle.....
Installation Length.....	181.2 ft	Longitudinal OIV.....	Vehicle Snagging.....
Material or Key Elements ...	W-Beam rail, round wood posts embedded 36 inches in soil at 75 inch spacing	Lateral OIV.....	Vehicle Pocketing.....
Soil Type and Condition	AASHTO M147-65(2004), grading B Soil (crushed limestone), Damp	Longitudinal Ridedown.....	Test Article Deflections
Test Vehicle		Lateral Ridedown.....	Dynamic.....
Type/Designation.....	2270P	THIV.....	Permanent.....
Make and Model.....	2013 RAM 1500	PHD.....	Working Width.....
Curb.....	5006 lb	ASI.....	Height of Working Width.....
Test Inertial.....	5018 lb	Max. 0.050-s Average	Vehicle Damage
Dummy.....	No dummy	Longitudinal.....	VDS.....
Gross Static.....	5018 lb	Lateral.....	CDC.....
		Vertical.....	Max. Exterior Deformation.....
			OCDI.....
			Max. Occupant Compartment Deformation.....
			None

Figure 5.6. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-11 on 31-inch Tall TxDOT Round Post Guardrail System.

CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

6.1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Table 6.1 provides an assessment of the test based on the applicable safety evaluation criteria for *MASH* Test 3-11.

6.2 CONCLUSIONS

The Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System in soil performed acceptably for *MASH* Test 3-11.

Table 6.1. Performance Evaluation Summary for MASH Test 3-11 on Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System.

Test Agency: Texas A&M Transportation Institute		Test No.: 469688-5-1	Test Date: 2018-07-06
<u>MASH Test 3-11 Evaluation Criteria</u>		Test Results	Assessment
<u>Structural Adequacy</u>			
<i>A. Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle or bring the vehicle to a controlled stop; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.</i>	The round post guardrail system contained and redirected the 2270P vehicle. The vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override the installation. Maximum dynamic deflection during the test was 44.1 inches.		Pass
<u>Occupant Risk</u>			
<i>D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone.</i>	No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present hazard to others in the area.		Pass
<i>F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision. The maximum roll and pitch angles are not to exceed 75 degrees.</i>	There was no measurable occupant compartment deformation.		Pass
<i>H. Longitudinal and lateral occupant impact velocities should fall below the preferred value of 30 ft/s, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 40 ft/s.</i>	The 2270P vehicle remained upright during and after the collision event. Maximum roll and pitch angles were 7° and 4°, respectively. Longitudinal OIV was 15.4 ft/s, and lateral OIV was 14.4 ft/s.		Pass
<i>I. Longitudinal and lateral occupant ridedown accelerations should fall below the preferred value of 15.0 g, or at least below the maximum allowable value of 20.49 g.</i>	Longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration was 11.0 g, and lateral occupant ridedown acceleration was 6.8 g.		Pass

CHAPTER 7: IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT ¹

The testing and evaluation reported herein shows the Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System in soil successfully passed *MASH* Test 3-11, which primarily evaluates the strength of the guardrail system and stability of the 2270P vehicle. The other test considered under Test Level 3, *MASH* Test 3-10, primarily evaluates occupant risk.

MASH Test 3-11 was viewed as the critical test for the Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System in soil. *MASH* Test 3-10 was not considered necessary based upon previous successful *MASH* testing of guardrail systems that present higher potential for vehicle snagging than the round wood post system. Both a W6×8.5 steel post MGS system without offset blocks (5) and an MGS system with nominal 6-inch × 8-inch rectangular wood posts (6) successfully passed *MASH* Test 3-10. Both these systems pose a higher risk for vehicle snag than the round wood posts based on post geometry. Consequently, *MASH* Test 3-10 was considered non-critical and was not performed.

Based on the results of the testing and evaluation reported herein, the Modified TxDOT Round Wood Post Guardrail System in soil is considered suitable for implementation as a *MASH* TL-3 system. The guardrail system had a dynamic deflection of 44.1 inches for the pickup truck impact.


Statewide implementation of this barrier can be achieved by TxDOT's Design Division through the development and issuance of a revised standard detail sheet. The guardrail details provided in Appendix A can be used for this purpose.

¹ The opinions/interpretations identified/expressed in this section are outside the scope of TTI Proving Ground's A2LA Accreditation.


REFERENCES

1. R. P. Bligh, W. L. Menges, B. L. Griffith. *MASH Evaluation of TxDOT Roadside Safety Features – Phase II*. Test Report No. 0-6946-2, Texas A&M Transportation Institute, College Station, TX, August 2018.
2. AASHTO. *Manual for Assessing Roadside Safety Hardware*. Second Edition, 2016, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials: Washington, D.C.
3. H.E. Ross, Jr., D.L. Sicking, R.A. Zimmer, and J.D. Michie, *Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Features*, National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 350, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1993.
4. R. P. Bligh, J. C. Kovar, and B. L. Griffith. *Round Post W-Beam Guardrail Pendulum Testing*. Tech Memo 0-06968-5(P1-P5), Texas A&M Transportation Institute, College Station, TX, August 2018.
5. Schrum, K.D., Lechtenberg, K.A., Bielenberg, R.W., Rosenbaugh, S.K., Faller, R.K., Reid, J.D., and Sicking, D.L. *Safety Performance Evaluation of the Non-Blocked Midwest Guardrail System (MGS)*. TRP-03-262-12. Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, Lincoln, NE, January 2013.
6. Gutierrez, D.A., Lechtenberg, K.A., Bielenberg, R.W., Faller, R.K., Reid, J.D., and Sicking, D.L. *Midwest Guardrail System (MGS) with Southern Yellow Pine Posts*. TRP-03-272-13. Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, Lincoln, NE, September 2013.

APPENDIX A. DETAILS OF MODIFIED TXDOT ROUND WOOD POST GUARDRAIL SYSTEM



	Roadside Safety and Physical Security Division - Proving Ground	2018-07-24
Project #469688-5 W-Beam on Round Timber Posts		Sheet 1 of 2 Test Installation
Drawn by GES		Scale 1:250



	Roadside Safety and Physical Security Division - Proving Ground	
	Project #469688-5 W-Beam on Round Timber Posts	2018-06-29
Drawn by GES	Scale 1:10	Sheet 2 of 2 Post and Blockout

APPENDIX B. SOIL PROPERTIES

Table B.1. Summary of Strong Soil Test Results for Establishing Installation Procedure.









 <p>Post-Test Photo</p>	 <p>Dynamic Setup</p>	 <p>Post-Test Photo of post</p>	 <p>Static Load Test</p>
 <p style="text-align: center;">Percent Finer Vs. Grain Size of Fill Soil for Dynamic and Static Load Tests</p>		 <p style="text-align: center;">Dynamic Test Installation Details</p>	
 <p style="text-align: center;">Comparison of Load vs. Displacement at 25-inch height</p>		 <p style="text-align: center;">Static Load Test Installation Details</p>	
<p>Date 2008-11-05</p> <p>Test Facility and Site Location TTI Proving Ground, 3100 SH 47, Bryan, TX 77807</p> <p>In Situ Soil Description (ASTM D2487) Sandy gravel with silty fines</p> <p>Fill Material Description (ASTM D2487) and sieve analysis AASHTO Grade B Soil-Aggregate (see sieve analysis above)</p> <p>Description of Fill Placement Procedure 6-inch lifts tamped with a pneumatic compactor</p> <p>Bogie Weight 5009 lb</p> <p>Impact Velocity 20.5 mph</p>			

Table B.2. Test Day Static Soil Strength Documentation for Test No. 469688-5-1.

<p>Comparison of Static Load Test Results and Required Minimum: Load versus Displacement at 25 inch Height</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Displacement (inch)</th> <th>Load (lb) - Actual Test Results</th> <th>Load (lb) - Minimum Static Load</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>~8000</td> <td>~4000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10</td> <td>~7500</td> <td>~5000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15</td> <td>~7000</td> <td>~6000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Displacement (inch)	Load (lb) - Actual Test Results	Load (lb) - Minimum Static Load	5	~8000	~4000	10	~7500	~5000	15	~7000	~6000	<p style="text-align: center;">Static Load Setup</p>																						
Displacement (inch)	Load (lb) - Actual Test Results	Load (lb) - Minimum Static Load																																	
5	~8000	~4000																																	
10	~7500	~5000																																	
15	~7000	~6000																																	
<p>Percent Finer Vs. Grain Size of Fill Soil for Dynamic and Static Load Tests</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Grain Size, D (mm)</th> <th>Percent Finer (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>10</td><td>~95</td></tr> <tr><td>7.5</td><td>~85</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>~75</td></tr> <tr><td>4.75</td><td>~65</td></tr> <tr><td>3.75</td><td>~55</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>~45</td></tr> <tr><td>2.5</td><td>~35</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>~25</td></tr> <tr><td>1.5</td><td>~15</td></tr> <tr><td>1.18</td><td>~10</td></tr> <tr><td>0.85</td><td>~5</td></tr> <tr><td>0.6</td><td>~2</td></tr> <tr><td>0.425</td><td>~1</td></tr> <tr><td>0.25</td><td>~0.5</td></tr> <tr><td>0.15</td><td>~0.2</td></tr> <tr><td>0.075</td><td>~0.1</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Grain Size, D (mm)	Percent Finer (%)	10	~95	7.5	~85	6	~75	4.75	~65	3.75	~55	3	~45	2.5	~35	2	~25	1.5	~15	1.18	~10	0.85	~5	0.6	~2	0.425	~1	0.25	~0.5	0.15	~0.2	0.075	~0.1	<p style="text-align: center;">Post-Test Photo of Post</p>
Grain Size, D (mm)	Percent Finer (%)																																		
10	~95																																		
7.5	~85																																		
6	~75																																		
4.75	~65																																		
3.75	~55																																		
3	~45																																		
2.5	~35																																		
2	~25																																		
1.5	~15																																		
1.18	~10																																		
0.85	~5																																		
0.6	~2																																		
0.425	~1																																		
0.25	~0.5																																		
0.15	~0.2																																		
0.075	~0.1																																		

Date 2018-07-06

Test Facility and Site Location TTI Proving Ground – 3100 SH 47, Bryan, Tx

In Situ Soil Description (ASTM D2487) Sandy gravel with silty fines

Fill Material Description (ASTM D2487) and sieve analysis .. AASHTO Grade B Soil-Aggregate (see sieve analysis)

Description of Fill Placement Procedure 6-inch lifts tamped with a pneumatic compactor

APPENDIX C. MASH TEST 3-11 (CRASH TEST NO. 469688-5-1)

C.1 VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION

Table C.1. Vehicle Properties for Test No. 469688-5-1.

Date:	2018-07-06	Test No.:	469688-5-1	VIN No.:	1C6RR6FP8DS693034
Year:	2013	Make:	RAM	Model:	1500
Tire Size:	265/70 R 17	Tire Inflation Pressure:	35 PSI		
Tread Type:	HIGHWAY	Odometer:	173012		
Note any damage to the vehicle prior to test:	NONE				

• Denotes accelerometer location.


NOTES:

Engine Type: V-8
 Engine CID: 4.7 L

Transmission Type:
 Auto or Manual
 FWD RWD 4WD

Optional Equipment:
 NONE

Dummy Data:
 Type: NONE
 Mass:
 Seat Position:



Geometry: inches									
A	78.50	F	40.00	K	20.00	P	3.00	U	27.00
B	74.00	G	29.00	L	30.00	Q	30.50	V	30.25
C	227.50	H	59.20	M	68.50	R	18.00	W	59.20
D	44.00	I	11.75	N	68.00	S	13.00	X	78.50
E	140.50	J	27.00	O	46.00	T	77.00		
Wheel Center Height Front	14.75	Wheel Well Clearance (Front)	6.00	Bottom Frame Height - Front	12.00				
Wheel Center Height Rear	14.75	Wheel Well Clearance (Rear)	9.25	Bottom Frame Height - Rear	25.50				

RANGE LIMIT: A=78 ±2 inches; C=237 ±13 inches; E=148 ±12 inches; F=39 ±3 inches; G = > 28 inches; H = 63 ±4 inches; O=43 ±4 inches; M+N/2=67 ±1.5 inches

GVWR Ratings:	Mass: lb	Curb	Test Inertial	Gross Static
Front	3700	M _{front}	2914	2903
Back	3900	M _{rear}	2092	2115
Total	6700	M _{Total}	5006	5018

(Allowable Range for TIM and GSM = 5000 lb ±110 lb)

Mass Distribution:

lb	LF: 1455	RF: 1448	LR: 1038	RR: 1077
----	----------	----------	----------	----------

Table C.2. Measurements of Vehicle Vertical CG for Test No. 469688-5-1.

Date: 2018-07-06 Test No.: 469688-5-1 VIN: 1C6RR6FP8DS693034
 Year: 2013 Make: RAM Model: 1500
 Body Style: QUAD CAB Mileage: 173012
 Engine: 4.7L V-8 Transmission: AUTO
 Fuel Level: EMPTY Ballast: 60 LBS (440 lb max)
 Tire Pressure: Front: 35 psi Rear: 35 psi Size: 265/70 R 17

Measured Vehicle Weights: (lb)			
LF:	<u>1455</u>	RF:	<u>1448</u>
		Front Axle:	<u>2903</u>
LR:	<u>1038</u>	RR:	<u>1077</u>
		Rear Axle:	<u>2115</u>
Left:	<u>2493</u>	Right:	<u>2525</u>
		Total:	<u>5018</u>
			5000 ±110 lb allowed
Wheel Base:	<u>140.50</u> inches	Track: F:	<u>68.50</u> inches
	148 ±12 inches allowed	R:	<u>68.00</u> inches
			Track = (F+R)/2 = 67 ±1.5 inches allowed
Center of Gravity, SAE J874 Suspension Method			
X:	<u>59.20</u> inches	Rear of Front Axle	(63 ±4 inches allowed)
Y:	<u>0.22</u> inches	Left - Right +	of Vehicle Centerline
Z:	<u>29.00</u> inches	Above Ground	(minimum 28.0 inches allowed)

Hood Height: 46.00 inches
 43 ±4 inches allowed

Front Bumper Height: 27.00 inches

Front Overhang: 40.00 inches
 39 ±3 inches allowed

Rear Bumper Height: 30.00 inches

Overall Length: 227.50 inches
 237 ±13 inches allowed

Table C.3. Exterior Crush Measurements of Vehicle for Test No. 469688-5-1.

Date:	2018-07-06	Test No.:	469688-5-1	VIN No.:	1C6RR6FP8DS693034
Year:	2013	Make:	RAM	Model:	1500

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET¹

Complete When Applicable	
End Damage	Side Damage
Undeformed end width <input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>	Bowing: B1 <input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/> X1 <input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>
Corner shift: A1 <input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>	B2 <input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/> X2 <input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>
A2 <input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>	
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant
(check one)	$\frac{X1 + X2}{2} = $ <input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>
< 4 inches <input style="width: 50px;" type="checkbox"/>	
≥ 4 inches <input style="width: 50px;" type="checkbox"/>	

Note: Measure C₁ to C₆ from Driver to Passenger Side in Front or Rear impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

Specific Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Direct Damage		Field L**	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	±D
		Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush								
1	AT FT BUMPER	24	11	16	11	6	3				-26
2	ABOVE FT BUMPER	24	10	47	2	2.5	3.5	5.5	7	11	+74
	inches ▼										

¹Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.




**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.

Table C.4. Occupant Compartment Measurements of Vehicle for Test No. 469688-1-2.

Date:	2018-07-06	Test No.:	469688-5-1	VIN No.:	1C6RR6FP8DS693034
Year:	2013	Make:	RAM	Model:	1500



OCCUPANT COMPARTMENT DEFORMATION MEASUREMENT


	Before	After inches ▼	Differ.
A1	65.00	65.00	0.00
A2	63.00	63.00	0.00
A3	65.50	65.50	0.00
B1	45.00	45.00	0.00
B2	38.00	38.00	0.00
B3	45.00	45.00	0.00
B4	39.50	39.50	0.00
B5	43.00	43.00	0.00
B6	39.50	39.50	0.00
C1	28.00	26.00	0.00
C2	0.00	0.00	0.00
C3	26.00	26.00	0.00
D1	11.00	11.00	0.00
D2	0.00	0.00	0.00
D3	11.50	11.50	0.00
E1	58.50	58.50	0.00
E2	63.50	63.50	0.00
E3	63.50	63.50	0.00
E4	63.50	63.50	0.00
F	59.00	59.00	0.00
G	59.00	59.00	0.00
H	37.50	37.50	0.00
I	37.50	37.50	0.00
J*	25.00	25.00	0.00

*Lateral area across the cab from driver's side kickpanel to passenger's side kickpanel.

C.2 SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS



0.000 s



0.100 s



0.200 s



0.300 s



Figure C.1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Overhead and Frontal Views).



0.400 s



0.500 s



0.600 s



0.700 s



Figure C.1. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Overhead and Frontal Views) (Continued).



0.000 s



0.100 s



0.200 s



0.300 s



0.400 s



0.500 s



0.600 s



0.700 s

Figure C.2. Sequential Photographs for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Rear View).

C.3 VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENT




Figure C.3. Vehicle Angular Displacements for Test No. 469688-5-1.

C.4 VEHICLE ACCELERATIONS




Figure C.4. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).

Y Acceleration at CG





Figure C.5. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).



**Figure C.6. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 469688-5-1
 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).**






Figure C.7. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 469688-5-1 (Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).



**Figure C.8. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 469688-5-1
(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).**

Z Acceleration rear of CG



**Figure C.9. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test No. 469688-5-1
(Accelerometer Located Rear of Center of Gravity).**